

REPORT ON CROP CUTTING SURVEY ON AUTUMN CROP OF PADDY 1976

BUREAU OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, TRIVANDRUM, MAY, 1977.







REPORT ON CROP CUTTING SURVEY ON AUTUMN CROP OF PADDY 1976

BUREAU OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS. TRIVANDRUM, MAY, 1977.

CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction.
- 2.1. Objectives of the Survey.
- 2.2. Period of the Survey.
- 2.3. Coverage and sample size.
- 2.4. Sampling design.
- 2.5. Field work.
- 2.6. Analysis.
- 2.7. Procedure of Estimation.
- 3.1. Results of the Survey.
- 3.2. High yielding varieties.
- 3.3. Cultivation practices.

Appendices

- 1. Table 1.1 Area, Mean yield and production of Rice in each Taluk during Autumn 1976.
- 2. Table 1.2 Area, Mean yield and production of Rice in each District during Autumn 1975&1976.
- 3. Table 1.3 Analysis of variance of plot yields for Autumn 1976.
- 4. Table 1.4 Frequency distribution of plot yields for Autumn 1976.
- 5. Table 1.5 Results of driage experiments for Autumn 1976.
- 6. Table 1.6 Independent Estimate of Mean yield of paddy based on harvest stage Inspection in Autumn 1976.
- 7. Table 1.7 Mean yield for Autumn season for each Taluk for 6 years from 1970 to 1976.
- 8. Table 1.8 Scason wise Area, Mean yield and production of Rice in Kerala during the period from 1968-69 to 1976-77.
- 9. Table 2.1 Area, Mean yield and production of high yielding and other varieties of paddy during Autumn 1976.
- 10. Table 2.2 Area, Mean yield and production of High yielding varieties of paddy in each District during Autumn 1975 and 1976.
- 11. Table 2.3 Distribution of experimental plots with high yielding varieties of paddy according to varieties raised in Autumn 1976.
- 12. Table 2.4 Average yield of High yielding varieties— Autumn 1976.
- 13. Table 2.5 Yield rate of High yielding and other varieties of paddy according to cultivation practices
- 14. Table 3.1 Response percentage—Autumn 1976.
- 15. Table 3.2 Details of non-response—Autumn 1976.
- 16. Table 3.3 Work load of primary works—District wise allocation—Autumn 1976.
- 17. Table 3.4 Work load of primary workers according to performance—Autumn 1976.
- 18. Table 3.5 No. of experiments inspected—Autumn 1976.

1. Introduction

The Bureau of Economics & Statistics is regularly conducting yield estimation surveys on two important sensonal crops viz. paddy and tapioca in the State every year. The main objectives of these sample Surveys are to estimate the productivity as well as the total production of these crops in the State. As far as paddy is concerned the survey is conducted separately for Autumn (Virippu), Winter (Mundakan) and Summer (Punja).

The results of the yield estimation surveys on paddy are published in two reports, one for Autumn crop and other for Winter and Summer crops together. The present report deals with the survey on Autumn crop 1976.

During the year 1976-77 the selection of plots for crop cutting experiments on paddy from each taluk was made from the Revenue Villages selected for T.R.S. 1976-77. The number of experiments in a Taluk varied according to the number of Investigator units in the selected Village. The total number of experiments planned was 1253. The number was 948 in the corresponding season of the previous year.

2.1 Objectives of the Survey

The main objectives of the Survey were

- (i) to estimate the average yield of paddy per hectare for each Taluk.
- (ii) to estimate the average yield per hectare for each district and the State and
- (iii) to estimate the total production of rice in the State for the season.

It was also intended to frame estimates of productivity of high yielding variety of paddy as well as for different cultivation practices like application of Chemical Fertilizers, adoption of irrigation etc. at the District and State level.

2.2 Period of the Survey

The period of the Survey was from August 1976 to October 1976. The field work of the Survey was conducted during the season in all the selected Revenue Villages where the Autumn crop was raised.

2.3 Coverage and Sample size

The Taluks where the survey was not conducted The survey was conducted only in 52 out of 57 Taluks. were Peerumedu, Devikulam, Udumbanchola, South Wynad and North Wynad. In these Taluks the area under Autumn paddy was reported to be negligible.

2.4 Sampling design

A stratified multi-stage design was adopted for the survey. Taluk was treated as the stratum, Revenue Village as the first stage unit and survey sub division number as the 2nd and final stage unit. Each selected Revenue Village was divided into as many approximately equal and non-overlapping units as the number of Investigators. If there were no wet land plots having paddy during the season in any Investigator unit in a Village, then that number will be made good from other Investigator units in the same Village (so that the total number of experiments remained the same).

The required number of plots from each unit were selected by the Investigator under the guidance of Statistical Inspector using simple random method from the frame consisting of wet land survey sub-divisions. In survey subdivisions having more than one kandom they were serially numbered beginning from the south west corner and proceeding to anticlock-wise direction and one kandom was selected by simple random method and a square plot of side 5 metres was located at random in the selected kandom. The crop in the square plot was harvested, threshed, winnowed and weighed.

Three sample each weighing 250 gms. of wet paddy were collected at the time of harvest from a taluk. The first sample was taken at the beginning, the second towards the middle and the third towards the end of the harvesting season. The samples collected were sent to the concerned Taluk Statistical Inspector within 24 hours for conducting driage experiments. The lists containing the details of the plots selected for crop cutting experiments were forwarded to the Assistant Director (N.S.S.O.), Trivandrum and to the concerned District Authorities of the Agricultural department for facilitating their inspections.

2.5 Field work

The field work was conducted by the Investigators posted for T. R. S. under the immediate supervision of Taluk Statistical Inspector. The District Statistical Officers, Additional District Statistical Officers and Economic Investigators supervised the work of the Investigators.

Paddy crop cutting experiments were conducted in 1115 plots out of 1253 planned experiments. Experiments in 106 plots distributed in 12 Revenue Villages could not be conducted since there was no Autumn paddy 32 Experiments were missed due to prior harvest of the crop without intimation to the in these villages.

Investigator. The percentage response to the total number of planned experiment was 89. The percentage response in each district is given in Table 3.1 in the Appendix. The reason-wise non-response is presented in Table 3.2.

As per the original programme of work one Invesigator had to conduct 2 or 3 experiments in his unit according as number of Investigators in that taluk was 7 or less (If the total number of Investigators in a taluk exceeds seven, each Investigator has to conduct 2 crop cutting experiments. If the total number is a taluk exceeds seven, each Investigator has to conduct 3 experiments). But due to certain administrative reasons about 500% of the Investigator has to conduct 3 experiments). 50% of the Investigators had to be allotted more than 3 experiments each during the season. The distribution of field work according to the number of experiments district-wise are given in Table 3.3 The distribution of Investigators according to the number of crop cutting experiments actually conducted by them in the various districts are presented in table 3.4 in the Appendix. It can be seen that 73% of the Investigators had conducted 4 experiments or less during the season under reference as against 12% of the corresponding season of the previous year. The average number of experiments conducted per Investigator came to 4.1 in this season as against 6.9 of the corresponding season of previous year.

The field work of the survey was inspected at 3 stages viz. pre-harvest, harvest and post harvest stages by the Statistical Inspectors, District Statistical Officers, Addl. District Statistical Officers and Economic Investigators. In the case of harvest stage inspections, the Statistical Inspectors were directed to conduct inspection in at least one randomly selected plot in each Investigator unit subject to a maximum of 6 experiments in a taluk. The District Statistical Officer and other Officers at the district level were instructed to inspect at least one experiment at harvest stage from a taluk. During the season under reference about 38% of the experiments were inspected at harvest stage and about 18% of the experiments were inspected at pre-harvest stage and percentage of inspection conducted at post harvest stage came to 2. The details of inspection conducted at different stages by the Supervisors are given it table 3.5 in the Appendix. Besides, the Officers of N.S.S.O. conducted harvest stage inspection in about 12% of the experiments.

2.6 Analysis

The analysis of the data was done at the headquarters of the Bureau by the staff of the Agricultural Statistics unit.

2.7 Procedure of Estimation

(i) Mean Yield.—The taluk-wise mean yield of dry paddy and its standard error were estimated using the formula

Taluk Mean Yield
$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{ni}{j=1} \times ij / \sum_{i=1}^{k} ni$$

where ni in the number of experiments conducted in the i th village.

xij—Weight of paddy obtained from the j th experiment in the i th village (j = 1, 2, 3..ni) Each unit (experiment) is taken from 5 metres square (1 th) th of a hectare.

Mean Yield of dry paddy in Kg. per hectare=Xx 400 x d where d is the driage ratio of dry paddy to wet paddy

(ii) Standard Error (S.E.) of Taluk Mean Yield-Variance of the taluk mean yield

$$= \frac{A}{N} + \frac{B-A}{m} \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} ni^{2}}{N^{2}}$$

$$A = Mean square within kan$$

.below:

Where A= Mean square within karas B= Mean square between karas

Total number of experiments conducted in the taluk

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}k\\ \geq ni\\ i=1\end{array}\right\}$$

ni=Number of experiments conducted in the i th village

$$m = \frac{N^2 - \sum ni^2}{N(k-1)}$$

k =Number of villages selected in the taluk

The standard error (S. E.) is the squre root of this variance.

The standard error in Kg. per hectare is obtained by multipying this root of variance with 400.

(iii) Standard Error (S. E.) of the State Mean Yield—The formula used for the purpose is indicated

Standard Error of the State Mean Yield = $\sqrt{\frac{|\Sigma(aisi)^2|}{(\Sigma ai)^2}}$

Where ai = Area under the crop in the ith taluk and si the standard error of the estimate of Mean Yield in i th taluk.

The area under paddy in each taluk is estimated from the data collected through T. R. S. The weight of cleaned rice is reckoned as 65.7% of dry paddy.

Result of the Survey

The total production of rice in the State in Autumn 1976 is estimated at 487647 tonnes. Compared to Autumn 1975, this is less by about 97 thousand tonnes. The Mean Yield at the State level also declined by about 9% during Autumn crop 1976.

The drought conditions which prevailed at the early stages of the Autumn crop due to the late arrival of the monsoon rains coupled with the heavy rains which followed at the flowering stage were mainly responsible for the decrease in productivity. Local damage to crop from pests & diseases was also reported from a few taluks in Alleppey & Trichur districts.

The estimates on area, mean yield and its standard error, production of rice together with number of crop cutting experiments analysed in each taluk are presented in Table 1.1 in the Appendix.

The results of the State series and I.A.D.P. series of experiments conducted in Alleppey and Palghat districts during the season were found to be not poolable as the Statistical Test for non significance of means turned out to be highly significant. The details of experiments conducted under both series in Alleppey and Palghat districts during Autumn 1976 are indicated in the subjoined table.

DETAILS OF EXPERIMENTS PLANNED & CONDUCTED UNDER IADP SERIES AND STATE SERIES DURING AUTUMN 1976

٠.		SERIES A	MD SIMIL	522	· -			
		ALL	EPPEY	•		PALGHAT		
Series	No. of experiments planned	No. of experiments conducted	Mean yield of dry paddy (Kg. Ha)	Standard error	No. of experiments planned	No. of experiments conducted	Mean Yield of paddy (Kg./Ha)	Standard error
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
I. A. D. State	P. 150 137	102 119	1475 2265	70 110	250 120	176 117	3137 2617	113 156

For facilitating comparison, the data on area, mean yield and production of rice in all the districts of the State during Autumn 1975 and Autumn 1976 are given in table 1.2 in the Appendix. The table reveals that the productivity has increased only in Kottayam, Ernakulam and Cannanore districts. Main reasons for the short fall in the productivity were undue delay in the commencement of South-West Monsoon and heavy rains at the time of agricultural operations especially at the flowering stage.

The analysis of variance of plots yields pooled for the state is given in table 1.3 in the Appendix, As far as yield rates are concerned, significant variation was found between taluks as well as between villages within each taluk. In other words yield rates were found to be significantly different from taluk to taluk and between villages within a taluk.

Table 1.4 in the Appendix provides the frequency distribution of the plot yields obtained through the survey in each district. During the season, the yield obtained from 80 experimental plots (7.18%) was found to be less than 500 kg./hectare. The yield of wet paddy from 71 (6.4%) experimental plots was found to be more than 4100 Kg./hectare.

With a view to find out the driage ratio of wet to dry paddy, samples from 3 experiments, (first sample at the beginning of the harvesting season in the taluk, second sample towards the middle of the harvesting season and the third sample towards the end of harvesting season) were collected from each taluk. Results of 142 experiments were taken for analysis. Out of 171 driage experiments originally planned 15 samples could not be collected due to the absence of paddy crop in the selected village in 5 taluks and 14 experiments were rejected at analysis stage. The driage ratio for each district and the state are worked out and presented in table 1.5. The percentage response come to 83. The lowest driage ratio of 83.7% was obtain from Quilon district closely followed by Idikki. The percentage recovery of dry paddy from wet paddy was found to be highest (90.6) in Palghat district. The driage ratio for the state for Autumn 1976 was estimated to be 87.8%. The percentage recovery of dry paddy was found to be higher than that of the state in Alleppey, Palghat, Kozhikode and Cannanore districts.

Independent estimates of mean yield of paddy (simple average) both wet and dry for the districts and the state were framed on the basis of the yield obtained from experimental plots inspected by the Statistical Inspectors and other officers of the department at the district level at harvest stage. 427 harvest stage inspections were conducted out of 444 planned harvest stage inspections. Absence of crop in the selected village and prior harvest of the experimental plot by the Cultivators were reported to be the main reason for the short fall. The estimate the average yield rates (simple average) for the district and state based on harvest stage inspections are given in Table 1.6 in the Appendix. The Mean Yield of paddy was found to be highest in Palghat District.

The estimated Mean Yield of dry paddy relating to Autumn season for taluks, districts and the state for the last 6 years are given in Table 1.7 in the Appendix.

The season wise estimates of area, mean yield and production of rice in the state together with the annual estimates from 1969-70 are given in Table 1.8 in the Appendix.

3.2 High Yielding Varieties

The estimates of area, mean yield and production of high yielding varieties, other varieties including traditional and improved varieties and all varieties of paddy taken together for each district and the state during Autumn 1976 are presented in Table 2.1. It was estimated that 31.8% of the total area under Autumn crop of paddy in the state was under high yielding varieties.

The average yield of high yielding varieties showed an increase of 31.8% over that of other varieties. It was found that about 38.1% of the total production of rice was obtained from the cultivation of High Yielding Varieties of paddy.

Estimated area, mean yield and production of rice relating to high yielding varieties of paddy for all districts and the state during Autumn 1975 and Autumn 1976 are presented in Table 2.2 in the Appendix.

It was found that area under H. Y. V. and the production of rice have increased but the average yield has declined by 11% over these for Autumn 1975. The unusual delay in the on set of monsoon was reported to be the main reason for the short fall in the productivity of high ylelding varieties of paddy. The mean yield of paddy was found to be highest in Palghat District and lowest in Kozhikode District. The mean yield of dry paddy has gone up only in Idikki, Ernakulam and Cannanore Districts.

It was seen that high yielding varieties of paddy was cultivated in 314 plots out of 1115 experimental plots. The names of high yielding varieties of paddy cultivated in the experimental plots are given in Table 2.3. The cultivators preference of high yielding varieties as revealed by this table in their order are Jaya, IR-8 and Jyothi. It was found that Jaya has been cultivated in all the districts of the state and the cultivators of Alleppey District prefer this variety more than other varieties of paddy. Table 2.4 presents the average yield (simple average) obtained for the different high yielding varieties of paddy. The names of high yielding varieties which produced the highest yield in each district and the number of experimental plots where the crop was raised during Autumn 1976 are given below:

TABLE—2

Names of High Yielding Varieties corresponding to highest average yield

 Sl. No	. District	H.Y.V. corresponding to highest average yield	Highest average yield dry paddy Kg. Hect.	No. of experimental plots where H.Y.V. given in Column 3 were raised
1	2	3	4	5
	Trivandrum	Jyothi	3397	2
1.		IR-8	1828	1
2.	Quilon	Bharathy	2869	2
3.	Alleppey	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3653	4
4.	Kottayam	Jaya ID 00	2991	. 3
5.	Idikki	IR-20	4340	1
6.	Ernakulam	Sabari ·	2085	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
7.	Trichur	J aya		14
8.	Palghat	Jaya	3255	5
9.	Malappuram	Jaya	2393	J
10.	Kozhikode	Sabari	2201	i
11.	Cannanore	Jaya	3851	3

The above table shows that highest average yield of 4340 Kg./hectare was obtained from sabari, cultivated in Ernakulam district. But the average yield rates obtained for sabari variety from Quilon and Kozhikode were much less. The second highest average yield was obtained from Jaya variety in Cannanore district. This variety

produced the highest average yield in 4 more districts viz. Kottayam, Trichur, Palghat and Malappuram. 86 plots out of 314 experimental plots under high yielding varieties of paddy, were found to be cultivated with Jaya variety. This shows that cultivators first preference was Jaya variety for Autumn season. It was found that varieties like Aswathy, IR-5, IR-20, Bharathy, Taichung and Sabari require, much more publicity and extension work among the cultivators.

3.3 Cultivation Practices

)

Autumn crop is mainly a rain fed crop. But Table 2.5 shows that 20% of the experimental plots are irrigated. This may be due to the requirement of irrigation at the initial stages when the rain fall was scanty.

It was found that in 89% of irrigated plots Chemical Fertilizers were applied. Remaining irrigated plots were applied with other manures.

In 65% of the unirrigated plots chemical fertilizers were used and in about 33% of the plots other types of manure like the farm yard manure, compost manure etc. were used. 2% of the unirrigated plots were cultivated without using any manure.

It was found that crops in 36.5% of the experimental plots were treated with insecticides and pesticides.

The estimated average yield of high yielding and other varieties of paddy is given in Table 2.5 with the break up into the following classes.

- 1. Irrigated and Unirrigated
- 2. Manured and not manured.
- 3. Applied and not applied with pesticides and insecticides.

.

APPENDICES

•

TABLE No. 1. 1.

Estimated Area, Mean Yield and Production of Rice
Autumn Paddy 1976

· •	Taluk and District	Number of Experiment	Area in (Hect.)	Mcan yield of dry Paddy in Kg Hectare	Standard Error	Production of rice in tonnes
	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1)	\4/ 			3214	214	9078
	. 1	17	4299	21 9 9	38	4970
. N	eyyattinkara	20	3440	1914	257	5774
. T	rivandrum	26	4 592		306	3482
N	edumangad	16	4253	1246		00004
. C	hirayinkil	70	16584	2139	120	23304
ากเ	RIVANDRUM DISTRICT	79 .			667	2307
		18	2834	1239	167	5782
5. Q	guilon	26	5715	1540	297	5265
K	ottarakkara	18	4910	1632	96	4546
7 K	Cunnathur	18	3947	1753	47	2890
, ը	athanapuram	20	1909	2304	107	1421
n 12	athanamthitta	21	3875	558	107	
0. F	Carunagappally			1458	114	22 211
	UILON DISTRICT	121	23190	2112	201	2864
Q	OITON DISTIGO.	12	2064		130	3737
1. F	Carthigappally	21	4461	1275	64	4021
22	Mayelikkara	19	2923	2094	3 3 7	2328
3 (Thengannur	17	2076	1707	26 2	14155
14.	[hiruval]a	13	6272	3435	465	7326
is 1	Kuttanad	19	4189	2662	218	4518
16	Ambalapuzha	18	4188	1642	210	
17.	Sherthallai		o.c. ≠0	2265	110	38949
	LLEPPEY DISTRICT	119	26173		222	2494
Α	Trebber Digitalor	16	1454	2611	2 20	37
18.	Changanacherry	16 12	26	2156	174	13475
19.	Kanjirappally	22	8578	2391	410	
	Kottayam		3793	1993	109	4967
	Vaikam	17 2 2	2515	2293	278	3789
$\frac{21}{22}$.	Meenachil	22		0000	221	247 62
24.	MCCHachar	89	16366	2303	441	
F	COTTAYAM DISTRICT	•	100	2300 @		277
		• •	183	2300	• •	2803
	Peermade	• •	1855	2300	•••	2235
24 .	Deviku am	• •	1479	2300	254	6996
25 .	Udumbanchola	16	4630			10011
26.	Thodupuzha	16	8147	2300	254	12311
	IDIKKI DISTRICT			2218	52	7027
•		15	4822		123	7249
27.	Kothamangalam	22	5006	2204	5 72	2318
28	Muvattupuzha	3	1642	2149	134	6797
29.	Cochin	17	6068	1705	276	16068
30.	Kanayannur	18	10661	2294	34	12600
31.	Kunnathunad	20	8962	2140	140	5548
32.	Alwaye	15	4066	2077		
33.	Parur		41227	2127	114	57607
55.	ERNAKULAM DISTRICT	110	41227			533
	EKNARULAM 222	9	718	1130	398	10572
34.	Grangannore	34	10742	1 4 98	135	10572 6768
35.	Mukundapuram	2 4	7840	1314	164	
35. 36.	Trichur	28	16603	1803	189	19667
37.	Talappally	28 18	6398	846	208	3556
38.	Chowghat	10	<u>-</u> *	1.470	108	41096
50.	TRICHUR DISTRICT	113	42301	1479	108	11000

The Mean yield of Thodupuzha Taluk is taken as the mean yield of other taluks in the District since crop cutting experiments were not conducted.

SMT. 37/989/77.

(1) (2)	(3)	(1)	(5)	(6)	(7)
(1) (2)			3065	37 6	42332
	22	21022		430	33193
39. Chittur	15	19181	2634	3 36	40290
40. Alathur	23	19345	3170	90	25005
41. Palghat	38	21121	1802		10591
42. Ottappalam	19	7378	21 85	270	10391
43. Mannarghat	19	70.0		156	151411
	117	880 4 7	2617	130	201111
PALGHAT DISTRICT	11,		2291	427	13021
	2 6	8651		13	3627
44. Perinthalmanna	18	4 309	1281	169	10463
45. Ponnani	34	13173	1209		16907
46. Tirur	37	18059	1425	176	10507
47. Ernad	31	•		134	44018
	115	44 192	1516		
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT	_	EMOC	818	91	30 7 7
	2 8	5726	1050	185	2831
48. Kozhikode	28	4104	1236	160	2302
49. Quilandy	2 5	2835	987 *		200
50. Badagara		309	987 *	• •	
51. South Wynad			987	81	8410
	81	12974		U -	000
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT		227	2168 *	• •	32 3
52. North Wynad			1958	2 61	8943
	3 4	6952	2189	242	12972
53. Tellicherry	2 8	9020		93	12411
54. Cannanore	40	9818	1924	302	13514
55. Taliparamba	23	9427	2182	222	15405
56. Hosdurg	30	9177	2555	244	
57. Kasargode			2168	104	63568
CANNANORE DISTRICT	155	44621	4100		405015
CANNANORE DISTRICT		363822	2040	48	487647
STATE	1115	303044			
·-					

^{*} Average yield of the District.

TABLE No. 1.2

Estimated Area, Mean Yield and Production of Rice relating to Autumn

Crop of Paddy 1975 and 1976

ا معادد میار _{مدا تا} رین	and the state of t	Area in He	clares	Mean yield of d Kg/Ha	ry Paddy in	Production of tonnes	
i. No.	District	1975	1976	1975	1976	1975	1976
			(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
(1)	(2)	(3)			2139	29472	23304
	Trivandrum	19319	16584	2322	1458	29783	22211
1.	Quilon	21161	23190	2142	2265	46005	38949
2.	Alleppey	30395	26173	2304	2303	10348	2476 2
3.	Kottayam	8008	16366	1967	2300	6640	12311
4.	Idikki	4126	8147	2449	2127	50340	57607
5.	Ernakulam	38096	41227	2011	1479	39987	41096
6.		34566	42301	1761	2617	205018	151411
7.	Trichur	100835	88047	3095	1516	70294	44018
8.	Palghat	50596	44192	2115	987	18218	8410
9.	Malappuram	24934	12974	1112		78963	6356
10.	Kozhikode	65196	44621	1843	2168	585068	48764
11.	Cannanore State	397232	363822	2242	2040	360000	

Autumn Crop of Paddy 1976

Analysis of variance of plot yield posled for the State,
in Kg./Plot of 1/400th of an

Hectare

		Hectare		
Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean sum of Square (Variance)	Variance ratio (Calculated)
, 10, 100			(4)	(5)
	(2)	(3)		10.134 **
(1)		51	63.54	10.134
Setween Taluk Setween Villa-	3240.53	31		
Between Villa- ges within taluk	1374.6 4	137	10.03	1.599
Within Villages within taluk	5303,64	926	6.27	
All	1(420.81	1114		

^{**} Significant at 1% level.

TABLE No. 1.4
Autumn Crop of Paddy 1976
Frequency Distribution of Plot Yields (wet paddy)

	•					Υ .	No. of Experimental plots	mental plot	S				
SI. No.	Class interval (Kg/Ha.)	murpuvairT	MoliuQ	Gddə _{NV}	шпкој 10 у		ส อโฟล์อกาปั	Trichur	Palghai	manuddalahl	hoshikode	Саппапооте	
3	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)
- (Below 500	9	21	5	ಣ	:	1	15	7	7	12	81	88
и с	500 699	-	7	₹'	:	:	7	12	- 8	15	, ∞	2	53
o -	,00— 899,	ተ	2	ys	7	:	-	φ	ະກ	ຕ	7	1	51
4. p	.0.1—10.9		:	4.	:	:	:	4	en	ιΩ	14	9	37
ი ,	1100-1299	2	яO	ന	ຕ	:	ထ	ထ	_د	14	12	10	89
ָם י	13001499	7	œ	ဖွ	∞		4	7	4	9	9	Ξ	63
~ ;	1500—1699	7	9	7	က	-	3	6	6	7	&	11	8
	17001899	4.	10	7	9	-	10	4	5	11	જ	6	2
	19002099	IJ	6	9	4	. 2	4	10	က	9	9	18	73
_	21002299	က	6	9	4		13	ဆ	6	9	_	9	99
	2300 2499	7	က္	14	4.	5	7	9	7	5	-	7	65
	2500—2699	က	10	Ξ	5	:	13	9	∞	.	:	12	72
	27002899	4	-	11	7	1	11	4	ιΩ	9	2	16	89
	2900 - 3099	ıO	80	9	9	4	10	4	9	4	:	7	55
	3100-3299	2	2	4	7	_	4	-	9	61	:	6	33
	3300-3499	7	೮೦	5	ဆ	:	80	1	9	2	<u> </u>	7	43
	3500—3699	4	:	IJ	ಣ	;	ಣ	23	ı	į	;	ŷ	9.8
	3700-3899	4	4	7	33	:	-	:	2	: -	: :) 4	9 6
	3900—4039	က	4		es	:	•	_	િલ	-		· ev	; =
20	4100 and above	œ	4	9	10	64	S	2	22	• 47	:	, ,	; ;
21	Ali	73	121	119	8	16	110	113	117	2 2	: =	55.	1115
		,							,		•	3	211

TABLE No. 1.5

The Results of Driage Experiments-Autumn Paddy 1976

Ş	District	No. of driage Experiments	driage ments	Total yield collected for driage	Total yield after driage operations (Res.)	Driage ratio (Percentage)
		Planned	Analysed	(Kgs.)		٠
1	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)
				0 950	1.950	86.7
1	Trivandrum	12	D I	2.230	3,541	83.7
64	Quilon	18	11	7 4. 74.	4.262	8.68
67	Alleppey		19	3.480	3.053	87.7
4	Kottayam	<u>61</u>	¥.0	0.750	0.636	84.8
rð ,	Idikki	12	. ב	4 500	3,908	8 98
: 9	Ernakulam	21	/ T	3 750	3,265	87.1
^	Trichur	<u>.</u>	7	3 500	3.170	9.06
α	Palghat	<u>.</u>	+ -	9.250	2.392	87.0
6	Malappuram	21 :	,	9 950	2.018	89 7
10	Kozhikode	7	e 1	3.500	3.166	90.4
11	Cannanore	171	142	35.705	31.363	87.8

The shortfall of 29 experiments between the number planned and that analysed in this table was due to the following reasons:--

^{1.} In 55 selected Revenue Villages there was no Autumn Crop and so no crop cutting experiments could be conducted.

^{2.} The results of 14 experiments had to be rejected in scrutiny.

TABLE No. 1.6

Independent Estimate of Mean Yield of Paddy Based on Harvest Stage Inspection During Autumn 1976

		No. of experiments	riments		Mean wield of haddy (Kor. Hect.)	ida (Kor Hect.)
					3 6	
Sl. No.	District	Planned for harvest stage inspection	Inspected at harvest stage	Before driage	After drage	Driage ratio used for columns 5 & 6
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)
	Trivandrum	32	34	2479	2149	0.867
7	Quilon	54	56	1605	1343	0.837
ന	Alleppey	99	58	2249	2020	0.898
4.	Kottayam	45	39	2382	2089	0.877
i.C	Idikki	8	. &	2707	2296	0.848
9	Ernakulam	09	53	2424	2104	0.868
	Trichur	435	49	1627	1417	0.871
æ	Palghat	40	32	3005	2723	0.906
6	Malappuram	32	22	1631	1419	0.870
10	Kozhikode	27	33	841	754	0.897
11	Cannanore	45	43	2606	2356	0.904
	State	444	427	2106	1849	0 878

TABLE No. 1.7

Estimated Mean Yield of Dry Paddy (Kg./Hect.) During Autumn

Season from 1971 to 1976

	Taluk and District	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
 1 .	Ne _y yattinkara	27 69	2797	2276	2237	2377	3214
2.	Trivandrum	2474	2633	2530	2097	2271	2199
3.	Nedumangad	1870	1633	1645	1578	2117	- 1914
4.	Chirayinkil	2711	2178	2266	1941	257 5	1246
	TRIVANDRUM DISTRICT	2457	2330	2164	1976	2322	2139
5.	Quilon	1632	1456	2276	1631	1920	1239
6.	Kottarakkara	1670	1130	2024	1579	1968	1540
7.	Kunnathur	2222	1628	1707	2365	1758	1632
8.	Pathanapuram	2265	2730	2537	2724	2 392	1753
9.	Pathanamthitta	2638	1704	2068	2009	2 994	2304
0.	Karu agappally	1760	· 1940	2404	2326	2618	558
	QUILON DISTRICT	1974	1724	2153	208 4	2142	1458
1.	Karthigappally	2209	1336	2382	2160	2233	2112
2.	Mavelikkara	1928	1512	2474	1780	2090	1275
3.	Chengannur	2433	28 82	2301	1396	2154	2094
4.	Thiruva ^l la	2985	2005	2 56 2	1437	1887	1707
5.	Kutranad	1643	20 69	2 907 .	1627	3348	3 43 5
6.	- mbalapuzha	1267	1152	2379	1747	. 2337	2662
7.	Sherthallai	932	1281	1471	1386	1253	1642
	ALLEPPEY DISTRICT	1824	1670	2304	1673	2304	2265
8.	Changanacherry	2783	2 89 3	2 232	2233	2253	2611
9.	Kanjirappally	2333	2216	2093	2593	2248	2156
0.	Kottayam	1959	1876	1849	2137	1521	2391
1.	V.ikom	1610	1973	2529	1814	1742	1993
2.	Meenachil	2902	267 9	2670	2408	2436	229 3
	KOTTAYAM DISTRICT	2327	2327	2259	2174	1967	2303
3.	Peermade	••	• •	• •	• •	••	
4.	Devikulam	••	••	• •	• •	••	• •
5.	Udumbanchola	• •		••	• •	~ *	2300
6.	Thedupuzha	2524	2032	2695	2 40 4	2471	
	IDIKKI DISTRICT	2505	2092	2713	2359	244 9	2300
7. 8.		2015	2520	2048	2194 2299	2504 2162	2218 220
.o. 29.	• •	2102	1485	2112	1619	1885	214
э. Ю.		1949	1945	1836	1459	1844	170
31	•	1525	2969	2032	2367	2 367	229
12. 12.		2031	2477	1768	1858	1748	214
3.	,	1415	2686	1788	1427	1597	207
. در	ERNAKULAM DISTRICT	1851	2405	1927	1886	2011	212

SMT 37/ :9/77

	Taluk & District	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976
34.	Crangannore	1159	1188	1337	1131	1113	1130
35.	Mukundapuram	2085	1889	1962	1580	1565	1498
6.	Trichur	1693	1944	2083	2370	1882	1314
7.	Thalappally	1776	1570	2061	1984	1938	1803
8,	Chowghat	1228	1068	1721	1553	1221	846
	TRICHUR DISTRICT	1769	1661	2001.	1923	1761	1479
9.	Chittur	2504	2804	3846	33 96	4224	30 65
Ю.	Alathur	3795	3631	3371	3232	3589	2634
ŧ1.	Palghat	329 3	2935	2754	3372	3054	3170
£2 .	Ottappalam .	1992	1809	2217	1580	2221	1802
[3 .	Mannarghat	1243	2045	2319	2437	2132	218 5
	PALCHAT DISTRICT	2740	2670	2904	2 792	3095	2617
4.	Perinthalmanna	2023	2293	2613	18 4 5	2852	22 9
5.	Ponnani	2205	2200	243 3	1 29 5	2542	128
6.	Tirur	2457	2505	2514	1161	1363	1209
7.	Ernad	2223	1946	1858	1512	1824	1423
	MALAPPURAM DISTRICT	2217,	2190	2276	1503	2115	1516
8.	Kozhikode	1331	812	1209	927	1172	818
9	Quilandy	1041	1235	1121	1752	931	1050
0.	Badagara	1629	1367	1168	1134	13 34	12 36
1.	South Wynad	••		• •	•••	•	
	KOZHIKODE DISTRICT	1285	1140	1161	1 3 35	1112	987
2.	North Wynad	•••	* *	• •	• •	• •	
3.	Tellicherry	953	1379	2079	1624	1580	1958
4.	Cannanore	2331	1866	1630	1761	1367	2189
5.	Taliparamba	1573	2140	2138	2212	1749	1924
6.	Hosdurg	1167	1800	224 6	2069	2090	2182
7.	Kasaragode	1826	2136	2143	2093	2022	2 555
	CANNANORE DISTRICT	1605	1924	2077	1996	1843	2168
	STATE	2088	2122	2271	2064	2242	2040

TABLE No. 1.8

Season-wise Area, Mean Yie'd and Production of Rice in Kerala During the Period From 1969-1970 to 1976-1977

E de la companya de l			•	, M	Mundakan			. 0	,	Total	ta!	
	Virippu (A	Virippu (Autumn Grop)		(Win	(Winter Crop)	•	Punga (Su	Punga (Summer Crop)				
Agricultural year	(stotosH) ni psr	Vean yield of dry (.139H1.gA) ni ybbod	ni soir do noitubord esannot	Area in (Hectare)	Vae do bleig naeM Paddy in (KgA Hect.)	ni soir do noitoubor ennot	(139H) ni 180ch.)	Mean yield of dry Paddy in (Kg. Hect.)	ni esir to noiseuborq esinos	Area in (Hect.)	Mean yield of dry Paddy in (Kg. Hect.)	ni soir do noisoubord esunos
	V 3	V 6	, ((5)	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	. (12)	(13)
Ξ	(7)	(c)					11100	10.00	178400	874059	9136	1226413 @
1969-170	393747	2016	521.443	382171	2097	526570	98141	70/7	1/0400	CC0±/0		
	904708	2077	538886	381971	2259	566934	98061	2984	192185	874830	2259	1298005 @
170/61	001400	9196	552246	381971	2378	596808	97888	3151	202684	875157	2351	1351738 @
1971-'72	395296	7 7 6	476199	382171	2426	609234	99623	2918	190941	873694	2527	1376367 @
1972-*73	391900	72.37	505505	380980	2028	507755	100930	2168	143719	874675	2187	1257069 @
1973-'74	392765	2347) i	384836	2382	602186	101703	2936.	196200	881466	2303	1333931
1974-,75	394927	2064			2000	C DEGREE C	104031	2794	190970	884969	2348	1364867
1975-176	\$97232	2242	585068	38370 6	1 200			:	:	:	:	:
1976-'77	363822	2040	487647	•			:					
			× 1	ال ال	eries.							

@ Pooled estimates of State series and I. A. D. P. series

Estimated Area, Mean Yield and Production of High Yielding and Other Varieties of TABLE No. 2.1

Paddy During Autumn 1976

V2
2
7
ಕ
ō
Ξ
Ξ.
_
ď
۲
-

		Number of	r of	10101 0		High yielding varieties	g varieties		Other varieties	s	All	All varieties		
SY.	District	exper m'nts	n'ntr	urtədə 1 spua 1 fo a		:							!	. 1
		H. Y. V.	Total	Percentage mireaxA xo to .on	Area	Mean zield of dry paddy Kg. Hect.	Production of rice in tonnes	Area	Mean vield of dry Paddy Kg./Hect.	Production of rice in tonnes	M Area of in K	Mean rield 1 of dry Paddy in Kg./Hect.	Production of rice in tonnes	
3	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	
_	Trivandrum	1.5	·						.	.		`		
¢	Onilon	CI	6/	18,99	2120	2328	3243	14464	2111	20061	16584	9130	93304	
1 (Kunom	28	121	23.14	2226	1368	9001	90064	1467	1000	10001	0014	10004	
n	Alleppey	53	119	44 5.1	15711	0000	1007	40304	1.40	20210	23190	1458	22211	
4.	Kottavam	9 5		17. Jr	11/61	2320	24009	10462	2173	14940	26173	2265	38949	
5	Idikki	3	0	20,18	11402	3580	19327	4964	1666	5435	16366	2303	24762	
و	Frakulam	מ מ	Q ;	56.25	4577	2440	7337	3570	2232	5236	8147	2300	19311	
: 1~	Trichin			36,36	21453	2456	34616	19774	1770	22991	41227	9197	57607	
- α	Delete	336	113	34.51	11672	1687	12937	30629	1399	28159	49301	1479	41005	
.	raignat	28	117	23.93	33510	2899	63825	54537	2444	87586	88047	0612	11093	
Ð	Malappuram	18	115	15.65	7720	2007	10170	25470	1110	0000	/+000	7107	151411	
01	Kozhikode	15		18 50	1995	7001	6/101	2/400	1412	33839	44192	1516	44018	
11	Cannanore	19		10.04	6771	1201	1017	11743	964	7438	12974	287	8410	
	STATE	7 6			4148	2076	7293	40473	2115	56234	44321	2168	63568	
	TUIC	514	CIII	28.16	115764	2443	185784	248058	1854	302129	363822	2040	487647	
		TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERS	the same of the same of	A Transport		1					1) 	**	

TABLE No. 2.2

Estimated Area Mean Yield and Production of High Yielding Varieties of Paddy During Autumn 1975 And 1976

	Dustries		Area	Area in neci.	Mean yield of dry paddy kgs. shect.	y kgs./hect.	Production of rice in tonnes	rice in tonnes
			1975	9261	1975	1976	1975	9261
			:			,	•	
	(2)	-	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)
	Trivandrum		2450	2120	2494	2328	4014	
	Quilon		4592	2226	2790	1368	8417	3243
	Alleppey	C t	12213	15711	2857	2326	99194	7,000
	Kottayam		2464	11402	2803	2580	4538	24009
	Idikki		1625	4577	2224	2440	2374	13561
•	. Ernakulam		16777	21453	2304	2456	25396	735/
	Trichur		3927	11672	2058	1687	5310	34016
•	Palghat		31511	33510	3387	2899	70120	12937
	Malappuram		9836	7720	2532	2007	16369	03823
	Kozhikode		2992	1225	1657	1264	3957	10179
	·Cannanore		10144	4148	2225	2676	14829	1017 7293
	State		98531	115764	2742	2443	177541	

SMT. 37/989/77.

TABLE No. 2.3

Distribution of fields with high yielding varieties of paddy according to the varieties raised during Autumn 1976

		No. 9	No. of experiments conducted	סניםן		·	Number	of exp	Numbex of experimental plots under different H. Y. V.	plots un	ider diff.	erent H.	r. v.			
Sí. No.	District	Λ '	1210 T	Percentage of H experiments to to No. 05 experime	insairT	and	Cul. 28 (Annapurna)	I. R. 8	1410.C£	Kypmsy	Βίναταίλη	I B 2	I. R. 20	Pankaj	Aunysivy L	Indas
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	3	(8)	(6)	(01)	E	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
1	Trivandrum	15	79	18.99	9	9	-	:	24	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
2.	Quilon	28	121	23.14	4		8	: 	· .c	: :	6	:	:	-	:	-
3.	Alleppey	53	119	44.54	C4	28	7	:	19	:	ر د	:	:	:	:	:
4,	Kottayam	20	68	56.18	:	₹	9	. 1	27	:	4	:	8	:	:	:
rý.	Idikki	6	16	56.25	:	84		ന	:	:	;	:	က	:	:	:
.9	Ernakulam	40	110	36.36	Ξ	8	4	· =	. 61	• :		;	:		-	, -
7.	Trichur	39	113	34.51	12	6		7	8	:	60		:	:	Bran	:
8,	Palghat	28	117	23.93	4	14	:	G	:	:			:	:	:	:
	Malappuram	18	115	15.65	9	s.	•	7	:	•	•		:	:	•	:
.0	Kozhikode	15	. 18	18.52	က	84	-	æ	:	;	:	:	:	:	:	_
Ξ.	Cannanore	19	155	12.26	1	က	:	14		:	:	:	:	:	:	:
	STATE	314	1115	28.16	\$	98	21	29	38	:	<u>6</u>	84	ю.	2	67	೯
					•											

TABLE No. 2.4

Average Yield of High Yielding Varieties—Autumn Crop of Paddy 1975 (Dry Paddy in/kgs)

Rabani	(13)		14.6	• :	•	:	4340	?	•	:	60	1077	2668
Zunyowy I	(12)	:	: :	: :	:	: :	1059	1569	}	. ^	:	2	1314
Pankaj	(E)	:	:		:	:	4191	:	:		:	:	4191
1 R 20	(10)	:	:	:	2035	2991	:	:	:	•	:	:	2513
s a i	(6)	:	1	:	:	:	:	1934	1957	•	:		1943
նիուսեն	(8)	:	1556	2869	2585	:	2201	1225	:	:	:		2087
Aswathy	(2)	:	737		:	:	:	1	:	1	:	•	737
iniont	(9)	3397	1183	1677	2535	:	2293	2071	:	•	:	1483	2091
8 A I	(5)	:	1828	:	2678	2229	2529	1739	2908	1770	1203	2508	2188
Cul. 28 (Annapurna)	(4)	1685	1711	1938	2117	1662	2326	1911	:	:	574	•	1740
phof	(3)	2279	1471	2788	3653	2329	2503	2085	3255	2393	1008	3851	2510
insain T	(2)	2159	895	1821	:	•	2252	1329	983	1961∄	710	2637	1639
District	(1)	Trivandrum	Quilon	Alleppey	Kottayam	Idikki	Ernakulam	Trichur	Palghat	Malappuram	Kozhikode	Cannanore	State average

TABLE No. 2.5

District-wise Yield Rate for High Yielding and Other Varieties of Paddy According to Cultural Practices During Autumn 1976

	·			1	rriga ted				
		Chemic	cally manured	Oth	er manured	Not ma	nured	7	otal
District	Variety	No. of Experiments	Mean yield of dry paddy in Kg./Hect.	No. of Experiments	Mean yield of dry paddy in Kg. Hect.	No. of Experiments	Mean yield of dry paddy in Kg. Hect.	No. of Experiments	Mean yield of dry paddy
(1)	₂ (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Trivandrum	H O T	7 30 37	2592 2480 2501	i I	2523 2523	••	••	7 31 38	2592 2481 2501
Quilon	H O T	3 12 15	1166 2380 2137	• •	••	••	••	3 12 15	1166 2380 2137
Alleppy	H O T	i	2393 2393	•	••	••	••	1	2393
Kottayam	H O T	3 4 7	3015 2413 2671	i	1264 1264	••	••	1 3 5 8	2393 3015 2183
Idikk i	H O T	•• =	••	• •	••	••	••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2 495
Brnakulam	H O T	32 32 64	2406 2034 2220	1 4 5	2221 1396 1561	**	••	33 36 69	2400 1963
Trichur	H O T	8 6 14	1627 1035 1373	1 6 7	3630 1514 1816	••	••	9 12 · 21,	2172 1849 1274 1520
Palghat	H O T	12 32 44	3318 3099 3159	2 4 6	271 1617 1-02	••	••	14 36 50	3197 2934 3008
Malappuram	H O T	9 8 17	2342 2523 2427	2 3 5	2024 2632 2389	••	••	11 11 22	2284 2353
Kozhikode	H O T	••	••	••	••	••		••	2418
Cannanore	H O T	1 3 4	2371 3359 3112	••	••		••	 I 3 4	2371 3359 3112
STATE	H O T	76 127 203	2452 246 7 24 6 1	6 19 25	2474 1727 1906	••	••	82 146 228	2453 2371 2:00

H-High yielding variety, O-Other varieties, T-All varieties.

23

TAB. E No. 2.5 (Contd.)

· .	· .			Un ir	rigated		•		
•		Chemical	ly manured	Othe	er manured	Not	manured	T	otal
Distric i	Veriety	No. of Experiments	Mean yield of dry paddy in Kg. Hect.	No. of Experiments	Mean yield of dry paddy in Kg./Heet.	No. of Experiments	Mean yield of dry paddy in Kg. Hee1.	No. of Experiments	Mean yield of dry paddy in Kg./Hect.
(1)	(2)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)
. rivandrum	H O T	8 31 39	2097 1732 1807	2 2	1404 1404		• • •	8 33 41	2097 1712 1787
Quilon	H O T	25 66 91	1393 1495 1467	15 15	1036 1036	••		25 81 106	1393 1410 1406
Alleppey	H O T	51 45 96	2355 2039 2207	1 14 15	775 1349 1311	7 7	1686 1686	52 66 118	2324 1855 2062
Kottayam	H O T	46 28 74	2597 1976 2362	1 6 7	491 1559 1406	••	•••	47 34 81	2552 1902 2279
Idikki	H O T	9 7 16	2440 2121 2300	• •	••	•••	••	9 7 16	2440 2121 2300
Ernakulam	H O T	7 16 23	2716 2046 2250	13 13	1559 1559	5 5	2110 2110	7 34 41	2716 1869 2014
Trichur	H O T	24 36 60	1657 1368 1484	6 26 32	1562 1078 1169	•••	••	30 62 92	1638 1246 1374
Palghat	H O T	12 32 44	2769 2361 2472	1 19 20	3059 1394 1477	1 2 3	130 498 375	14 53 67	2601 1944 2081
Malappuram	H O T	6 36 42	1406 1508 1493	1 50 51	2568 1160 1188	••	••	7 86 93	1572 1305 1325
Kozhikode	H O T	10 16 26	1577 1143 1310	5 48 53	633 917 891	··· 2 2	969 969	15 66 81	1264 974 1028
Cannanore	H O T	13 53 66	3040 2203 2368	5 79 84	1788 1920 1912	1 1	2281 2281	18 133 151	2693 2036 2114
STATE	H O T	211 366 577	2222 1806 1958	20 272 292	1420 1379 1382	1 17 18	130 1622 1539	232 655 887	2144 1624 1760

24
TABLE No. 2.5 (Concld.)

····		TREEL NO.	2.5 (Concia.)		
	•	Treated wi	th pesticides	Not treate	d with pesticides
District	Veriety	No. of Experiments	Mean yield of dry paddy in Kg.lHect.	No. of Experiments	Mean yield of dry paddy in Kg./Hect.
(1)	(2)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)
Trivandrum	H	10	2316	5	2351
	O	28	2510	36	1754
	T	38	2459	41	1827
Quilon	H	8	1656	20	1253
	O	18	1694	75	1497
	T	26	1682	95	1446
Alleppey	H	42	2210	11	2769
	O	13	2245	53	1760
	T	55	2218	64	1933
Kottayam	H	37	2648	. 13	2387
	O	20	2178	19	1686
	T	57	2483	32	1971
Idika	H	8	2201	1	4356
	O	4	2314	3	1863
	T	12	2239	4	2486
Ernakulam	H	37	2440	3	2649
	O	34	1989	36	1850
	T	71	2224	39	1912
. Trichur	H O T	28 21 49	1688 1196 1477	11 53 64	168 4 1273
Palghat	H	10	3203	18	1344
	O	23	2412	66	2730
	T	33	2652	8 4	2321
Маlарритат	H O T	16 31 47	2008 1566 1716	2 66 68	2409 2003 1391 1409
Kozhikode	H	6	1420	9	1160
	O	2	162	64	999
	T	8	1106	73	1019
Cannanore	H	3	3029	16	2610
	O	8	2446	128	2041
	T	11	2605	144	2104
STATE	H	205	2264	109	2151
	O	202	1977	599	1687
	T	407	2122	70 8	1758

O

TABLE No. 5.1.

Response percentage — Autumn Paddy 1976

		•• كان	Number of Experi	ments	
Sl. No.	District	Planned	Analysed	Percentage response	
(t)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
<u> </u>	Trivandrum	80	79	99	
•	Quilon	121	121	100	
•	Alleppey	137	118	86	***
4	Kottayam	· 100	89	89	
5	Idikki	64	16	25	
6	Ernakulam	120	110	92	•
7	Trichur	113	113	100	
8	Palghat	120	117	98	
.9	Malappuram	118	115	97	
10	Kozhikode	106	81	76	•
11	Cannanore	174	155	89	
	STATE	1253	1115	89	

TABLE No. 3.2.

Details of Non response — Autumn Paddy 1976

_ 		Nun	iber of Exper	iments	Number	of Experin	ients lost du	e to
SI No	District	Planed	Analysed	No. 810\$ in the selected Revenue Village	Primary workers' absence (teave, transfer (etc.)	Prior harvest by Cultivators	Rejected at the Analysis stage	Other reason
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Trivandrum Quilon Alleppey Kottayam Idikki Ernakulam Trichur Palghat Malappuram Kozhikode Conna ore	80 121 137 100 64 120 113 120 118 106 174	79 121 119 89 16 110 113 117 115 81 155 1115	9 6 46 3 24 18		9 5 2 7 - 3 3 1 1 1		

TABLE No. 3.3

Work load of Primary Workers — Districtwise Allocation During Autumn 1976

District			No. of Primary Wo	rket	
	2 Experiment	3 Experiments	4 Experiments	More than 4 experiments	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Trivandrum	4	6	6	5	21
Quilon	23	8	. 9	2	42
Alleppey	••	4	2	15	21
Kottayam	•1	8	10	4	23
Idikki	5	••	2	••	7
Ernakulam	8	14	5	.6	33
Trichur	12	1	10	7	30
Palghat	30	••	4	7	41
Malappuram	1	. 1	5	12	19
Kozhikode	18	• •		3	28
Cannanore .	5	• •	14	13	32
STATE	107	42	74	74	297

TABLE No. 3.4

			No. of Prima	ry Workers		
SI. A	lo. District	2 Experiments or less	3 Experiments	4 Experiments	More than 4 Experiments	Total
(1)	(2)	(3)	/ (4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
ì	Trivandrum	8	r merupa kulun merupakan di	9	4	22
. 2	Quilon	24	2	13	2	41
, 3	Alleppey	1	7	1	13	22
4	Kottayam	- 7	5	7	5	24
5	Idikki	. 5		2	••	7
6	Ernakulam	13	11	. 3	7	34
, 7	Trichur	12	1	; 7	9	29
8	Palghat	12	1	7	9	29
9	Malappuram	1	1	8	10	20
10	Kozbikode	6 .	1	-11	4	22
11	Cannanore	7 ·	2	9	12	30
	STATE	96	32	77	7 5	2 80

TABLE No. 3.5

Number of Experiments Inspected During Autumn 1976

			;	Nun	rber of expe	Number of experiments inspected at	ected at	•	Perce	Percentage of experiments inspected at	rents
		. 3	Harvest	Harvest stage by	Per-harve	Per-harvest stage by	Post har	Post harvest stage by			
SI. No.	District	Number of experiments analysed	District level Officers	(S. Is.)	District level Officers	(S. Is.)	District level Officers	(S. Is.)	harvest stage	pre-harvest stage	post harvest stage
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5).	(9)	(7)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)
l i	Trivandrum	. 62	7	27	4	15	1	Nii	43.0	24.0	1.3
2	Quilon	121	13	43	Nii	13		ന	46.3	10.7	3.3
က်	Alleppey	119	12	46	2	17	:	27	48.7	16.0	1.7
4	Kottayam	68	6	30	Nii	15	4	64	43.8	16.9	6.7
່ຜ	Idikki	16	24	9	2	က	1	Nii	50.0	18.8	:
9	Ernakulam	110	14	39		8	-	-	48.2	8.2	8.1
	Trichur	113	91	33	7	50	61	Nii	43.4	23.9	1.8
ထံ	Palghat	1117	7	25	9	17	:	67	27.4	23.1	1.7
တံ	Malappuram	115	ė.	50	Nii	တ	-	N.	19.1	7.8	0.9
10.	Kozhikode	81	12	21	2	24	3	2	40.7	29.6	1
	Cannanore	155	14	53	-	36	က	•	27.7	23.9	1.9
:	STATE	1115	108	319	25	177	13	. 01	38.3	18.1	2.1



