







Report of Survey on Farmers Suicides in Kerala

Department of Economics & Statistics Thiruvananthapuram-2009

Website: www.ecostat.kerala.gov.in, Email: ecostatdir@gmail.com



Report of Survey on Farmers Suicides in Kerala

Website: www.ecostat.kerala.gov.in, Email: ecostatdir@gmail.com

PREFACE

'Farmers Suicides' is an important subject to be studied and the data collection on this subject is somewhat a difficult task. The aim of this survey is to study the background and reality of the farmer's suicide occurred during the period 2003-2007. All the families of farmers who committed suicide were visited and details are collected in a format designed for the purpose. This report is prepared on the basis of the study conducted and it is expected to fulfill the needs of planners and researchers who interested in the subject and to expose the problems in the agricultural sector. The report depicts the social, educational and financial background of the families and the actual cause for the unfortunate action. Attempts were also made in the report to highlight the district wise comparison of the data collected.

Even though the data collection was a difficult task the investigators and supervisors (at Taluk level and District level) have success fully completed this work in time. I would like to express my gratitude to all of them who render their valuable service. This report is prepared in the Survey Design Division of Directorate of Economics and Statistics with the full cooperation of Computer Division.

Thiruvananthapuram 18.03.2010.

M.R.BALAKRISHNAN
DIRECTOR

Team Of Officers Associated With this Report

1	Sri. K. Asokan	Additional Director.

2 Sri. A.P. harilal Joint Director

3 Sri. K.Vimalan Deputy Director

4 Sri.B. Vinesh Kumar Research Officer

5 Sri. Rajendran Kuttikkadu Statistical Assistant (Grade I)

6 Smt. Beena. R Statistical Assistant (Grade I)

7 Sri.Praveen Kumar. K Statistical Assistant (Grade I)

Contents

Pages

Chapter I	Introduction	1
Chapter II	Basic Information of the Farmers	3
Chapter III	Family Status of the Farmers	11
Chapter IV	Cultivation	16
Chapter V	Financial Problems	18
Chapter VI	District wise Details at a Glance	29
Chapter VII	Conclusion	34
Index I	Tables	37
Index II	Schedules	105

Highlights

- Nine hundred and seventy nine farmers committed suicide during the period 2003-2007.
- Highest number of suicides occurred in Wayanad and lowest in Ernakulam.
- 59% of the suicide farmers belong to the age group of 40-60.
- 70% of the suicide farmers belong to Hindu religion.
- 59 % of farmers adopted Poisson/hanging to end the life.
- 4 % of farmers never cultivated any crop before their suicide.
- 58 % of the suicides were to indebtedness.
- 67% of loans were availed for agriculture, 50% utilized for agriculture purpose.
- 740 loans were taken from private moneylenders bearing high rate of interest.
- The government waived Eight hundred and sixty five loans.

Chapter 1 Introduction

The Indian farmers are trapped in a vicious circle of crop-loss, low price and indebtedness, which lead to dilapidated conditions in agriculture. It is generally accepted that agricultural activity is a noble profession. However nature's fury, exploitation of the market and negligence on the part of the authorities compel the farmers and agriculturists to do away with extensive cultivation. In recent years, the noble profession of Agriculture has become non-profitable to farmers. The contribution of agricultural sector to National income estimation declined from 55% in 1950-51 to 18% in 2007-08, while people's dependancy on agriculture remains almost the same.

In our state also agricultural sector depicts the real scenario that challenges the conventional glory of our past agriculture. Today farmers find it difficult to raise sufficient resources to meet their requirements, since the return from agriculture is insufficient. The cost of cultivation incurred and income earned by farmers from agriculture confirm their miserable situations. The farmers are forced to continue the agricutural activities, since they do not have any alternative and they are in a vicious circle of indebtedness. Thus they are forced to avail loans from banks and other financial institutions, which make some cultivators bankrupt and force them to escape from the miserable situation by committing suicide. An unfortunate consequence of the constellation of hardships faced by these families is the growing number of suicides among farmers, which is not prevalent in any other sectors.

The subject 'Farmers Suicide' is widely discussed in political and economic spheres. In recent years farmer's suicides had been receiving a lot of media attention, more so by the dailies. Increasing incidence of farmer's suicide was an issue of great concern to various state governments. From many corners, there are vague reports regarding the number of farmers suicide and its genuine reasons. Addressing the issue without knowing the reasons make the situation more complicated. There are no authenticated study reports/data regarding the actual number of suicide cases and causes. In these circumstances, Government of Kerala accorded sanction to the DES under take a fact-finding study regarding farmer's suicide and its reasons.

1.1 Objectives of the Survey

- To study the magnitude of farmers committed suicide
- To study the circumstances that led them to commit suicide.
- To study the magnitude of indebtedness
- To study the present liability and socio-economic status of the dependents of deceased.
- To suggest the remedial measures to avoid such situations in future.

1.2 Methodology

A list of the farmers who committed suicide in the last five years (2003-2007) has been collected at district level by contacting the crime records bureau of Police Department, Agricultural department and lead district bank in each district. The final list for the survey was obtained from District Collectorates and through local enquiry. After preparing the list, the field investigators of the department have visited each and every household of the victims, and collected details from the close dependent of deceased in a prescribed schedule/format, which was approved by the technical committee of the department (appended).

1.3 Training

Since the subject is of sensitive nature specialized training was imparted to the field investigators and their supervisors regarding the objectives, concept, definitions and schedules before the execution of field work.

1.4 Period of survey

The field survey has been started in January 2009 and completed in February 2009.

1.5 Supervision

Taluk statistical officers and statistical inspectors were the field level supervisors of the survey. To ensure the quality of the data from the field, supervisory officers have conducted inspection of at least 20% of the households, from where data has been collected by the investigators. At district level two officers including Deputy Director supervised the whole survey work.

1.6 Processing Of Data

The schedules canvassed at field level were scrutinized at district offices and forwarded to the directorate. The schedules were then coded and computerized by the Computer Division at the Directorate. The computer division in the Directorate has prepared necessary software and validated the data. This report has been prepared in the Survey & Design Division of the Department.

Chapter 2 Basic Information of the Farmers

The main objective of the survey is to find out the actual number of farmers, who committed suicide and various reasons for the tragic end from 2003 to 2007. The frame of the survey is obtained from Crime Records Bureau of Police Department, District Collectorates, District lead banks etc and through local enquiry.

The survey collected details of 944 families, in which at least one suicide of farmer was occurred. As per the survey, the total number of suicides occurred in these families from 2003-2007 is 979 and its district wise break-up is given.

Table 2.1- District wise details of number of suicides

Sl No	District	Number of Families	% to Total No. of Families	Number of Suicides	% to Total No. of suicides
I	II	III	IV	V	VI
1	Kasaragod	103	10.91	106	10.83
2	Kannur	111	11.75	113	11.54
3	Wayanad	306	32.41	317	32.38
4	Kozhikkode	41	4.34	46	4.69
5	Malappuram	11	1.16	12	1.23
6	Palakkad	86	9.11	90	9.19
7	Thrissur	75	7.94	78	7.97
8	Ernakulam	4	0.42	4	0.41
9	Idukki	102	10.80	106	10.83
10	Kottayam	9	0.95	9	0.92
11	Alappuzha	20	2.12	20	2.04
12	Pathanamthitta	14	1.48	15	1.53
13	Kollam	15	1.58	16	1.63
14	Thiruvananthapuram	47	4.97	47	4.81
	Total	944	100.00	979	100.00

In Wayanad district 306 families, in which at least one suicide has been occurred during 2003-2007, which was the highest when compared to other districts. 317 cases of suicides were happened in Waynad district alone. More than 100 suicides were reported from the districts Kasaragod, Kannur, Wayanad and Idukki. In Ernakulam district only 4 suicides were happened during 2003-2007. In Ernakulam, Kottayam, Alappuzha and Thiruvananthapuram districts, only one suicide was occurred in each family. In the remaining districts, in some families' more than one member have committed suicide

during the period. In 17 families multiple suicides have occurred, that is more than one member have committed suicide at the same event. The details are furnished in the following table.

Table 2.2-Number of families according to number of suicides in each family


Sl No	Number Suicides II	Number of Families III	Total No. of Suicides IV
1	1	919	919
2	2	18	36
3	3	5	15
4	4	1	4
5	5	1	5
6	Above 5	0	0
Total	-	944	979

2.2 Distribution by Year


The total 979 suicides are happened in between 2003 and 2007. More than 200 suicides (approximately 73.03%) have been reported in the years 2004, 2005 and 2006.

2.4-Year wise Distribution of Suicides

Sl No	Year	Number of Suicides	Percentage
I	II	III	IV
1	2003	145	14.81
2	2004	220	22.47
3	2005	250	25.54
4	2006	245	25.03
5	2007	119	12.15
Total	-	979	100.00



It is noted that the highest and lowest number of suicides occurred during the month April and August respectively. The details are graphically represented here under.



2.3 Distribution by Age


Out of 979 suicides, 59.04% of the victims belonged to the age group of 40-60 years, 21.85% belonged to the age group 21-40 years and 17.26% above 60 years. It is seen that 97 women and 8 children (less than 10 years of age) have committed suicide.

Table 2.5- Number of suicides according to age


Sl. No	Age group	Number of Suicides	Percentage
I	II	III	IV
1	below 10	8	0.82
2	11-20	9	0.92
3	20-40	214	21.86
4	40-60	578	59.04
5	Above 60	169	17.26
6	Not Reported	1	0.10
Total	-	979	100

Table 2.6-Number of Suicides according to Gender

Sl No	Age (years)	Male III	Female IV	Total V
1	Upto 10	4	4	8
2	11-20	7	2	9
3	21-40	183	31	214
4	41-60	531	47	578
5	Above 60	156	13	169
6	Not reported	1	0	1
Total	-	882	97	979



Among the victims, 882 were male and remaining 97 were female. All women and children who committed suicide were close dependent of men who committed suicide in the family.



2.4 Religion

The religion-wise classification of the data shows that 66.90 % of the victims belonged to Hindu religion, among which 88.54% were male and 11.46% were female. 29.93% and 2.65% victims were from Christian and Islam religion respectively. Details of number of victims according to gender and religion are furnished in the following tables.

Table 2.6 -Number of families according to religion

Sl No	Religion	Number of Families
I	II	III
1	Hindu	626
2	Christian	287
3	Islam	25
4	Others	6
Total	-	944

Table 2.7-Number of victims according to religion

Sl No	Religion	Nun	nber of vic	Percentage	
SINO	Kengion	Male	Female	Total	rercentage
I	II	III	IV	V	VI
1	Hindu	580	75	655	66.90
2	Muslim	22	4	26	2.66
3	Christian	275	18	293	29.93
4	Others	5	0	5	0.51
Total		882	97	979	100

It is revealed that no suicides were reported from Islam religion in the districts of Ernakulam, Kottayam, Alapuzha, Pathanamthitta and Thiruvananthapuram. Like wise no suicides were reported from Christians in Alapuzha district. All the victims in Alapuzha district belong to Hindu religion. Religion wise number of families is available in Table **3(B)** of the appendix.

2.5 Social group

Out of 944 families, 54 and 22 families belonged to SC and ST respectively. 445 suicides were from Other Backward Communities and 455 belonged Forward communities. The details are furnished below.

Table 2.8 - Social Group Classification of Families

Sl No	Social Group 11	Number of Families
1	SC	54
2	ST	22
3	OBC	419
4	Others	446
5	Mixed	1
6	Not Reported	2
	Total	944


Table 2.9- Social Group Classification of Victims

Sl No	Social Group	Num	ber of Sui	Percentage	
51 100	Social Group	Male	Female	Total	rercentage
I	II	III	IV	V	VI
1	SC	51	4	55	5.62
2	ST	21	1	22	2.25
3	OBC	385	60	445	45.45
4	Others	423	32	455	46.48
5	Not Reported	2		2	0.20
	Total	882	97	979	100

The ST farmers committed suicide only in five districts viz Kasargod, Kannur, Waynad, Kozhikode and Idukki. From Pathanamthitta and Kollam districts no deaths were occurred in SC families.

2.6 Education

Out of 979 suicide farmers, 74.77% were illiterate or had primary level education. About 20.42% of the victims were educated at secondary level. About 4.29% had higher secondary level of education or above. It is to be noted that 3 persons having postgraduate degree and 10 having graduate degree had committed suicide.




2.7 Distribution According to Major Activity

The main activities of the whole victims were not agriculture. Regular salaried employees, self-employed in non-agricultural field, pensioners, house wives, students etc were also included in the group of victims. Even though 58.63% of the victims were self employed in agriculture. 21.96% of the victims were casual labourers. The number of victims in the other categories was comparatively small. This information indicates that all the suicide persons were not fully engaged in agriculture, few suicide persons, not engaged in agriculture, were close dependents of the victims. The indebtedness, abscence of continuos income, lack of saving habit and other financial problems aggrevated the situation, which compelled them to commit suicide.

2.8 Site of Death.

About 53.93% victims committed suicide inside their dwelling house or premises of dwelling. About 23.39% of the deaths were occured in hospitals, where they were admitted for the treatment. In few cases, the places where they committed suicide were not reported.



2.10- Number of Suicides According to Site of Death

Sl No	Site of death	No	of Suicio	Percentage	
51 190	Site of death	Male	Female	Total	rercentage
I	II	III	IV	V	VI
1	Inside Own dwelling	223	44	267	27.27
2	Premises of dwelling	246	15	261	26.66
3	In Hospital	203	26	229	23.39
4	Other Places	208	12	220	22.47
5	Not Reported	2		2	0.21
	Total	882	97	979	100.00

2.9- Means of suicide

As per details of the 979 cases, 58.73% had committed suicide by using poison. Majority of the remaining committed suicide by way of hanging. Only 7.55% of the victims have adopted other methods of suicide. The method of suicides of 6 victims could not found at the time of survey.

Table 2.10- Number of farmers according to Method of Suicide

Sl	Means of	Number of	Percentage		
No	Suicide	Suicides	rercentage		
I	II	III	IV		
1	Taking Poison	575	58.73		
2	Hanging	324	33.09		
3	Other Method	74	7.56		
4	Not Reported	6	0.62		
	Total	979	100.00		

Example 2 Chapter 3 Family Status of the Farmers

The Survey collected details on various aspects such as possession of land, dwelling status, and other basic facilities like electricity, telephone, vehicle etc to assess the status of the families, before and after the death of the farmers. These details are furnished in this chapter.

3.1 LAND

3.1.1 Land owned

Out of 944 families surveyed, 302 families (31.99%) possessed land in between 100 and 249 cents. About 20.97% of the families possessed land less than 100 cents. It is noticeable that 40 families had land of above 500 cents. Eighteen families had no land of their own at the time of suicide.




Table 3.1- Number of families according to area of land possessed

Sl No	Area of land	Number o	f families
51110	Possessed (Cents)	Before Death	After Death
I	II	III	IV
1	No land	18	31
2	Below 10	65	92
3	10-49	198	261
4	50-99	183	199
5	100-249	302	243
6	250-499	136	93
7	500 cents and above	40	21
8	Not reported	2	4
	Total	944	944

The survey reveals that after suicide, the extend of land possessed has come down due to the liablity and other unexpected expenses incurred in connection with the suicide. The number of families possessing less than 100 cents has been increased and the number of families possessing more than 100 cents has been decreased. A comparison is given in Table 3.2.




Table 3.2- Comparison of Area possessed before and after death

Area of Land Possessed	Percentage of families		
	Before Death	After Death	
I	II	III	
No land or Less than 100 cents	49.15	61.76	
100-499 cents	46.40	35.60	
More than 500 cents	4.24	2.22	
Not reported	0.21	0.42	
Total	100.00	100.00	

3.1.2 Number of families according to area of land leased

54.87% of the families had not leased in any land to extend their agriculture, before the suicide occurred in the families. Eighteen families had leased more than 5 acres for agriculture. After the death, the percentage of farmers that had not leased any land has been increased from 54.87% to 81.36%. The data indicates that the families are not interested in extending farming after the death of farmer.

Table 3.3-Area of Land leased before and after death

Sl No	Area of land	Percentage of families		
51 140	Area or land	Before death	After Death	
I	П	III	IV	
1	No Land	54.87	81.36	
2	Below 100 cents	13.67	4.98	
3	100-499 cents	23.83	3.60	
4	More than 500 cents	1.91	0.42	
5	Not reported	5.72	9.64	
	Total	100.00	100.00	

3.2 Dwelling Status

About 888 (94.07%) of the families had their own dwelling house, 15 (1.59%) families were living rented houses and 44 (4.25%) families depended other means of shelter. After the suicide, 40 families have lost their own dwelling. The number of families living in rented house and other means of shelter has been increased. A comparison of the families before and after the suicide is given in the following table.

Table 3.4- Number families according to ownership of dwelling

SI	Type of	Before Death		After Death	
No	Dwelling	Number of Families	Percentage	Number of Families	Percentage
Ι	II	Ш	IV	V	VI
1	Owned	888	94.07	844	89.41
2	Rented	15	1.59	25	2.65
3	Others	40	4.24	66	6.99
4	Not Reported	1	0.11	9	0.95
	Total	944	100.00	944	100.00

Table 3.5-Number of families according to dwelling type

Sl Type of		Before Death		After Death	
No	Dwelling	Number of families	Percentage	Number of families	Percentage
I	II	III	IV	V	VI
1	Pucca	331	35.06	367	38.88
2	Semi	453	47.99	451	
	pucca				47.78
3	Katcha	159	16.84	111	11.76
4	Others	1	0.11	15	1.59
	Total	944	100.00	944	100.00

3.3 Number of Families according to facilities

To analyse the living status of the families, the survey collected details of common facilities available like electricity, telephone, television etc they have in the families before and after the death of the victim. In the following table a comparison of number of families having the facilities before and after death are given. It can be observed that there is remarkable increase in the number of families having these facilities after the death. This may be due to the intervention of Government or other NGOs.

The number of families having vehicle has been increased. This is due to the increase in the number of families owned two wheeler vehicles. But the number of families having four-wheeler vehicle and other heavy vehicles has been decreased.

Table 3.6-Number of families according to Facilities

		Number of Familie	
Sl No	Facilities	Before	After
		Death	Death
I	II	III	IV
1	Electricity	602	711
2	Telephone	354	638
3	Television	328	465
4	Cooking Gas	233	306

3.4- Agricultural Impliments and Livestock

Table 3.7-Number of families having Livestock

Sl No	Livestock	Number of Families	
51110		Before Death	After Death
I	П	Ш	IV
1	No Livestock	505	520
2	Cow/Ox/Buffalo	333	294
3	Sheep/Goat	57	68
4	Birds	1	1
5	Others	9	11

Out of 944 families, 505 have not owned any livestock. 333 had owned cow/ ox or buffalo. 57 of them had sheep /goat, 10 had birds or other type of livestock. After the suicides, the number of families having livestock has been decreased. A comparison is given in the table above.

According to the survey, majority of families had at least agricultural implements like tractor/triller, plumpest, sprayer etc. About 67.07% of the families had at least one of these implements before and after death. Only 7 families had tractor and 65 families had plumpest. The remaining families have no implements like tracter, triller and plumpest.

3.5- Details of assets sold

Some families have sold assets like land, vehicle, etc after the suicide occurred in the family to reduce the liabilities. About 131 families have sold their land, 28 have sold their vehicle and 175 have sold livestock or other assets. At the same time, 46 have purchased land, 55 have purchased vehicle and 196 have purchased livestock or other assets. Number of families who purchased land is smaller than those sold. While in the case of vehicle and other assets purchased/sold the comparison is in the reverse order.

Chapter 4 Cultivation

The survey collected details of crops and extend of area cultivated. The details given below will help to understand the crops cultivated and the utilisation of lands for cultivation. The details of major crops that the farmers had cultivated are also given below.

4.1 Extend of area cultivated

The survey-collected data on the area cultivated by each family, which is very important in analysing the reasons of farmer's suicide. It is noted that 31 families, in which at least one farmer's suicide occurred, had not cultivated any crops (either in owned or leased land) before the suicide. 71.40% of the families had cultivated area ranging 50 cents to 250 cents. 154 families had cultivated area between 2.5 acres and 5 acres. It is seen that 5.3% of the families had more than 5 acres of area under cultivation.

Table 4.1- Comparison of area cultivation before and after death

GI N		Number of	f families
Sl No	Area of cultivation	Before death	After death
I	II	ш	IV
1	No land	31	109
2	Below 10cents	35	71
3	10-49	153	234
4	50-99	179	193
5	100-249	342	233
6	250-499	154	85
7	Above 499	50	19

The above table reveals that number of families cultivating less than 100 cents has been increased and the number of families cultivating more than 100 cents has been decreased. Also there is a remarkable decrease in the number of families having cultivation. It is assumed that after the suicide occurred in the family, the families are discouraged in farming. The tables '18A' and '18B' in the appendix give district wise details of the increase and decrease in the number of families according to the area of cultivation.

The major crops cultivated by the victims before their death were paddy, coconut, pepper, arecanut, banana etc. More than 300 farmers cultivated mixed crops like coconut, banana, vegetables, tubers etc. 297 families cultivated pepper, out of which 40.74% were from Waynad district alone. Among the districts, Waynad is at the top in the number of farmers who cultivated paddy, pepper, arecanut, coffee, ginger, turmeric, banana and plantain. In the case of coconut cultivation Kasaragod is at the top.

After the death of victims, there is remarkable decrease in the number of families having cultivation of the crops such as paddy, pepper, cardamon, ginger/turmeric, bananna/plantain and vegetables. The number of families who stopped cultivation has been increased from 36 to 136. The reduction is more for the crops of pepper, ginger turmeric, banana and plantain. While the number of cultivators of rubber and some other crops has been slightly increased.

Majority of the families were cultivating more than one crop. About 195 families were cultivating single crops before suicide. The details are available in table '18E' and '18F' in the appendix

Table 4.2-Number of families according to crops cultivated

Sl No	Crops	Number o	f families
51 110	Crops	Before death	After death
I	II	III	IV
1	Paddy	185	121
2	Coconut	360	361
3	Pepper	297	250
4	Arecanut	244	239
5	Coffee	204	201
6	Tea	5	5
7	Sugarcane	1	1
8	Rubber	145	155
9	Cardamom	42	38
10	Vanilla	2	2
11	Ginger/turmeric	157	25
12	Banana/Plantain	356	140
13	Vegetables	89	28
14	Mixed Crops	291	293
15	Others	125	100
16	No cultivation	36	136

Chapter 5 Financial Problems

The survey collected all possible details regarding the financial loss of the farmers before the suicide. There were several reasons for the financial loss of the farmers. These include the crop loss, which is due to different reasons like climatic change, plant diseases, price fall etc. The survey collected the details of the number of farmers those had financial loss according to the crops they cultivated.

5.1 Crop wise Financial Loss

The number of farmers incured financial loss was higher in the case of the crops like coconut, pepper, banana and plantain. The details are given in the following table against some important crops and the percentage of farmers (crop wise) having financial loss.

It is concluded from the following table that more than 60% of the farmers cultivated each crop mentioned, except vanilla, had financial loss. The percentage varies from 60% to 90% for different crops. Year wise financial loss is furnished in the following table.

Table 5.1-Crop wise financial loss of farmers

SI No	Crops	Number of Families Cultivated	Number of Farmers Having Financial loss	Percentage of Farmers having Financial loss to the Total number of cultivators
I	II	III	IV	V
1	Paddy	185	144	77.84
2	Coconut	360	216	60.00
3	Pepper	297	234	78.79
4	Areca nut	244	183	75.00
5	Coffee	204	172	84.31
6	Tea	5	4	80.00
7	Sugar cane	1	1	100.00
8	Rubber	145	95	65.52
9	Cardamom	42	36	85.71
10	Vanilla	2	1	50.00
11	Ginger/turmeric	157	141	89.81
12	Banana/ Plantain	356	290	81.46
13	Vegetables	89	71	79.96
14	Mixed crops	291	213	73.19
15	Others	125	100	80.00

5.2 Year Wise Financial Loss

Table 5.2- Year wise financial loss

Sl No	Year	Number of farmers	Financial Loss in crores	Average V
1	2003	414	1.15	27778
2	2004	395	0.86	21772
3	2005	310	0.70	22581
4	2006	217	0.44	20276
5	2007	170	0.41	24118

As per the results of the survey, the total financial loss to the families of the victims from 2003-2007 is 3.56 crores. The year wise number of farmers and their amount of loss, is given below.

It is desirable to know whether the families were having financial loss in the years coming after the death of the victim. The answer is positive. From table 19A in the appendix, 30 families had financial loss in the year 2004, but the suicides in the family have occurred in the year 2003. Some had financial loss in the year 2005, 2006, 2007, eventhough the suicides occurred in 2003. That is the financial loss in the years 2004-2007 may not be the reason for the suicides in the year 2003. From the table 19B, the financial loss in 2003 and 2004 may be considered as one of the reason for the suicide in 2004, but the financial loss in the subsequent years cannot be considered as one of the reason for the suicide. Likewise from tables 19C, 19D and 19E we will be able to analyse for the years 2005, 2006 and 2007.

5.3 Risk Factors

The survey collected details about the reasons for suicides from the family members or other dependent of the deceased persons. It is reported that, for 75 suicides the main risk factor could not have been identified. Among the remaining 904 suicides, for 58.32% (571) suicides, the main risk factor is indebtedness, which is due to crop damage and price falls or diversion of loan amount to other purposes.

Table 5.3- Number of suicides according to risk factors

Sl No	Risk Factor	Number of suicides	Percentage
I	П	III	IV
1	Indebtedness	571	58.32
2	Crop Damage	136	13.89
3	Disease	67	6.84
4	Family Problems	67	6.84
5	Others	63	6.44
6	Not Known/Not Reported	75	7.66
	Total	979	100.00

13.89% of the farmers committed suicide due to crop damage, 6.84% were due to diseases and 6.84% due to family problems. About 6.44% of the suicides were due to some unspecified reasons.

5.4 Loan Details

5.4.1 Number of Loans.

The farmers from all districts availed loans from nationalised/private banks, Cooperative societies and private moneylenders for agricultural or other purposes. The victims have availed about 1908 different types of loans from various banks. The average number of loans availed per family is approximately 2. Out of the total 1908 loans, 1124 loans were availed from Co-Operative banks and 565 loans from Nationalised banks. They have also depended private scheduled banks, new generation banks etc for loans. About 7.5 crores of rupees were received by the victims as loans from different banks in Kerala. Some of the farmers have taken loans from different banks and some have taken more than one loan from same bank. Out of the 1908 loans only 1416 loan were taken before the death of the victims, that is 137 were taken after the deaths. In Waynad, Malappuram and Alappuzha districts, majority of the loans were taken from Nationalised banks. In all other districts the majority of the loans were from Co-operative banks.

Among the 944 families, 31 families have not availed any loans. 468 families have availed loans only from banks and 40 families from private institution only. 405 families have taken loans from both banks and private institutions.

5.4.2 Types of Loans

Table 5.4-Number of Loans according to types

Sl No	Type of loan	Number of loans	Percentage of the total	Amount of Loan (in crore)	Percentage of Amount of Loan
I	II	III	IV	${f v}$	VI
1	Agriculture	1271	66.62	4.5	59.62
2	Others	606	31.76	2.9	39.29
3	Not Reported	31	1.62	0.082	1.09
	Total	1908	100.00	7.5	100.00

Among the 1908 loans, about 66.62% of loans were Agricultural loans that amounts to Rs. 4.5 crores and 31.76% were other loans that amounts to Rs. 2.9 crores. The other loans include loans for construction, marriage, education etc. For 31 loans the purpose was not available. The data indicates that the farmers have availed higher amount per loans for other than agricultural purposes.

5.4.3 Utilisation

All the loans availed by the victims were not completely utilised for the purpose for which the loan taken. We can see that out of 1908 loans, 66.62% loans were taken for agricultural purpose, but only 49.63% of the loans were utilised for agricultural purposes. That is 16.98% of the agricultural loans were utilised for other purposes. About 17.77% of loans were used for multiple purposes. The following tables give a clear picture about the loans and their utilisation. 132 loans taken for other purposes were utilised for agricultural purposes.

Table 5.5- Number and Amount of Loans according to utilization

Sl	Dumoso	L	oan	Amount	
No	Purpose	Numbers	Percentage	In lakhs	Percentage
I	П	III	IV	V	VI
1	Agricultural	947	49.63	290.19	38.39
2	Construction	152	7.97	81.34	10.76
3	Marriage	66	3.46	20.76	2.75
4	Education	15	0.79	16.74	2.21
5	Treatment	32	1.68	7.16	0.95
6	Purchase of Assets	29	1.52	18.37	2.43
7	Purchase of home appliance	3	0.16	1.37	0.18
8	Others	325	17.02	159.24	21.07
9	Multiple purposes	339	17.77	160.67	21.26
	Total	1908	100.00	755.85	100.00

Table 5.6- Agricultural loans according to Utilisation

Sl	Purpose of Utilisation	L	Loans		nount
No	rurpose of Cunsation	Number	Percentage	In Lakhs	Percentage
I	II	III	IV	V	VI
1	Agriculture	815	64.12	251.76	55.87
2	Construction	31	02.44	5.66	1.26
3	Marriage	25	1.97	6.99	1.55
4	Education	6	0.47	6.1	1.35
5	Treatment	16	1.26	2.92	0.65
6	Purchase of assets	6	0.47	3.38	0.75
7	Others	138	10.86	74.41	16.51
8	Multiple purpose	234	18.41	99.39	22.06
	Total	1271	100.00	450.61	100.00

Out of 1271 agricultural loans, only 64.12% of loans were utilised for agricultural purpose. Other loans were utilised for different purposes such as construction, marriage of the close dependent, education, treatment, purchase of assets etc. When the amount of loan is considered, only 55.87% of the amount on agricultural loans has been utilised for agricultural purposes. The details are furnished in the following table.

In Kottayam District, all agricultural loans availed were utilised for agricultural purpose and in Kollam district 5.18% of the amount on agricultural loans had been utilised for agricultural purpose and 94.82% was diverted for non-agricultural purpose.

5.4.4 Mortgage pledged

Majority of the cases, farmers' availed loans by pledging their properties as mortgage. About 57.49% of the loans were availed in this way. 6.66% loans were gold loans and remaining 35.85 % loans were availed by other means.

5.4.5 Private Loans

The victims had depended not only the banks but also private moneylenders/private financiers for loans. 740 loans, which amounts to about Rs. 2.17 crores had been availed by the farmers from these institutions. It is more than 20% of the overall loan amount availed by the farmers. This shows that in rural areas even now private moneylenders give substantial amount as loans to weaker sections including farmers on exorbitant rate of interest. It is noted that in Malappuram district farmers never availed any type of agriculture loans from private moneylenders/financiers.

Out of the 740 private loans, 36.08% of the loans (35.27% of the total amount) was utilised for agricultural purposes. The farmers utilised the remaining loan amount mainly for other purposes like construction, marriage, education, and treatment etc.


Table 5.7- Private Loans and their utilisation


Sl	Dumaga	L	oan	Amount		
No	Purpose	Numbers	Percentage	In lakhs	Percentage	
I	П	III	IV	V	VI	
1	Agricultural	267	36.08	76.82	35.27	
2	Construction	32	4.32	7.91	3.63	
3	Marriage	41	5.54	15.34	7.04	
4	Education	6	0.81	0.44	0.21	
5	Treatment	36	4.86	7.33	3.37	
6	Purchase of Assets	14	1.89	9.17	4.21	
7	Purchase of home appliance	9	1.22	0.72	0.33	
8	Others	207	27.97	48.70	22.36	
9	Multiple purposes	128	17.30	51.35	23.58	
	Total	740	100.00	217.80	100.00	

In Kozhikode district, all loans availed from moneylenders/private financiers were utilised for non-agriculture purposes. In Pathanamthitta district only one loan was availed by the farmers from moneylenders/private financiers.


5.4.6 Interest Rate

Different types of loans that have taken from banks by the victims bear interest rates ranging from 1% to 27.5%. But the interest rate on all loans was not reported. In Kannur district interest rate of only one private loan was reported. Among the interest rates reported the highest and smallest interest rates were reported from Idukki district. The interest rate of agricultural loans varies from 5.5 to 24%. In the case of agriculture loan also, the highest and smallest interest rate was reported from Idukki District. The diagramatic representation of the interest rates gives a clear picture of interest rates of loans from banks in each district.





The loans from moneylenders, private financiers/individuals bear a high rate of interest ranging from 1% to 120%. Here also the highest and smallest interst rates were reported in Idukki district. This indicates that they were compelled to pay more than double of the principal amount they availed with in a year.



5.4.7- Outstanding Loans

At the time of death, the farmers had owed a huge amount as loans from different banks amounting to Rs.8.1 crores, of which, Rs.6.44 crore was loan amount and Rs. 1.74 crore as interest. Till the death, the farmers had repaid about 14.59% of the total loan. The families of the victims were liable to bear lion portion of the loans availed by the victims.

The details are furnished in the following table. It is seen that the farmers were unable to close any loan in their lifetime. Naturally the liabilities passed on to the family members which aggrevated the indebtedness.

Table 5.8- Details of Outstanding Loans from Banks


		Number of	Amount of	Outstanding				
SI No	District	Loans Availed	Loans availed	Number of Loans	Principal Amount	% of the total amount	Interest	Total
I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
1	Kasragod	208	7946050	208	6800110	85.58	1707969	8508079
2	Kannur	274	8601252	274	7649645	88.94	1243457	8893102
3	Waynad	637	21079070	637	17728314	84.10	4614877	22343191
4	Kozhikkode	87	4134407	87	3695695	89.39	1463200	5158895
5	Malappuram	21	816050	21	813350	99.67	195325	1008675
6	Palakkad	146	6885092	146	5303995	77.04	1745624	7049619
7	Thrissur	125	8443710	125	7701599	91.21	1716045	9417644
8	Ernakulam	6	135000	6	117000	86.67	39575	156575
9	Idukki	223	9009509	223	7835252	86.97	1818187	9653439
10	Kottayam	13	666000	13	631418	94.81	311635	943053
11	Alappuzha	39	1270123	39	1074046	84.56	543597	1617643
12	Pathanam thitta	22	900050	22	683313	75.92	103692	787005
13	Kollam	26	1313600	26	911964	69.42	697058	1609022
14	Thiruvanan- thapuram	81	4385300	81	3534726	80.60	1208697	4743423
	Total	1908	1908	75585213	1908	64480427	85.31	17408938

It is a fact that 49 farmers who committed suicide did not have any outstanding liability at the time of suicide. 565 farmers had liabilities less than one lakh rupees. 267 families had outstanding liabilities in between one lakh and three lakh. Only 63 families had more than three lakh of liabilities.

In the following table, the details of land possessed by the victims at the time of suicide and outstanding liabilities are furnished. It is to be noted that 19 families who had outstanding liabilities were landless farmers. 251 families having outstanding liability of less than one lakh had owned more than one acre of land. It can be concluded that some families had assets to solve their indebtedness.

Table 5.9- Number of Families with amount of liabilities outstanding and land possessed at the time of Death

SI	Land	Number of Families with outstanding liabilities at the time of death					
No.	Posessed	Below Rs. 1 lakh	1 – below 3 lakh	3 – below 5 lakh	5 lakh and above	No Liabilities	Total
I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
1	No land	13	4	1	1	1	20
2	Below						
	10cents	45	13		1	6	65
3	10-49	129	42	9	2	16	198
4	50-99	127	41	7	2	6	183
5	100-249	174	96	11	6	15	302
6	250-499	67	52	8	5	4	136
7	Above 499						
	cents	10	19	5	5	1	40
	Total	565	267	41	22	49	944



In the case of agricultural loan also, major portion of the loan amount taken was outstanding at the time of death. About 87.42% of the principal amounts of agricultural loans were outstanding at the time of suicide. More than 85 lakh rupees were outstanding as interest.

The percentage of outstanding principal amount of agricultural loans in Pathanamthitta District is 56.66%, which is least when compared to other districts in the state. In all districts except Pathanamthitta and Kollam the percentage is more than 80.

Table 5.10- Details of Outstanding Agricultural Loans from Banks

	Number of Amount of Outstanding							
SI No	District	Number of Loans Availed	Amount of Loans availed	Number of Loans	Principal Amount	% of the total amount	Interest	Total
I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
1	Kasragod	131	4613250	131	4426410	95.95	991765	5418175
2	Kannur	181	4822840	181	4262290	88.38	680025	4942315
3	Waynad	464	13762400	464	11760459	85.45	1764425	13524884
4	Kozhikkode	56	1992900	56	1916326	96.16	800183	2716509
5	Malappuram	13	166050	13	163350	98.37	47110	210460
6	Palakkad	83	3160285	83	2661359	84.21	819228	3480587
7	Thrissur	73	5448500	73	4919114	90.28	519904	5439018
8	Ernakulam	3	60000	3	57000	95.00	8640	65640
9	Idukki	118	4566979	118	4209859	92.18	997103	5206962
10	Kottayam	8	196000	8	165000	84.18	50005	215005
11	Alappuzha	37	1187123	37	1009024	85.00	524545	1533569
12	Pathanam thitta	15	500050	15	283313	56.66	46248	329561
13	Kollam	23	1226600	23	829964	67.66	641305	1471269
14	Thiruvanan thapuram	66	3361300	66	2730113	81.22	666423	3396536
	Total	1271	45064277	1271	39393581	87.42	8556909	47950490

5.4.8- Waiving

As mentioned earlier, the subject 'Farmers Suicide' had received a lot of media/press attention. The media coverage on each suicide with much importance and sometimes in an exaggerated way, resulted timely intervention by the government as well as the financial institutions, to provide concessions in the loans availed. Government declared some financial assistance and banks waived some of the loans availed by the farmers. Through the survey, details were collected about the financial concessions, number of loans and amount of loans waived by the Government through various financial institutions.

Table 5.11- Loan waiving Details

Sl No	Bank Type	Number of loans waived	Number of families benefited	Amount in Lakhs
I	II	III	IV	V
1	Nationalised Bank	296	247	108.21
2	Private Scheduled bank	25	24	7.54
3	Co-operative Bank	493	389	170.82
4	New Generation Bank	1	1	0.25
5	Other Banks	50	42	16.56
	Total	865	703	303.38

It is pointed out earlier that 565 loans were availed from nationalised banks, of which 296 loans have been waived, amounting to Rs. 1.08 crore, benefited 247families. 569 loans amounting to 1.95crore from other financial institutions like private scheduled

banks, Co-operative banks, new generation banks, etc have also been waived benefited 456 families. The details are furnished in the following table.

About 43.57% of the total loan amount availed from nationalised banks has been waived. The percentage of loan amount waived through other financial institutions viz-Private Scheduled bank-24.55%, Co-operative bank – 41.72%, new generation bank-4.29%, other banks-27.35%.

14 families received financial assistance directly from government and 637 families received assistance from different banks as per the direction from the Government. Details are furnished below.

Table 5.12-Number of families benefited through Different agencies

Billerent ageneres						
Sl No	Agency	Number of Families	Amount (lakhs)			
I	II	III	IV			
1	Government	14	5.16			
2	Government through Banks	637	229.20			
3	Bank itself	121	38.15			
4	Other Banks	4	4.06			
5	Not reported	89	26.81			
	Total	865	303.38			

The year wise details of loan waived are furnished in the following table. It is seen that majority of the loans waived during the year 2008, i.e. after the suicides occurred in the families. The loans waived till the year 2005 are small in number. It is noted that 72.14% of the waived amount was in or after 2006.

Table 5.13- Year wise details of waiving of loans

Sl. No	Year	Number Of Loans waived	Amount of Loans waived (in lakhs)	Percentage Of number of loans waived
I	II	III	IV	V
1	Before 2003	5	1.06	0.58
2	2003	4	1.79	0.46
3	2004	12	3.95	1.39
4	2005	15	5.87	1.73
5	2006	133	51.41	15.38
6	2007	90	32.42	10.40
7	2008	401	141.00	46.36
8	Not reported	205	65.87	23.70
	Total	865	303.38	100.00

5.4.9 Other Assistance

Besides loan waiving, the families recieved other assistances such as land, house, financial grants/subsidies etc. However the number of families who recieved land and house from government is comparatively less. On the other hand 735 families recieved financial assistance amounting to Rs 357lakhs.

5.4.10 outstanding liabilities

Even after getting assistance from government or other agencies, majority of the families still have indebtedness with various types of financial institutions. But all liabilities are not accrued before the suicide of the farmer. These liabilities include the loans taken by the family members after the suicide of the farmer and cumulative interest of the loans that existed at the time of death. The details of the liabilities as on 31.03.2008 were collected. It is seen that, 676 families have liabilities amounting Rs 637 lakhs and 268 families have cleared thaeir liabilities.

.

Chapter 6

District wise details at a glance

The district/taluk wise details of farmer's suicide and the main reasons of the suicides are furnished.

6.1 Thiruvananthapuram

In Thiruvananthapuram district 47 suicide cases were surveyed. All the suicides were committed during the period from 2003 to 2007and there is no multiple suicide. The primary details of 46 cases were collected from Principal Agricultural Office, Thiruvananthapuram and for one case details was colleted through local enquiry. Among the victims 41 were male and 6 were female. Out of these 3 suicides from Thiruvananthapuram Taluk, 21 from Neyyattinkara Taluk, 14 from Nedumangadu Taluk and 9 from Chirayinkeezhu Taluk.

It is found that majority of the farmers committed suicide due to indebtedness. The total loan amount to all the cases is Rs.59. 64 lakhs and the outstanding liability to all is Rs.64.54 including interest. Out of these, the government waived off Rs.14.87 directly or through banks.

Out of the 47 suicide cases, 28 cases may be considered as farmers suicide, because these 28 farmers utilized the major portion of the loan amount for agriculture and livestock activities, even though they owned very little extend of land and they have taken land under lease for cultivation.

6.2 Kollam

The number of suicide cases surveyed in Kollam district was 16 which include one multiple suicide. Among the victims 14 were male and 2 were female. Among the 16 suicides 6 suicides in Karunagapally, 2in Kottarakkara, 4 in Kunnathur and 3 in Pathanapuram taluks respectively. Nine farmers consumed poisson and 5 others hanged to end their life and the remaining cases the method of suicide is unknown. Out of 16 suicides, 12 suicides were due to indebtedness, 3 suicides were due to diseases/family problems. The reason for 1 suicide was not known. These families had outstanding liabilities of Rs.18.13lakhs at the time of suicides.

6.3 Pathanamthitta

In Pathanamthitta district 15 suicide cases were occured during the reference period of the study. There was one multiple suicide. Among the victims 14 were male and one was female. Among the 15 suicides, 4 in Adoor, 2 in Thiruvalla, 4 in Ranni, and 4 in Kozhencherry Taluks respectively. However no suicide was reported from Mallappally taluk. Among the 15 suicides, 9 were due to indebtedness, 4 due to crop damage, one due to family problems and the other one due to unknown problems. Eleven farmers committed suicide by consuming poisson and 4 by hanging. These families had an outstanding loan liability of Rs.7.87 lakhs at the time of death.

6.4 Alapuzha

The number of suicide cases surveyed in Alapuzha district is 20. Among the 20 suicides, 5 were reported in Karthigapally Taluk, 7 in Mavelikara, 4 in Kuttanad and others in Ambalapuzha Taluk. Out of 20 suicide, 9suicides due to indebtedness, 9 due to crop damage and the rest 2 by some other problems. Among the 20 suicides, 17 farmers committed suicide by taking poison, and the remaining 3used the method of hanging. There is no multiple suicide occurred in this district. These families face an outstanding liability of Rs.3050403/.

6.5 Kottayam

The number of suicides reported in Kottayam district is 9. All these cases were happened during the period from 2003 to 2007. There are no multiple suicide occurred in this district. No female suicides were reported in the district. There are five cases reported in Kottayam Taluk, one in Kanjirapally, one in Changanacherry Taluk and two in Vaikom Taluk. Out of 9 suicides, 4 of them were due to indebtedness, one due to crop damage and one due to some family problems. The reason for other suicides was not specified. Out of the 9 suicides, 6 of them were committed suicide by taking poison and 3 of them by means of hanging. These families were facing outstanding liabilities of Rs.1041053/- at the time of death.

6.6 Idukki

106 farmers committed suicide in Idukki District. There were 3 multiple events occurred in the district. There are 102 male victims and 4 female victims in this district. 84

suicides were happened in Udumbanchola Taluk, 2 in Peermade, 5 in Devikulam Taluk and 11 in Thodupuzha. Taluk. Among 106 suicides, 77 victims committed suicide by the intake of poison, 25 by the means of hanging, and 4 of them used some other methods. 71 of the total suicides occurred in the district were due to the indebtedness, 6 of them due to some disease, 7 of them due to some family problems and 10 due to crop damage. The reason for other suicides were unspecified or not reported. These families had outstanding liabilities of Rs.15825780/-

6.7 Ernakulam

The number of suicide cases surveyed in Ernakulam district is 4. This is the lowest when compared to other district. All these cases were happened in the year 2004. Multiple suicides did not occur in this district. All the victims in the district were males. Among the 4 suicides, 2 of them occurred in Aluva Taluk 1 in North Paravoor and the rest 1 in Kanayannur Taluk. Among the 4 suicides, 3 of them committed Suicide due to the intake of poison and the one by hanging. These families faced an outstanding liability of Rs.284775/-.

6.8 Thrissur

The number of suicide cases surveyed in Thrissur district is 78, during the period from 2003 to 2007. Out of 75suicides, 70 persons were males and 8 of them were females. 33 of these events happened in Mukundapuram Taluk, 29 in Thalappally, 14 cases in Thrissur, 1 in Kodungalloor and the rest one in Chavakad Taluk. 26 of the suicides were due to indebtedness, 4 of them due to diseases, 7 of them by some family problem and 18 due to crop damage. The reasons behind 11 suicides were not known. Among the 75 suicides, 52 of the victims' committed suicide by taking poison, 15 of them by means of hanging and 11 by some other methods. These families had outstanding liabilities of Rs.10295994/-.

6.9 Palakkad

The number of suicide cases surveyed in the district of Palakkad is 90. There are two multiple events occurred in this district. 6 of the suicides happened in Alathur Taluk, 22 in Chittur Taluk, 18 of them in Manarkkad, 28 in Ottapalam and 12 cases in Palakkad Taluk. Among the 86 suicides, 46 of the victims suicide by taking poison, 36 by the

method of hanging, one of them not reported.7 by some other problem.46 of the victims die due to indebtedness, 5 of them by disease, 13 by some family problems, 6 by crop damage, 6 of them not known and the last two of the cases not reported, These families faced an outstanding liabilities of about Rs.8011069/-

6.10 Malappuram

Number of cases reported in the district is 12. One female suicide was reported in the district. Among the 11suicides, 5 of them occurred in Nilambur Taluk, 3 each in Eranad and Perinthalmanna Taluk. Out of 11, 6 of them suicide due to indebtedness, and the others were due to some other reasons such as diseases, family problems etc. Out of 11 suicides, 4 of them committed suicide due to the intake of poison and the others by hanging. These families face out standing liabilities of RS.8, 46, 654/-.

6.11Kozhikode

The number of suicide cases surveyed in Kozhikode district is 46. There are two multiple suicides occurred in this district. There are 38 males and 8 females suicided in this district. Among the 41 suicides 26 of them occurred in Kozhikod Taluk, 7in Vadakara Taluk and 8 in Koyilandy Taluk. Among the 41 suicides, 20 were due to the indebtedness, 5 of them due to different diseases, 6 of them due to the crop damage and the reasons for other cases were not known. These families had outstanding liabilities of Rs.5465395/-.

6.12 Wayanad

The number of suicide cases surveyed in the district of Wayanad is 317. There are 6 multiple suicides occurred in this district. There are 286 males and 31 female suicides occurred in this district. 167 events occurred in Sultan bathery Taluk, 86 in Manathavady Taluk and 53 in Vythiri Taluk. Among the suicides 197 persons committed suicide by taking poison 108 by hanging 10 by other method and the method of suicide of other cases were not reported. Of the 306 suicides 196 of them suicide due to the indebtedness, 27 of them due to disease, 19 by some family poroblem, 43 by crop damage. The reasons behind other suicides were not available. These families had outstanding liabilities of Rs.31351177/-.

6.13 Kannur

The number of suicide cases surveyed in Kannur district is 113. There are no multiple suicides reported in this district. There are 98 males and 15 females suicides in this district. Among the 111 cases 69 suicides are reported in Taliparamba Taluk one in Kannur and the rest 41 in Thalassery Taluk. Among 111 cases, 68 victims committed suicide due to the indebtedness of the family. 5of them due to disease, 8 of them by some social problems 21 by crop damage, 3 by some other reasons and the next 3 cases not reported and the rest 5 of them not known the reason for their suicide. These families had outstanding liabilities of Rs.9913702/-.

6.14 Kasaragod

The number of suicide cases reported in the Kasaragod district is 106. All these cases were happened during the period from 1-01-03 t to 30-11-07. There is only one multiple suicide occurred in this district. There are 98 males and 8 females committed suicide in this district. Among the 103 suicides, 74 of them occurred in Hosdurg Taluk, and the rest 29 in Kasaragod Taluk.71 of the victims suicide due to the indebtedness 12 of them due to the diseases, 5 of them by family problems, 2 by crop damage, 3 by some other problems and reason of 11 cases were not available.

Chapter 7 Conclusion

As mentioned in the introduction chapter, the subject 'Farmers Suicide' was widely discussed, especially through media, press and all other forums. It is assumed that reportage on suicides have both negative as well as positive impact and influence on suicide contemplators. Sensational reporting with detailed information on the mode of suicide, graphic illustration of the suicide, repeated reporting with constant flashing of images related to the suicide, in both press and media have been found to lead an increase in suicides due to the imitation effect, i.e. 'a process by which exposure to the suicide or suicidal behaviour of one or more persons influences them to commit or attempt suicide.' For the survey media was an important source of information on suicides.

The survey attempted to gather all available information on the farmers' suicides from various sources. The frame of the survey was prepared after consulting with Revenue, Police departments and through local enquiry. As a part of the joint effort, 979 cases of deaths were identified as farmers suicide. This is in contrast to the rumour spread all over Kerala that there were more than 5000 of farmers' suicides. In some families parents and their children committed suicide together.

The highest number of suicides (312) was occured in Wayanad district and more than 100 suicides were occurred in the districts of Kasaragod, Kannur and Idukki. This throws light on the fact that the problems in agriculture sector like crop failure, fall of agriculture prices, change of climate etc were much affected the farmers in these districts.

The survey collected the main risk factor that led to suicide of farmers. The main risk factors identified are indebtedness, crop failure, price fall, diseases etc. It is to be reiterated that the risk factors are not mutually exclusive. They can co-exist and even feed to each other aggregating the over all stress of an individual. It is assessed through the survey that about 60-70% of the suicides identified were due to agricultural problems.

Regarding the loan, there was a greater reliance on formal sources like banks, but this does not preclude the reliance on moneylenders. About 28 % of the loans were taken from moneylenders, and these loans bear exorbitant rates of interest. As we discussed, the

loans taken for agriculture purposes from different banks/ institutions were not all utilized for the purpose. Some of the loans have been utilized for other purposes like business, marriage, education, construction of house, repayment of existing loans etc. So it can be concluded that the indebtedness of the farmers were not completely due to agricultural problems.

At the time of death, the farmers had huge liabilities to different banks and moneylenders. At the time of death these farmers altogether had indebtedness of 8.1 crores including the interest. Due to Govt intervention, these families got different assistances/help like loan waiving, monitory assistance etc. More than 800 families have been benefitted through these assistances. After receiving these assistances, the outstanding liabilities of all the farmers has been reduced to 4.73 crores.

Even now some of the families are facing with different problems. The authorities may take different steps to avert the situations that lead to the suicide of farmers again. The reporting of suicides and other sensational news in an exaggerated manner has to be controlled to avoid the tragic repetetion of the events.

Due to all round development in the state, income, expenditure, profit etc are increased in all sectors except agriculture. Businessmen, Industrialists, Doctors, Bank employees, Government servants, etc. have regular income. On the contrary, the rural people consisting of 70% most of them are farmers do not have the basic amenities as secured by the organised sectors. The cost of cultivation i.e. wages, cost of seed, manure and pesticides increased about 100 times than in 1960's while the price of the most of the agriculture products and profit from cultivation is increased by only 10 to 15 times. Due to these reasons majority of agriculturist/cultivators left the field of agriculture. Some traditional cultivators still continues in the field since they do not have any other better alternative. The high level of commercialization of agriculture, liberalisation and globalization lead to a shift in cropping pattern from food crops to commercial crops.

During nineties, the profitability in agriculture declined by 14.2%. The worsening 'cost-risk-return' structure of farming, stagnating income of farmers and the huge and widening income divide between farmers and non-farmers are the main deterrents. Economic growth, which bypasses a large population jobless and not sustainable in the long run.

To ensure that the cultivators are getting a moderate income by controlling the price of agriculture products.

- Market intervention from authority is essential to ensure the price of agriculture products.
- To ensure that the cultivators get enough compensation in case of crop loss due to disasters like flood, draught, diseases etc.
- Deliberate attempt is needed for large-scale investment in agriculture sector, which prevents cultivators to avail loans from private moneylenders.
- The cost of production is invariably higher than the minimum support price due to ever-increasing prices of diesel and other inputs. Minimum Support Price should be regarded as the bottom line for procurement both by Government and private traders. This will be reflected in the prevailing market price.
- An efficient marketing system with Farmer's Organizations could significantly add
 to farmer's income from his produce. They should be promoted to combine
 decentralized production with centralized services such as post-harvest
 management, value addition and marketing etc.
- Security Scheme is essential for ensuring livelihood security, which should take
 care of expenses up to a ceiling for hospitalization in case of illness of a family
 member, maternity, life insurance and old age pension.

Jawaharlal Nehru 1947,

"Everything else can wait, but not agriculture". "Agriculture is a high-risk economic activity" "Agriculture is not just a food producing machine for the urban population"

Table 1
Year wise and Month wise Number of Suicides

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
2003	10	10	15	16	12	7	14	12	13	10	16	10	145
2004	15	22	25	25	16	17	24	11	13	17	19	16	220
2005	22	27	30	21	18	23	19	14	20	21	16	19	250
2006	25	20	22	34	34	24	19	10	21	13	14	9	245
2007	16	15	10	17	10	7	7	9	6	4	10	8	119
Total	88	94	102	113	90	78	83	56	73	65	75	62	979

Table 1(A)
Multiple Suicide and Number of Victims

SI	District	No. of cases with Multiple	No. of Fa	milies		No. o ears	f vict	ims in last 5	Total
No.	District	suicide	Single	2	3	4	5	Above 5	Total
1	Kasaragod	1	101	1	1	0	0	0	103
2	Kannur	0	109	2	0	0	0	0	111
3	Wayanad	6	299	4	2	1	0	0	306
4	Kozhikkode	2	39	1	0	0	1	0	41
5	Malappuram	1	10	1	0	0	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	1	83	2	1	0	0	0	86
7	Thrissur	2	72	3	0	0	0	0	75
8	Ernakulam	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
9	Idukki	2	99	2	1	0	0	0	102
10	Kottayam	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
11	Alappuzha	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	1	13	1	0	0	0	0	14
13	Kollam	1	14	1	0	0	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	47
	Total	17	919	18	5	1	1	0	944

Table 1(B) Multiple Suicide- No of Families

		No. of Cases						st 5 Years	
Sl No.	District	with Multiple suicide	Single	2	3	4	5	Above 5	Total
1	Kasaragod	1	101	2	3	0	0	0	106
2	Kannur	0	109	4	0	0	0	0	113
3	Wayanad	6	299	8	6	4	0	0	317
4	Kozhikkode	2	39	2	0	0	5	0	46
5	Malappuram	1	10	2	0	0	0	0	12
6	Palakkad	1	83	4	3	0	0	0	90
7	Thrissur	2	72	6	0	0	0	0	78
8	Ernakulam	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
9	Idukki	2	99	4	3	0	0	0	106
10	Kottayam	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
11	Alappuzha	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	1	13	2	0	0	0	0	15
13	Kollam	1	14	2	0	0	0	0	16
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	47
	Total	17	919	36	15	4	5	0	979

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Table 1 (C) Number of incidents with Multiple Suicide on Same date with Number of Victims \\ \end{tabular}$

Sl No.	District		I	Numbe	r of vi	etim
SI 140.	District	2	3	4	5	Above 5
1	Kasaragod	0	1	0	0	0
2	Kannur	0	0	0	0	0
3	Wayanad	4	1	1	0	0
4	Kozhikkode	1	0	0	1	0
5	Malappuram	1	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	0	1	0	0	0
7	Thrissur	2	0	0	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	1	1	0	0	0
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	1	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	1	0	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	11	4	1	1	0

Table 2 Number of Victims According to Age group

Sl. No	District		10 yrs		1-20		1-40		0-60		ove 60	Not R	eported
51. 140	District	Male	Female	Male	Female								
1	Kasaragod	1	0	0	0	22	6	50	2	25	0	0	0
2	Kannur	0	0	0	0	17	3	53	10	27	2	1	0
3	Wayanad	1	1	3	1	68	7	176	14	38	8	0	0
4	Kozhikkode	2	0	1	1	7	2	23	4	5	1	0	0
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	3	1	6	0	2	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	0	1	0	0	16	4	62	3	2	2	0	0
7	Thrissur	0	1	1	0	6	2	43	5	20	0	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
9	Idukki	0	1	1	0	23	1	60	2	18	0	0	0
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	4	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	4	0	10	1	5	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	4	0	6	1	4	0	0	0
13	Kollam	0	0	0	0	3	0	11	2	0	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	1	0	7	5	27	3	4	0	0	0
	Total	4	4	7	2	183	31	531	47	156	13	1	0

 $Table\ 2(A)\ Numbers\ of\ Victims\ According\ to\ Year\ of\ Death$

Sl. No	District	20	03	20	04	20	05	20	06	20	07	To	tal
51.110	District	Male	Fe male										
1	Kasaragod	21	1	28	4	17	3	24	0	8	0	98	8
2	Kannur	12	3	20	3	33	5	25	3	8	1	98	15
3	Wayanad	54	6	65	7	69	8	61	5	37	5	286	31
4	Kozhikkode	2	0	9	1	7	2	15	3	5	2	38	8
5	Malappuram	1	0	2	0	4	1	4	0	0	0	11	1
6	Palakkad	6	1	14	2	25	2	23	5	12	0	80	10
7	Thrissur	12	2	15	0	21	4	17	2	5	0	70	8
8	Ernakulam	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
9	Idukki	9	0	22	0	22	0	28	2	21	2	102	4
10	Kottayam	0	0	1	0	1	0	4	0	3	0	9	0
11	Alappuzha	1	0	3	0	5	0	6	0	4	1	19	1
12	Pathanamthitta	2	0	5	0	4	1	3	0	0	0	14	1
13	Kollam	4	0	5	1	2	1	1	0	2	0	14	2
14	Thiruvananthapuram	7	1	7	2	9	4	14	0	2	1	39	8
	Total	131	14	200	20	219	31	225	20	107	12	882	97

Table 3(A)- Number of Victims-Gender and Religion

Cl. N.	District		Hindu		, = ,,,,,,,	Muslim			Christian			Others			Total	
Sl. No	District	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
1	Kasaragod	81	7	88	3	0	3	13	1	14	1	0	1	98	8	106
2	Kannur	59	10	69	2	0	2	35	5	40	2	0	2	98	15	113
3	Wayanad	172	21	193	5	2	7	109	8	117	0	0	0	286	31	317
4	Kozhikkode	24	8	32	3	0	3	11	0	11	0	0	0	38	8	46
5	Malappuram	9	1	10	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	11	1	12
6	Palakkad	62	8	70	6	1	7	12	1	13	0	0	0	80	10	90
7	Thrissur	41	6	47	0	1	1	29	1	30	0	0	0	70	8	78
8	Ernakulam	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	4
9	Idukki	53	3	56	1	0	1	47	1	48	1	0	1	102	4	106
10	Kottayam	7	0	7	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	9	0	9
11	Alappuzha	18	1	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	19	1	20
12	Pathanamthitta	9	1	10	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	14	1	15
13	Kollam	11	2	13	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	14	2	16
14	Thiruvananthapuram	32	7	39	0	0	0	7	1	8	0	0	0	39	8	47
	Total	580	75	655	22	4	26	275	18	293	5	0	5	882	97	979

Table 3(B)- Number of Families and Religion

Sl. No	District	Hindu	Muslim	Christian	Others	Mixed	Not Reported
51.110	District	IIIIIuu	Musiiii	Cirristian	Others	WHACU	110t Reported
1	Kasaragod	86	3	13	1	0	103
2	Kannur	67	2	40	2	0	111
3	Wayanad	185	7	114	0	0	306
4	Kozhikkode	27	3	11	0	0	41
5	Malappuram	9	1	1	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	66	7	13	0	0	86
7	Thrissur	45	0	29	0	1	75
8	Ernakulam	2	0	2	0	0	4
9	Idukki	53	1	47	1	0	102
10	Kottayam	7	0	2	0	0	9
11	Alappuzha	19	0	0	1	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	9	0	5	0	0	14
13	Kollam	12	1	2	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	39	0	8	0	0	47
	Total	626	25	287	5	1	944

Table 3(C)- Number of Families and Social Group

Sl. No	District	Scheduled Caste	Scheduled Tribe	Other Backward Communities	Others	Mixed	Not Reported	Total
1	Kasaragod	2	2	45	54	0	0	103
2	Kannur	1	2	39	68	0	1	111
3	Wayanad	13	16	127	150	0	0	306
4	Kozhikkode	5	1	27	8	0	0	41
5	Malappuram	3	0	3	5	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	12	0	52	22	0	0	86
7	Thrissur	6	0	29	38	1	1	75
8	Ernakulam	1	0	1	2	0	0	4
9	Idukki	3	1	42	56	0	0	102
10	Kottayam	2	0	5	2	0	0	9
11	Alappuzha	2	0	13	5	0	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	3	11	0	0	14
13	Kollam	0	0	7	8	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	4	0	26	17	0	0	47
	Total	54	22	419	446	1	2	944

Table 3 (D)- Number of Victims-Gender and Social Group

Sl.	District		heduled Ca			heduled Tr		Ot	her Backw Communitie	
No		Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
1	Kasaragod	2	0	2	1	1	2	43	4	47
2	Kannur	1	0	1	2	0	2	33	7	40
3	Wayanad	13	0	13	16	0	16	115	20	135
4	Kozhikkode	4	1	5	1	0	1	25	7	32
5	Malappuram	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	1	4
6	Palakkad	12	0	12	0	0	0	48	7	55
7	Thrissur	5	1	6	0	0	0	27	4	31
8	Ernakulam	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
9	Idukki	3	1	4	1	0	1	42	3	45
10	Kottayam	2	0	2	0	0	0	5	0	5
11	Alappuzha	2	0	2	0	0	0	12	1	13
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
13	Kollam	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	8
14	Thiruvananthapuram	3	1	4	0	0	0	22	4	26
	Total	51	4	55	21	1	22	385	60	445

Table 3 D (contd..)

Sl. No	District		Others		N	ot Reporte	ed		Total	
SI. NO	District	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
1	Kasaragod	52	3	55	0	0	0	98	8	106
2	Kannur	61	8	69	1	0	1	98	15	113
3	Wayanad	142	11	153	0	0	0	286	31	317
4	Kozhikkode	8	0	8	0	0	0	38	8	46
5	Malappuram	5	0	5	0	0	0	11	1	12
6	Palakkad	20	3	23	0	0	0	80	10	90
7	Thrissur	37	3	40	1	0	1	70	8	78
8	Ernakulam	2	0	2	0	0	0	4	0	4
9	Idukki	56	0	56	0	0	0	102	4	106
10	Kottayam	2	0	2	0	0	0	9	0	9
11	Alappuzha	5	0	5	0	0	0	19	1	20
12	Pathanamthitta	11	1	12	0	0	0	14	1	15
13	Kollam	8	0	8	0	0	0	14	2	16
14	Thiruvananthapuram	14	3	17	0	0	0	39	8	47
	Total	423	32	455	2	0	2	882	97	979

Table 4 Number of Victims According to Educational Status

CL M.	D:4.2.4	Illi	terate		Primary		mary		ondary		Secondary	Diploma/ Co	ertificate course
Sl. No	District	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1	Kasaragod	10	1	24	1	44	0	14	6	6	0	0	0
2	Kannur	2	0	14	1	48	11	30	2	0	0	0	0
3	Wayanad	25	5	79	11	118	8	56	4	6	2	0	0
4	Kozhikkode	1	2	13	2	13	3	9	1	1	0	0	0
5	Malappuram	1	0	3	0	4	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	7	3	17	2	29	4	23	0	2	1	1	0
7	Thrissur	10	0	13	1	27	6	18	1	1	0	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
9	Idukki	4	1	17	0	63	2	13	1	2	0	0	0
10	Kottayam	0	0	2	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	0	0	1	0	10	0	7	1	0	0	1	0
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	2	0	7	1	4	0	0	0	1	0
13	Kollam	0	0	0	0	11	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	1	0	11	0	20	6	4	0	0	0	1	1
	Total	61	12	198	18	400	43	183	17	18	3	5	1

Table 4 (contd..)

Sl. No	District		Technical ication	Gra	nduate		Graduate above	O	thers	Not R	eported
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1	Kasaragod	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Kannur	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
3	Wayanad	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
4	Kozhikkode	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Thrissur	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
10	Kottayam	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	1	8	2	3	0	2	0	3	0

Table 5 Numbers of Victims According to Activity

Sl. No	District		Not licable	Self En	nployed in culture	Self En	nployed in griculture	Regula	r Salaried ployee		asual bour	Unen	nployed
NO		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1	Kasaragod	1	0	55	2	8	0	2	0	30	3	0	0
2	Kannur	0	3	61	4	7	1	1	0	26	2	0	1
3	Wayanad	1	2	203	10	21	1	4	2	47	3	1	0
4	Kozhikkode	1	0	12	1	5	0	1	0	13	1	3	1
5	Malappuram	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	0	0	40	4	4	1	1	0	29	1	1	1
7	Thrissur	0	0	39	1	4	0	2	0	17	5	1	1
8	Ernakulam	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	0	0	61	2	12	1	2	0	23	0	1	0
10	Kottayam	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	0	0	17	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	8	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
13	Kollam	0	0	10	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	25	2	9	2	1	0	3	0	1	0
	Total	3	5	546	28	73	6	15	2	200	15	8	4

Table 5 (contd..)

Sl. No	District	Stu	ıdent	Domestic	Duties Only		Pensioner,	Ot	thers	Not R	eported
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1	Kasaragod	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	0
2	Kannur	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	2	1
3	Wayanad	1	1	1	9	0	0	7	3	0	0
4	Kozhikkode	0	0	0	5	1	0	2	0	0	0
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	0	1	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0
7	Thrissur	1	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	3	4	5	29	2	0	24	3	3	1

Table 6 Numbers of Victims According to Site of Death

		Inside O	wn Dwelling	Premises	of Dwelling	In H	lospital	Othe	er Place	Not R	Reported
Sl. No	District	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1	Kasaragod	31	2	29	2	10	3	28	1	0	0
2	Kannur	25	8	34	1	12	4	25	2	2	0
3	Wayanad	81	15	79	4	74	8	52	4	0	0
4	Kozhikkode	10	3	17	3	4	0	7	2	0	0
5	Malappuram	2	0	4	1	1	0	4	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	14	7	23	0	20	3	23	0	0	0
7	Thrissur	15	3	15	0	19	4	21	1	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
9	Idukki	25	1	31	1	32	1	14	1	0	0
10	Kottayam	0	0	2	0	1	0	6	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	3	0	3	0	11	1	2	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	6	0	1	0	1	0	6	1	0	0
13	Kollam	6	1	2	1	2	0	4	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	5	4	6	2	13	2	15	0	0	0
	Total	223	44	246	15	203	26	208	12	2	0

Table 7 Numbers of Victims According to Means of Suicide

Sl. No	District	Takin	g Poison		Hanging		Others	Not 1	Reported
S1. NO	District	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1	Kasaragod	41	6	41	1	16	1	0	0
2	Kannur	42	6	44	4	9	5	3	0
3	Wayanad	176	21	100	8	8	2	2	0
4	Kozhikkode	25	3	13	1	0	4	0	0
5	Malappuram	4	0	7	1	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	43	3	31	5	5	2	1	0
7	Thrissur	47	5	14	1	9	2	0	0
8	Ernakulam	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	74	3	24	1	4	0	0	0
10	Kottayam	6	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	16	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	10	1	4	0	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	8	1	4	1	2	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	27	3	9	3	3	2	0	0
	Total	522	53	298	26	56	18	6	0

Table 8- Number of Families According to Area of Land Owned

			710 0 1 (4111100			Before Dea	th			
Slno	District	No Land	Below 10 Cents	10-49 Cents	50-99 Cents	100-249 Cents	250-499 Cents	500 Cents and Above	Not Reported	Total
1	Kasaragod	4	7	22	14	38	16	2	0	103
2	Kannur	4	6	22	21	38	16	3	1	111
3	Wayanad	1	3	26	48	129	75	23	1	306
4	Kozhikkode	0	1	9	19	10	2	0	0	41
5	Malappuram	0	1	6	4	0	0	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	4	11	24	13	19	9	6	0	86
7	Thrissur	0	5	28	18	19	3	2	0	75
8	Ernakulam	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4
9	Idukki	2	9	20	25	34	10	2	0	102
10	Kottayam	0	2	3	1	1	1	1	0	9
11	Alappuzha	0	7	3	2	4	3	1	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	1	3	4	3	3	0	0	0	14
13	Kollam	0	2	6	6	1	0	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	2	7	24	8	5	1	0	0	47
	Total	18	65	198	183	302	136	40	2	944

Table 8 (contd..)

						After Deat	h			
Slno	District	No Land	Below 10 Cents	50-49 Cents	10-99 Cents	100-249 Cents	250-499 Cents	500 Cents and Above	Not Reported	Total
1	Kasaragod	6	8	20	17	34	16	1	1	103
2	Kannur	2	8	30	21	31	15	3	1	111
3	Wayanad	3	18	81	68	91	36	9	0	306
4	Kozhikkode	2	2	9	18	8	2	0	0	41
5	Malappuram	0	1	6	4	0	0	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	4	12	25	13	19	9	3	1	86
7	Thrissur	4	7	27	18	15	2	2	0	75
8	Ernakulam	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	4
9	Idukki	2	12	23	21	33	9	1	1	102
10	Kottayam	0	2	4	0	1	1	1	0	9
11	Alappuzha	0	7	3	4	3	2	1	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	2	4	4	2	2	0	0	0	14
13	Kollam	0	4	6	4	1	0	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	6	6	22	7	5	1	0	0	47
	Total	31	92	261	199	243	93	21	4	944

Table 9- Number of Families According to Area of Land Leased

		Tubi	e 9- Nullibel	or r unimi	25 TICCOT UII	Before Dea		,cu		
Slno	District	No Land	Below 10 Cents	50-49 Cents	10-99 Cents	100-249 Cents	250-499 Cents	500 Cents and Above	Not Reported	Total
1	Kasaragod	76	2	1	5	3	3	0	13	103
2	Kannur	78	2	3	7	10	7	0	4	111
3	Wayanad	121	0	9	33	96	34	10	3	306
4	Kozhikkode	33	0	1	1	3	1	0	2	41
5	Malappuram	7	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	66	2	3	4	10	0	1	0	86
7	Thrissur	44	1	4	10	9	1	0	6	75
8	Ernakulam	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
9	Idukki	49	2	4	8	15	2	1	21	102
10	Kottayam	3	0	0	1	3	1	1	0	9
11	Alappuzha	7	0	1	1	4	2	5	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	4	0	2	2	1	1	0	4	14
13	Kollam	9	0	2	3	0	0	0	1	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	19	0	1	12	12	3	0	0	47
	Total	518	9	32	88	170	55	18	54	944

Table 9 (contd..)

						After Deat	h			
Slno	District	No Land	Below 10 Cents	50-49 Cents	10-99 Cents	100-249 Cents	250-499 Cents	500 Cents and Above	Not Reported	Total
1	Kasaragod	82	2	0	2	1	0	0	16	103
2	Kannur	99	1	1	1	0	1	0	8	111
3	Wayanad	250	1	8	9	18	3	2	15	306
4	Kozhikkode	38	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	41
5	Malappuram	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	77	3	0	2	2	0	0	2	86
7	Thrissur	57	0	7	1	2	0	0	8	75
8	Ernakulam	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
9	Idukki	66	1	0	1	1	0	1	32	102
10	Kottayam	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	9
11	Alappuzha	16	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	7	0	0	2	1	0	0	4	14
13	Kollam	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	42	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	47
	Total	768	9	16	22	28	6	4	91	944

Table 10- Number of Families According to Ownership of Dwelling

G1	D			Before De	eath				After Dec	ath	
Slno	District	Owned	Rented	Others	Not Reported	Total	Owned	Rented	Others	Not Reported	Total
1	Kasaragod	91	2	10	0	103	88	4	10	1	103
2	Kannur	99	5	6	1	111	99	4	7	1	111
3	Wayanad	295	3	8	0	306	285	7	14	0	306
4	Kozhikkode	37	0	4	0	41	30	0	9	2	41
5	Malappuram	11	0	0	0	11	9	1	1	0	11
6	Palakkad	80	2	4	0	86	76	2	7	1	86
7	Thrissur	75	0	0	0	75	70	1	2	2	75
8	Ernakulam	4	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	4
9	Idukki	99	3	0	0	102	95	4	2	1	102
10	Kottayam	8	0	1	0	9	8	0	1	0	9
11	Alappuzha	18	0	2	0	20	16	0	4	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	12	0	2	0	14	11	0	2	1	14
13	Kollam	15	0	0	0	15	15	0	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	44	0	3	0	47	38	2	7	0	47
	Total	888	15	40	1	944	844	25	66	9	944

Table 11- Number of Families According to Type of Dwelling

Slno	District			Before Dea	th				After Deat	h	
		Pucca	Semi Pucca	Katcha	Not Reported	Total	Pucca	Semi Pucca	Katcha	Not Reported	Total
1	Kasaragod	33	55	15	0	103	33	56	13	1	103
2	Kannur	36	65	9	1	111	40	62	8	1	111
3	Wayanad	103	139	64	0	306	124	148	33	1	306
4	Kozhikkode	14	20	7	0	41	15	17	5	4	41
5	Malappuram	6	5	0	0	11	6	5	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	40	26	20	0	86	40	26	19	1	86
7	Thrissur	34	33	8	0	75	36	31	6	2	75
8	Ernakulam	2	0	2	0	4	3	1	0	0	4
9	Idukki	24	56	22	0	102	29	54	16	3	102
10	Kottayam	5	3	1	0	9	4	4	1	0	9
11	Alappuzha	7	8	5	0	20	8	8	4	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	4	8	2	0	14	6	5	2	1	14
13	Kollam	6	9	0	0	15	6	9	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	17	26	4	0	47	17	25	4	1	47
	Total	331	453	159	1	944	367	451	111	15	944

Table 12 Number of Families According to Facilities

			Before Death				After Death		
Slno	District	With Electricity	Without Electricity	Not Reported	Total	With Electricity	Without Electricity	Not Reported	Total
1	Kasaragod	68	35	0	103	70	33	0	103
2	Kannur	66	44	1	111	78	32	1	111
3	Wayanad	164	141	1	306	230	75	1	306
4	Kozhikkode	22	19	0	41	24	13	4	41
5	Malappuram	9	2	0	11	10	1	0	11
6	Palakkad	63	23	0	86	65	20	1	86
7	Thrissur	58	17	0	75	65	8	2	75
8	Ernakulam	2	2	0	4	3	1	0	4
9	Idukki	66	36	0	102	75	24	3	102
10	Kottayam	9	0	0	9	9	0	0	9
11	Alappuzha	16	4	0	20	18	2	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	8	6	0	14	8	4	2	14
13	Kollam	12	3	0	15	14	1	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	39	8	0	47	42	4	1	47
	Total	602	340	2	944	711	218	15	944

Table 12 (contd..)

			Before Death		After Death					
Slno	District	With Telephone	Without Telephone	Not Reported	Total	With Telephone	Without Telephone	Not Reported	Total	
1	Kasaragod	55	48	0	103	66	37	0	103	
2	Kannur	41	69	1	111	85	25	1	111	
3	Wayanad	100	206	0	306	236	69	1	306	
4	Kozhikkode	14	27	0	41	23	14	4	41	
5	Malappuram	2	9	0	11	6	5	0	11	
6	Palakkad	41	45	0	86	55	30	1	86	
7	Thrissur	30	45	0	75	47	26	2	75	
8	Ernakulam	0	4	0	4	3	1	0	4	
9	Idukki	33	69	0	102	66	33	3	102	
10	Kottayam	3	6	0	9	6	3	0	9	
11	Alappuzha	9	11	0	20	12	8	0	20	
12	Pathanamthitta	7	7	0	14	11	2	1	14	
13	Kollam	7	8	0	15	6	8	1	15	
14	Thiruvananthapuram	12	34	1	47	16	29	2	47	
	Total	354	588	2	944	638	290	16	944	

Table 12 (contd..)

			Before Death			After Death					
Slno	District	With Television	Without Television	Not Reported	Total	With Television	Without Television	Not Reported	Total		
1	Kasaragod	36	67	0	103	44	59	0	103		
2	Kannur	33	76	2	111	55	54	2	111		
3	Wayanad	81	225	0	306	144	161	1	306		
4	Kozhikkode	13	28	0	41	14	23	4	41		
5	Malappuram	4	7	0	11	4	7	0	11		
6	Palakkad	36	49	1	86	42	42	2	86		
7	Thrissur	40	35	0	75	55	18	2	75		
8	Ernakulam	0	4	0	4	3	1	0	4		
9	Idukki	38	64	0	102	50	50	2	102		
10	Kottayam	6	3	0	9	7	2	0	9		
11	Alappuzha	11	9	0	20	8	12	0	20		
12	Pathanamthitta	5	9	0	14	6	7	1	14		
13	Kollam	6	9	0	15	8	7	0	15		
14	Thiruvananthapuram	19	28	0	47	25	21	1	47		
	Total	328	613	3	944	465	464	15	944		

Table 12 (contd..)

			Before Death		After Death					
Slno	District	With Cooking Gas	Without Cooking Gas	Not Reported	Total	With Cooking Gas	Without Cooking Gas	Not Reported	Total	
1	Kasaragod	13	90	0	103	16	87	0	103	
2	Kannur	16	91	4	111	27	80	4	111	
3	Wayanad	78	228	0	306	110	195	1	306	
4	Kozhikkode	9	32	0	41	11	26	4	41	
5	Malappuram	2	9	0	11	2	9	0	11	
6	Palakkad	26	60	0	86	29	56	1	86	
7	Thrissur	27	48	0	75	38	36	1	75	
8	Ernakulam	2	2	0	4	2	2	0	4	
9	Idukki	24	78	0	102	31	69	2	102	
10	Kottayam	3	6	0	9	2	7	0	9	
11	Alappuzha	8	12	0	20	7	13	0	20	
12	Pathanamthitta	8	6	0	14	8	5	1	14	
13	Kollam	6	8	1	15	7	7	1	15	
14	Thiruvananthapuram	11	36	0	47	16	30	1	47	
	Total	233	706	5	944	306	622	16	944	

Table 13- Number of Families According to Vehicles Owned

Slno	District			Before Death	;	<u> </u>			After Death		
		No Vehicle	Two Wheeler	Auto/ Jeep/ Car	Tempo/ Lorry/ Bus	Others	No Vehicle	Two Wheeler	Auto/ Jeep/ Car	Tempo/ Lorry/ Bus	Others
1	Kasaragod	97	1	5	0	0	98	1	4	0	0
2	Kannur	105	3	3	1	0	106	3	2	1	0
3	Wayanad	281	7	17	1	0	276	17	14	0	1
4	Kozhikkode	36	2	2	1	0	37	2	2	0	0
5	Malappuram	9	2	0	0	0	9	2	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	70	14	2	1	0	68	16	2	0	0
7	Thrissur	68	4	1	0	2	62	10	1	1	2
8	Ernakulam	2	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	1
9	Idukki	94	2	6	0	0	92	5	5	0	0
10	Kottayam	7	1	0	0	1	7	2	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	19	1	0	0	0	19	1	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	12	0	1	0	1	13	0	0	0	1
13	Kollam	13	2	0	0	0	14	1	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	43	3	1	0	0	34	8	5	0	0
	Total	856	43	38	4	5	837	69	35	2	5

Table 14- Number of Families Having Agricultural equipments

	20022			Death	nig Agricui	After Death					
Sl. No	District	Tractor/ Tiller	Plumpest	Others	No Equipment	Tractor/ Tiller	Plumpest	Others	No Equipment		
1	Kasaragod	1	19	38	45	1	19	38	45		
2	Kannur	1	5	32	73	2	3	32	74		
3	Wayanad	2	4	263	37	2	4	255	45		
4	Kozhikkode	0	2	39	0	0	1	38	2		
5	Malappuram	0	0	9	2	0	0	9	2		
6	Palakkad	2	10	29	45	1	10	27	48		
7	Thrissur	0	7	60	8	0	8	60	7		
8	Ernakulam	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0		
9	Idukki	0	5	69	28	0	5	67	30		
10	Kottayam	1	0	8	0	1	0	7	1		
11	Alappuzha	0	2	4	14	0	1	5	14		
12	Pathanamthitta	0	1	2	11	0	0	2	12		
13	Kollam	0	1	13	1	0	1	11	3		
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	9	10	28	0	12	8	27		
	Total	7	65	580	292	7	64	563	310		

Table 15- Number of Families Having Live Stock Owned

CI		Before Death					After Death					
Sl. No	District	No Livestock	Cow/Ox/ Buffalo	Sheep/ Goat	Birds	Others	No Livestock	Cow/Ox/ Buffalo	Sheep/ Goat	Birds	Others	
1	Kasaragod	64	32	1	0	0	66	29	1	0	1	
2	Kannur	73	27	11	0	0	74	24	11	0	0	
3	Wayanad	135	136	18	0	3	119	147	23	0	3	
4	Kozhikkode	26	11	4	0	0	31	6	4	0	0	
5	Malappuram	5	4	0	0	1	7	1	1	0	1	
6	Palakkad	50	30	1	0	0	45	31	4	0	1	
7	Thrissur	49	12	7	0	1	55	9	4	0	0	
8	Ernakulam	2	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	
9	Idukki	47	44	8	0	2	56	31	9	0	3	
10	Kottayam	5	3	1	0	0	4	2	2	0	0	
11	Alappuzha	11	6	0	0	0	13	3	1	0	0	
12	Pathanamthitta	9	3	2	0	0	10	3	1	0	0	
13	Kollam	10	2	2	0	0	13	0	1	0	0	
14	Thiruvananthapuram	19	21	2	1	2	24	7	6	1	2	
	Total	505	333	57	1	9	520	294	68	1	11	

Table 15(A) Assets Sold After Suicide

CLNo	District		Land	V	ehicle	Others and Livestock		
Sl No.	District	Nos.	Rs.	Nos.	Rs.	Nos.	Rs.	
1	Kasaragod	20	4700500	1	10000	17	336250	
2	Kannur	20	2891500	1	108000	9	35700	
3	Wayanad	35	7821500	13	752000	80	645050	
4	Kozhikkode	5	4180000	3	265000	10	65250	
5	Malappuram	1	6000	0	0	0	0	
6	Palakkad	9	2778000	2	103000	8	147500	
7	Thrissur	17	9157009	0		10	186500	
8	Ernakulam	1	2400000	0		2	13500	
9	Idukki	12	1962000	2	223000	20	231700	
10	Kottayam	2	590000	0		1	1000	
11	Alappuzha	2	1270000	1	50000	4	30050	
12	Pathanamthitta	1	45000	1	125000	1	8000	
13	Kollam	2	1197000	2	45000	1	20000	
14	Thiruvananthapuram	4	588000	2	175000	12	143400	
	Total	131	39586509	28	1856000	175	1863900	

Table 15(B) Assets Purchased After Suicide

CI N.	District		Land	V	ehicle	Others +Livestock		
Sl No.	District	Nos.	Rs.	Nos.	Rs.	Nos.	Rs.	
1	Kasaragod	3	447500	3	630000	9	272800	
2	Kannur	3	163000	1	15000	11	145000	
3	Wayanad	22	3441000	18	1208000	121	3578730	
4	Kozhikkode	1	9000	2	438000	5	33250	
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	1	4500	
6	Palakkad	5	1205000	4	155000	17	339400	
7	Thrissur	3	41005	9	962000	8	44500	
8	Ernakulam	1	1600000	0		1	16000	
9	Idukki	5	585000	6	338600	17	108800	
10	Kottayam	1	450000	1	25000	3	19000	
11	Alappuzha	1	150000	1	40000	0		
12	Pathanamthitta	0		0		2	19500	
13	Kollam	1	850000	1	40000	0		
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0		9	287500	1	3000	
	Total	46	8941505	55	4139100	196	4584480	

Table 16- Number of Families According to Extend of Area Cultivated Before Death

Slno	District	No Land	Below 10 Cents	50-49 Cents	10-99 Cents	100- 249 Cents	250- 499 Cents	500 Cents and Above	Total
1	Kasaragod	3	5	24	13	39	14	5	103
2	Kannur	7	5	17	18	39	21	4	111
3	Wayanad	7	3	25	49	128	72	22	306
4	Kozhikkode	0	0	9	16	13	3	0	41
5	Malappuram	0	2	4	3	1	1	0	11
6	Palakkad	8	11	15	15	17	14	6	86
7	Thrissur	0	2	21	18	27	5	2	75
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	4
9	Idukki	4	3	18	20	43	12	2	102
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	1	3	3	2	9
11	Alappuzha	0	0	1	1	7	4	7	20
12	Pathanamthitta	1	0	5	3	4	1	0	14
13	Kollam	0	2	4	7	2	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	1	2	10	12	18	4	0	47
	Total	31	35	153	179	342	154	50	944

Table 17- Number of Families According to Extend of Area Cultivated After Death

Sl. No	District	No Land	Below 10 Cents	50-49 Cents	10-99 Cents	100- 249 Cents	250- 499 Cents	500 Cents and Above	Total
1	Kasaragod	10	5	24	14	37	12	1	103
2	Kannur	11	9	28	20	27	15	1	111
3	Wayanad	13	23	74	68	85	35	8	306
4	Kozhikkode	4	0	11	17	8	1	0	41
5	Malappuram	2	1	4	4	0	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	15	11	14	17	16	9	4	86
7	Thrissur	7	5	27	17	15	2	2	75
8	Ernakulam	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	4
9	Idukki	9	8	24	20	32	7	2	102
10	Kottayam	4	1	1	0	1	1	1	9
11	Alappuzha	6	2	2	4	3	3	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	5	1	3	3	2	0	0	14
13	Kollam	2	3	5	4	1	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	19	2	17	4	5	0	0	47
	Total	109	71	234	193	233	85	19	944

Table 18 (A)- Number of Families having Cultivated Area Before Death is more than After Death According to Extend of Area Cultivated

Sl. No	District	Below 10 Cents	10-49 Cents	50-99 Cents	100-249 Cents	250-499 Cents	500 Cents and Above	Total
1	Kasaragod	2	4		5	3	4	18
2	Kannur		1	6	17	9	3	36
3	Wayanad	1	2	20	67	45	15	150
4	Kozhikkode			2	5	2		9
5	Malappuram	1	1		1	1		4
6	Palakkad	2	4	2	4	5	2	19
7	Thrissur	1	2	9	14	3		29
8	Ernakulam			2				2
9	Idukki	1	4	5	13	6	1	30
10	Kottayam			1	2	2	1	6
11	Alappuzha				7	1	7	15
12	Pathanamthitta		2	1	3	1		7
13	Kollam		1	4	1			6
14	Thiruvananthapuram	_	2	8	15	4		29
	Total	8	23	60	154	82	33	360

Table 18 (B)- Number of Families having Cultivated Area After Death is more than Before Death According to Extend of Area Cultivated

Sl. No	District	No Land	Below 10 Cents	50-49 Cents	10-99 Cents	100- 249 Cents	250- 499 Cents	500 Cents and Above	Total
1	Kasaragod				1				1
2	Kannur	3							3
3	Wayanad	2			3	2	1		8
4	Palakkad	1		2					3
5	Idukki	1				2			3
6	Thiruvananthapuram				1				1
	Total	7	0	2	5	4	1	0	19

Table 18 (C)- Number of Cultivators According to Crop Cultivated Before Death

Sl No.	District	No Cultivation	Paddy	Coconut	Pepper	Areca nut	Coffee	Tea	Sugar cane	Rubber	Card mom	Vanila	Ginger/ Turmeric	Banana/ Plantain	Vegeta -bles	Mixed Crops	Others
1	Kasaragod	3	9	84	41	43				37		1		15	9	15	20
2	Kannur	8	10	72	27	37			1	38	1		10	40	4	4	27
3	Wayanad	9	95	43	121	85	155	3		10	1		124	131	7	106	10
4	Kozhikkode		2	36	14	37	2			3			5	16	1	8	13
5	Malappuram	1	1	7	2									4	1	1	1
6	Palakkad	8	30	24	5	5	2			8			5	20	7	47	4
7	Thrissur	1	11	40	5	21				17			1	33	14	13	5
8	Ernakulam		2		1								1	4	3		1
9	Idukki	4	1	12	71	12	45	2		12	39	1	5	26	5	72	15
10	Kottayam		5	3						1				4	3	2	3
11	Alappuzha		15	7						4	1			3	2	4	5
12	Pathanamthitta	1	1	1	1					3			1	10	2	4	4
13	Kollam		2	8	1	3				6				13	6	1	7
14	Thiruvananthapuram	1	1	23	8	1				6			5	37	25	14	10
	Total	36	185	360	297	244	204	5	1	145	42	2	157	356	89	291	125

Table 18 (D)- Number of Families According to Crop Cultivated After Death

Sl	District	No	Paddy	Coconut	Pepper	Areca	Coffee	Tea	Sugar	Rubber	Card	Vanila	Ginger/	Banana/	Vegeta	Mixed	Others
No.	District	Cultivation	Paddy	Coconut	Pepper	nut	Coffee	rea	cane	Kubber	mom	vanna	Turmeric	Plantain	bles	Crops	Others
1	Kasaragod	11	6	79	38	43				34		1		10	5	15	19
2	Kannur	15	5	76	19	29			1	41	1		1	9		3	23
3	Wayanad	24	63	57	90	96	157	3		19	1		18	53	4	122	9
4	Kozhikkode	4	2	33	14	33				5			1	6	1	8	8
5	Malappuram	4		7	1					2					1		
6	Palakkad	17	26	24	4	5	1			7			2	9	2	45	5
7	Thrissur	8	5	38	5	20				15				14	7	14	5
8	Ernakulam	2	1										1	2	2		1
9	Idukki	11	1	10	71	10	43	2		11	36	1	2	16	2	68	14
10	Kottayam	4	4	3						1				2		1	4
11	Alappuzha	6	7	6						2				1	1	2	
12	Pathanamthitta	6		1	1					4				3	1	3	3
13	Kollam	3	1	8	1	2				4				5	2		3
14	Thiruvananthapuram	21		19	6	1				10				10		12	6
	Total	136	121	361	250	239	201	5	1	155	38	2	25	140	28	293	100

Table 18 (E)- Number of Families According to Single Crop Cultivated Before Death

SI No.	District	Paddy	Coconut		Areca nut	Coffee		Sugar cane	Rubber	Card mom	Vanilla	Ginger/ Turmeric	Banana/ Plantain	Vegetables
1	Kasaragod		4		1				5				1	
2	Kannur		7	1					1				3	
3	Wayanad	4		1		2						5	2	
4	Kozhikkode													
5	Malappuram		3										1	
6	Palakkad	9	1	1									2	1
7	Thrissur	1	9		1				6				3	1
8	Ernakulam													
9	Idukki												2	1
10	Kottayam	1												1
11	Alappuzha	9	1											
12	Pathanamthitta	1											2	
13	Kollam		2											
14	Thiruvananthapuram		2										2	
	Total	25	29	3	2	2	0	0	12	0	0	5	18	4

Table 18 (F)- Number of Families According to Single Crop Cultivated After Death

Sl No.	District	Paddy	Coconut		Areca nut	Coffee	Tea	Sugar cane	Rubber	Card mom	Vanila	Ginger/ Turmeric	Banana/ Plantain	Vegeta bles
1	Kasaragod		6		1				4					
2	Kannur	1	16						5					
3	Wayanad	2	1		3	17							2	1
4	Kozhikkode				1									
5	Malappuram		4											
6	Palakkad	5	2	1									1	
7	Thrissur		12		1				8				2	
8	Ernakulam													
9	Idukki			2										
10	Kottayam													
11	Alappuzha	5	3											
12	Pathanamthitta								2					
13	Kollam		4						1					
14	Thiruvananthapuram		4						1				2	
	Total	13	52	3	6	17	0	0	21	0	0	0	7	1

Table 18 (G)- Number of Cultivators Having Financial Loss According to Crop Cultivated Before Death

Sl No.	District	No Cultivation	Paddy	Coconut	Pepper	Areca nut	Coffee	Tea	Sugar cane	Rubber	Card mom	Vanila	Ginger/ Turmeric	Banana/ Plantain	Vegeta bles	Mixed Crops	Others
1	Kasaragod		7	47	27	25				18				10	6	8	11
2	Kannur	2	5	44	18	32			1	24	1		10	33	4	4	20
3	Wayanad	4	76	39	110	76	129	3		10	1		108	117	5	91	9
4	Kozhikkode		1	26	6	28	2			3			5	14		4	11
5	Malappuram		1	2	1									4	1		1
6	Palakkad		24	14	1	3	1			7			5	12	7	22	3
7	Thrissur		7	10	1	6				7			1	21	9	13	3
8	Ernakulam		1										1	2	2		1
9	Idukki		1	10	63	10	40	1		11	33	1	5	20	4	53	15
10	Kottayam		4	2						1				3	1	2	3
11	Alappuzha		14	4						3	1			3	1	4	4
12	Pathanamthitta		1	1	1					3			1	10	2	3	4
13	Kollam		2	4		2				6				11	5	1	6
14	Thiruvananthapuram	1		13	6	1				2			5	30	24	8	9
	Total	7	144	216	234	183	172	4	1	95	36	1	141	290	71	213	100

Table 19- Number of Cultivators Having Financial Loss with Amount in Rupees Year wise

Sl No.	District		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007
51 100.	District	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount
1	Kasaragod	30	294200	25	175500	27	275000	26	252000	24	233500
2	Kannur	27	1221000	19	411000	16	637000	6	402500	8	1745100
3	Wayanad	177	6529750	158	4389080	116	2646100	85	1525450	68	827400
4	Kozhikkode	15	154500	16	188500	9	71500	8	94000	11	73500
5	Malappuram	3	43000	3	20000	3	25000	1	5000	0	0
6	Palakkad	27	467500	34	469500	22	482500	15	237000	5	41000
7	Thrissur	25	433000	24	423500	17	334000	6	238000	4	45000
8	Ernakulam	1	20000	1	15000	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	56	957000	58	1261400	57	1225090	48	801850	40	758870
10	Kottayam	3	180000	4	185000	4	241000	2	125000	0	0
11	Alappuzha	10	351800	14	446700	13	394800	8	539000	4	340000
12	Pathanamthitta	10	213500	8	161000	3	52000	2	25000	3	15000
13	Kollam	6	113000	7	117000	6	92000	3	25000	1	5000
14	Thiruvananthapuram	24	609500	24	436200	17	525000	7	185000	2	18000
	Total	414	11587750	395	8699380	310	7000990	217	4454800	170	4102370

 $Table\ 19\ (A)\text{-}\ Financial\ Loss\ with\ Amount\ in\ Rupees\ Year\ wise\ of\ Families\ Suicides\ in\ 2003$

Sl No.	District		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007
SI NO.	District	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount
1	Kasaragod	5	34700	2	10000	2	31000	4	61000	5	75000
2	Kannur	7	634000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Wayanad	45	2111500	19	291300	12	104800	11	56000	14	126200
4	Kozhikkode	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	22000	1	8000
5	Malappuram	1	15000	1	5000	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	1	100000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Thrissur	3	85000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	5	111000	4	77000	4	53600	4	43050	5	190850
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	1	2000	1	65000	1	35000	1	15000	1	7500
13	Kollam	2	18000	1	10000	1	10000	1	5000	1	5000
14	Thiruvananthapuram	4	200000	2	27500	0	0	0	0	1	3000
	Total	74	3311200	30	485800	20	234400	22	202050	28	415550

Table 19(B)- Financial Loss with Amount in Rupees Year wise of Families Suicides in 2004

Sl No.	District		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007
SI NO.	District	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount
1	Kasaragod	12	229500	10	70500	11	71500	11	53500	11	51500
2	Kannur	12	335000	3	50000	0	0	0	0	2	340000
3	Wayanad	56	2143000	39	1038000	10	93000	16	186500	11	60500
4	Kozhikkode	7	110000	5	41000	0	0	0	0	1	5000
5	Malappuram	1	20000	1	5000	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	7	173000	7	117000	3	20000	2	11000	2	10000
7	Thrissur	9	182000	9	211500	1	20000	1	10000	2	15000
8	Ernakulam	1	20000	1	15000	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	14	252600	12	352300	9	132200	9	124500	6	47400
10	Kottayam	1	15000	1	25000	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	3	136000	3	170000	1	6000	0	0	1	5000
12	Pathanamthitta	5	160000	2	43000	0	0	0	0	1	2500
13	Kollam	4	125000	4	125000	2	100000	1	10000	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	9	260000	7	185000	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	141	4161100	104	2448300	37	442700	40	395500	37	536900

 $Table\ 19\ (C)\text{-}\ Financial\ Loss\ with\ Amount\ in\ Rupees\ Year\ wise\ of\ Families\ Suicides\ in\ 2005$

Sl No.	District		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007
SI NO.	District	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount
1	Kasaragod	8	42000	8	49000	5	60000	3	7000	3	55000
2	Kannur	7	258000	11	230000	6	483000	0	0	2	911000
3	Wayanad	38	1176000	48	1628500	34	799000	19	265700	20	187000
4	Kozhikkode	2	4500	5	113000	2	16500	1	18000	2	4500
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	1	10000	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	7	90000	12	228500	5	94000	3	36000	3	31000
7	Thrissur	10	95000	11	135000	7	119000	0	0	1	20000
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	13	227400	16	470100	17	538490	12	189800	12	176220
10	Kottayam	1	100000	1	100000	1	100000	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	3	125000	5	149500	5	187000	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	4	56500	5	65000	3	32000	2	20000	2	10000
13	Kollam	1	10000	1	2000	1	4000	0	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	4	19500	5	47700	4	60000	0	0	0	0
	Total	98	2203900	128	3218300	91	2502990	40	536500	45	1394720

Table 19 (D)- Financial Loss with Amount in Rupees Year wise of Families Suicides in 2006

Sl No.	District		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007
SI NO.	District	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount
1	Kasaragod	6	28000	6	36000	9	101000	9	122500	5	45000
2	Kannur	2	34000	2	30000	8	104000	3	52500	4	494100
3	Wayanad	25	785000	38	1076000	41	1210800	20	446250	14	145700
4	Kozhikkode	6	40000	6	34500	7	55000	5	29000	6	37500
5	Malappuram	1	8000	1	10000	2	15000	1	5000	0	0
6	Palakkad	10	90500	13	115000	13	362500	8	100000	0	0
7	Thrissur	4	73000	5	79000	8	182000	4	208000	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	16	268500	18	267000	17	300300	10	195600	6	98900
10	Kottayam	1	65000	2	60000	2	66000	1	50000	0	0
11	Alappuzha	2	78500	3	102200	3	100000	4	255000	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	1	15000	1	8000	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	0	0	1	10000	1	3000	0	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	7	130000	8	161000	11	440000	5	160000	0	0
	Total	81	1615500	104	1988700	122	2939600	70	1623850	35	821200

Table 19 (E)- Financial Loss with Amount in Rupees Year wise of Families Suicides in 2007

Sl No.	District		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007
SI NO.	District	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount
1	Kasaragod	2	15000	2	26000	2	27500	1	20000	2	21000
2	Kannur	0	0	3	101000	2	50000	3	350000	0	0
3	Wayanad	16	549250	20	559280	20	473500	22	756000	11	328000
4	Kozhikkode	4	10000	4	8000	4	6000	1	25000	2	19500
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	2	14000	2	9000	1	6000	2	90000	0	0
7	Thrissur	0	0	0	0	1	13000	1	20000	1	10000
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	10	117500	10	115000	10	200500	15	328900	11	245500
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	1	75000	1	75000	0	0
11	Alappuzha	2	12300	3	25000	4	101800	4	284000	3	335000
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	0	0	1	10000	2	25000	1	10000	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	2	15000	2	25000	2	25000	1	15000
	Total	36	718050	47	868280	49	1003300	53	1983900	31	974000

Table 20 (A)-Families Having Financial Loss in 2003

Sl No.	District	No loss	Below Rs.10000	10001to 50000	Rs.50001 to 100000	Rs.100001 to 200000	Rs.200001 to 500000	Above 500000	Total
1	Kasaragod	73	24	5	1	0	0	0	103
2	Kannur	84	5	18	3	0	1	0	111
3	Wayanad	129	61	84	24	7	1	0	306
4	Kozhikkode	26	11	4	0	0	0	0	41
5	Malappuram	8	1	2	0	0	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	59	18	7	2	0	0	0	86
7	Thrissur	50	12	13	0	0	0	0	75
8	Ernakulam	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
9	Idukki	46	24	30	2	0	0	0	102
10	Kottayam	6	0	1	2	0	0	0	9
11	Alappuzha	10	2	5	3	0	0	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	4	3	6	1	0	0	0	14
13	Kollam	9	2	4	0	0	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	23	6	15	3	0	0	0	47
	Total	530	169	195	41	7	2	0	944

Table 20 (B)-Families Having Financial Loss in 2004

Sl No.	District	No loss	Below Rs.10000	10001to 50000	Rs.50001 to 100000	Rs.100001 to 200000	Rs.200001 to 500000	Above 500000	Total
1	Kasaragod	78	21	4	0	0	0	0	103
2	Kannur	92	9	8	2	0	0	0	111
3	Wayanad	148	62	81	13	2	0	0	306
4	Kozhikkode	25	15	0	1	0	0	0	41
5	Malappuram	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	52	19	15	0	0	0	0	86
7	Thrissur	51	8	16	0	0	0	0	75
8	Ernakulam	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
9	Idukki	44	27	27	3	0	1	0	102
10	Kottayam	5	1	2	1	0	0	0	9
11	Alappuzha	6	4	7	3	0	0	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	6	3	4	1	0	0	0	14
13	Kollam	8	4	3	0	0	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	23	8	15	1	0	0	0	47
	Total	549	184	183	25	2	1	0	944

Table 20 (C)-Families Having Financial Loss in 2005

Sl No.	District	No loss	Below Rs.10000	10001to 50000	Rs.50001 to 100000	Rs.100001 to 200000	Rs.200001 to 500000	Above 500000	Total
1	Kasaragod	76	20	7	0	0	0	0	103
2	Kannur	95	4	9	1	2	0	0	111
3	Wayanad	190	60	46	7	3	0	0	306
4	Kozhikkode	32	5	4	0	0	0	0	41
5	Malappuram	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	64	9	11	2	0	0	0	86
7	Thrissur	58	3	14	0	0	0	0	75
8	Ernakulam	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
9	Idukki	45	27	27	2	0	1	0	102
10	Kottayam	5	0	2	2	0	0	0	9
11	Alappuzha	7	5	6	2	0	0	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	11	1	2	0	0	0	0	14
13	Kollam	9	4	2	0	0	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	30	5	10	2	0	0	0	47
	Total	634	146	140	18	5	1	0	944

Table 20 (D)-Families Having Financial Loss in 2006

Sl No.	District	No loss	Below Rs.10000	10001to 50000	Rs.50001 to 100000	Rs.100001 to 200000	Rs.200001 to 500000	Above 500000	Total
1	Kasaragod	77	17	9	0	0	0	0	103
2	Kannur	105	2	3	0	0	1	0	111
3	Wayanad	221	55	24	3	3	0	0	306
4	Kozhikkode	33	5	3	0	0	0	0	41
5	Malappuram	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	71	11	3	1	0	0	0	86
7	Thrissur	69	2	3	1	0	0	0	75
8	Ernakulam	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
9	Idukki	54	27	20	1	0	0	0	102
10	Kottayam	7	0	1	1	0	0	0	9
11	Alappuzha	12	2	2	3	1	0	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	12	1	1	0	0	0	0	14
13	Kollam	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	40	2	5	0	0	0	0	47
	Total	727	128	74	10	4	1	0	944

Table 20 (E)-Families Having Financial Loss in 2007

Sl No.	District	No loss	Below Rs.10000	10001to 50000	Rs.50001 to 100000	Rs.100001 to 200000	Rs.200001 to 500000	Above 500000	Total
1	Kasaragod	79	18	6	0	0	0	0	103
2	Kannur	103	0	2	1	3	1	1	111
3	Wayanad	238	53	12	2	1	0	0	306
4	Kozhikkode	30	8	3	0	0	0	0	41
5	Malappuram	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	81	4	1	0	0	0	0	86
7	Thrissur	71	3	1	0	0	0	0	75
8	Ernakulam	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
9	Idukki	62	21	17	1	1	0	0	102
10	Kottayam	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
11	Alappuzha	16	2	1	0	0	1	0	20
12	Pathanamthitta	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	14
13	Kollam	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	45	1	1	0	0	0	0	47
	Total	774	114	44	4	5	2	1	944

Table 21 Numbers of Victims According to Reason

Sl.		Inde	btedness		Disease		Family		Damage	uson	Others	No	t Known	Not I	Reported
No	District			36.1			Problems	_		36.1					
1		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1	Kasaragod	68	3	9	3	4	1	2	0	2	1	11	0	2	0
2	Kannur	57	11	4	1	7	1	20	1	3	0	4	1	3	0
3	Wayanad	181	15	25	2	13	6	42	1	12	3	13	4	0	0
4	Kozhikkode	17	3	3	2	1	0	6	0	7	1	4	2	0	0
5	Malappuram	6	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	44	5	5	0	9	4	6	0	8	1	6	0	2	0
7	Thrissur	24	2	4	0	5	2	16	2	9	2	11	0	1	0
8	Ernakulam	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	69	2	6	0	6	1	10	0	6	1	4	0	1	0
10	Kottayam	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	8	1	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	9	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	11	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	22	5	0	0	2	1	12	0	2	0	1	2	0	0
	Total	523	48	59	8	51	16	131	5	53	10	56	10	9	0

Table 22 (A) Numbers of Loans and Amount According to Bank Type

Sl. No	District	Nation	nalised Bank	Private S	Scheduled Bank	Co-Op	erative Bank	New ge	neration Bank	Oth	er Banks
51. 140	District	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount
1	Kasaragod	55	2378500	4	123000	123	4395750	1	28000	25	1020800
2	Kannur	35	1974000	22	780412	181	4497840	1	28000	35	1321000
3	Wayanad	290	10693584	13	292500	273	7916201	3	176000	58	2000785
4	Kozhikkode	28	791700	1	25000	53	2991707	0	0	5	326000
5	Malappuram	11	322050	1	200000	5	51000	0	0	4	243000
6	Palakkad	37	1372985	2	55000	103	4923107	1	325000	3	209000
7	Thrissur	15	3701000	7	315000	100	4317710	0	0	3	110000
8	Ernakulam	2	35000	0	0	4	100000	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	42	1825912	4	834500	159	5537847	0	0	18	811250
10	Kottayam	6	161000	0	0	7	505000	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	23	540700	0	0	16	729423	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	3	65000	2	23300	16	796750	0	0	1	15000
13	Kollam	1	15000	1	25000	24	1273600	0	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	17	960000	3	400000	60	3000300	1	25000	0	0
	Total	565	24836431	60	3073712	1124	41036235	7	582000	152	6056835

Table 22 (B) -Numbers of Loans and Amount According to Type of Loan (from Banks)

Sl. No	District	Agı	iculture	(Others	Not 1	Reported	,	Total
	District	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount
1	Kasaragod	131	4613250	74	3287800	3	45000	208	7946050
2	Kannur	181	4822840	91	3766412	2	12000	274	8601252
3	Wayanad	464	13762400	151	6792670	22	524000	637	21079070
4	Kozhikkode	56	1992900	31	2141507	0	0	87	4134407
5	Malappuram	13	166050	4	405000	4	245000	21	816050
6	Palakkad	83	3160285	63	3724807	0	0	146	6885092
7	Thrissur	73	5448500	52	2995210	0	0	125	8443710
8	Ernakulam	3	60000	3	75000	0	0	6	135000
9	Idukki	118	4566979	105	4442530	0	0	223	9009509
10	Kottayam	8	196000	5	470000	0	0	13	666000
11	Alappuzha	37	1187123	2	83000	0	0	39	1270123
12	Pathanamthitta	15	500050	7	400000	0	0	22	900050
13	Kollam	23	1226600	3	87000	0	0	26	1313600
14	Thiruvananthapuram	66	3361300	15	1024000	0	0	81	4385300
	Total	1271	45064277	606	29694936	31	826000	1908	75585213

Table 22 (C) - Numbers of Loan and Amount According to Utilisation (from Banks)

					Danks						
Sl.	District	Ag	riculture	Cor	nstruction	M	arriage	Ed	lucation	Tr	eatment
No	District	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount
1	Kasaragod	96	3665200	25	1076000	2	27000	0	0	5	135260
2	Kannur	146	3658340	43	1751500	16	580000	0	0	1	15000
3	Wayanad	360	9314176	30	1582150	20	529450	7	953000	20	459100
4	Kozhikkode	31	1307407	10	866000	2	54000	0	0	2	43000
5	Malappuram	10	121050	1	150000	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	65	2390800	24	1707157	12	361300	2	80000	0	0
7	Thrissur	40	1611500	7	316660	3	174000	0	0	0	0
8	Ernakulam	4	100000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	101	3887900	10	476800	6	50200	2	291000	4	64000
10	Kottayam	10	386000	0	0	2	200000	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	32	793558	1	8000	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	12	431750	1	200000	1	15000	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	5	63500	0	0	1	25000	0	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	35	1288000	0	0	1	60000	4	350000	0	0
•	Total	947	29019181	152	8134267	66	2075950	15	1674000	32	716360

Table 22 (C) contd

Sl. No	District		rchase of assets	I	chase of Iome oliances	1	Others	Multiple Purpose		
		Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	
1	Kasaragod	2	275000	1	25000	49	1483720	28	1258870	
2	Kannur	3	265000	0	0	29	1079000	36	1252412	
3	Wayanad	17	1038000	2	112000	85	2565685	96	4525509	
4	Kozhikkode	1	25000	0	0	9	256000	32	1583000	
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	8	323000	2	222000	
6	Palakkad	3	164350	0	0	24	1395000	16	786485	
7	Thrissur	1	35000	0	0	58	5780350	16	526200	
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	1	25000	1	10000	
9	Idukki	1	10000	0	0	31	1320666	68	2908943	
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	80000	
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	4	195000	2	273565	
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	6	193300	2	60000	
13	Kollam	0	0	0	0	6	546900	14	678200	
14	Thiruvananthapuram	1	25000	0	0 0		760000	25	1902300	
	Total	29	1837350	3	137000	325	15923621	339	16067484	

Table 22 (D) -Numbers of Agricultural Loan and Amount According to Utilisation (from Banks)

	(Holl Balks)													
Sl.	District	Aş	griculture	Con	struction	M	arriage	Ed	lucation	Tr	eatment			
No	District	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount			
1	Kasaragod	74	2771200	6	149000	1	15000	0	0	3	45260			
2	Kannur	133	3448840	7	111000	6	151000	0	0	1	15000			
3	Wayanad	319	8525176	8	104000	12	371950	3	460000	10	203100			
4	Kozhikkode	28	847400	3	46000	1	50000	0	0	1	25000			
5	Malappuram	8	106050	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
6	Palakkad	54	2062800	2	22500	4	86500	0	0	0 0				
7	Thrissur	35	1348500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
8	Ernakulam	2	50000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
9	Idukki	75	3474800	5	134400	0	0	0	0	1	4000			
10	Kottayam	8	196000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
11	Alappuzha	32	793558	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
12	Pathanamthitta	10	366750	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
13	Kollam	5	63500	0	0	1	25000	0	0	0	0			
14	Thiruvananthapuram	32	1122000	0	0	0	0	3	150000	0	0			
	Total	815	25176574	31	566900	25	699450	6	610000	16	292360			

Table 22 (D) contd

Sl. No	District		rchase of assets	H	chase of Iome bliances		Others	Multiple Purpose		
		Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	Nos	Amount	
1	Kasaragod	2	275000	0	0	27	556920	18	800870	
2	Kannur	0	0	0	0	8	264000	26	833000	
3	Wayanad	2	28000	0	0	33	866700	77	3203474	
4	Kozhikkode	1	25000	0	0	2	93000	20	906500	
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	4	38000	1	22000	
6	Palakkad	0	0	0	0	11	612000	12	376485	
7	Thrissur	0	0	0	0	24	3663800	14	436200	
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10000	
9	Idukki	1	10000	0	0	8	207372	28	736407	
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	3	120000	2	273565	
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	3	73300	2	60000	
13	Kollam	0	0	0	0	6	546900	11	591200	
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	0	0	9	400000	22	1689300	
	Total	6	338000	0	0	138	7441992	234	9939001	

Table 22 (E) -Numbers of Loan Availed Before and After Death (from Banks)

Sl. No	District	Before Death	After Death	Not Reported	Total
1	Kasaragod	128	10	70	208
2	Kannur	247	4	23	274
3	Wayanad	407	74	156	637
4	Kozhikkode	77	9	1	87
5	Malappuram	19	0	2	21
6	Palakkad	129	14	3	146
7	Thrissur	113	5	7	125
8	Ernakulam	5	0	1	6
9	Idukki	132	12	79	223
10	Kottayam	13	0	0	13
11	Alappuzha	35	1	3	39
12	Pathanamthitta	17	5	0	22
13	Kollam	26	0	0	26
14	Thiruvananthapuram	68	3	10	81
	Total	1416	137	355	1908

Table 22 (F) -Numbers of Loans and Mortgage Pledged (from Banks)

Sl. No	District	Property	Gold	Others	Not Reported	Total
1	Kasaragod	135	18	48	7	208
2	Kannur	84	8	178	4	274
3	Wayanad	434	66	110	27	637
4	Kozhikkode	62	3	22		87
5	Malappuram	15		4	2	21
6	Palakkad	84	8	50	4	146
7	Thrissur	69	1	55		125
8	Ernakulam	4		2		6
9	Idukki	95	9	118	1	223
10	Kottayam	12		1		13
11	Alappuzha	20	9	9	1	39
12	Pathanamthitta	12	4	6		22
13	Kollam	24		2		26
14	Thiruvananthapuram	47	1	31	2	81
	Total	1097	127	636	48	1908

Table 22 (G) –Interest Rate of Loans (from Banks)

	District	Interest	rate (%)
Sl. No		Maximum	Minimum
1	Kasaragod	5	18
2	Kannur	6	18
3	Wayanad	5	18.75
4	Kozhikkode	3	18
5	Malappuram	6	16
6	Palakkad	5.5	19.5
7	Thrissur	6	20
8	Ernakulam	4	14
9	Idukki	1	27.5
10	Kottayam	7	19
11	Alappuzha	7	18
12	Pathanamthitta	7	15
13	Kollam	7	18
14	Thiruvananthapuram	7	15.5
	Total	1	27.5

Table 22 (H) –Interest Rate of Agriculture Loans (from Banks)

Sl. No	District	Interest	rate (%)
S1. 100	230110	Maximum	Minimum
1	Kasaragod	6	18
2	Kannur	6	14
3	Wayanad	6	18
4	Kozhikkode	9	16
5	Malappuram	7	12
6	Palakkad	5.5	18
7	Thrissur	6	13
8	Ernakulam	8	11
9	Idukki	6	24
10	Kottayam	7	18
11	Alappuzha	7	14
12	Pathanamthitta	7	14
13	Kollam	7	14
14	Thiruvananthapuram	7	14
	Average	5.5	24

Table 22 (I) -Numbers of Loans outstanding at the Time of Death (With Banks)

Sl. No	District	Nos	Principal Amount	Interest Amount	Total		
1	Kasaragod	208	6800110	1707969	8508079		
2	Kannur	274	7649645	1243457	8893102		
3	Wayanad	637	17728314	4614877	22343191		
4	Kozhikkode	87	3695695	1463200	5158895		
5	Malappuram	21	813350	195325	1008675		
6	Palakkad	146	5303995	1745624	7049619		
7	Thrissur	125	7701599	1716045	9417644		
8	Ernakulam	6	117000	39575	156575		
9	Idukki	223	7835252	1818187	9653439		
10	Kottayam	13	631418	311635	943053		
11	Alappuzha	39	1074046	543597	1617643		
12	Pathanamthitta	22	683313	103692	787005		
13	Kollam	26	911964	697058	1609022		
14	Thiruvananthapuram	81	3534726	1208697	4743423		
_	Total	1908	64480427	17408938	81889365		

Table 22 (J) -Numbers of Agriculture Loans outstanding at the Time of Death (With Banks)

Sl. No	District	Nos	Principal Amount	Interest Amount
1	Kasaragod	124	4426410	991765
2	Kannur	176	4262290	680025
3	Wayanad	408	11760459	1764425
4	Kozhikkode	56	1916326	800183
5	Malappuram	13	163350	47110
6	Palakkad	75	2661359	819228
7	Thrissur	70	4919114	519904
8	Ernakulam	3	57000	8640
9	Idukki	112	4209859	997103
10	Kottayam	7	165000	50005
11	Alappuzha	37	1009024	524545
12	Pathanamthitta	14	283313	46248
13	Kollam	20	829964	641305
14	Thiruvananthapuram	63	2730113	666423
	Total	1178	39393581	8556909

Table 23 -Numbers of Loan Availed (from Private Institutions/ Financiers)

Sl. No	District	Nos	Amount
1	Kasaragod	46	1241760
2	Kannur	36	1568600
3	Wayanad	336	9164000
4	Kozhikkode	20	294000
5	Malappuram		
6	Palakkad	25	845500
7	Thrissur	22	687500
8	Ernakulam	3	103000
9	Idukki	151	4980738
10	Kottayam	3	107500
11	Alappuzha	28	948500
12	Pathanamthitta	1	45000
13	Kollam	12	215000
14	Thiruvananthapuram	57	1579500
	Total	740	21780598

Table 23 (A) -Numbers of Loans outstanding at the Time of Death (With Private Institutions/ Financiers)

Sl. No	District	Nos	Principal Amount	Interest Amount	Total
1	Kasaragod	46	1203760	418760	1622520
2	Kannur	36	947100	73500	1020600
3	Wayanad	336	8073600	934386	9007986
4	Kozhikkode	20	294000	12500	306500
5	Malappuram	0	0	00	0
6	Palakkad	25	878500	82950	961450
7	Thrissur	22	657000	221350	878350
8	Ernakulam	3	103000	25200	128200
9	Idukki	151	4868265	1304076	6172341
10	Kottayam	3	85000	13000	98000
11	Alappuzha	28	873500	559260	1432760
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	12	165000	38590	203590
14	Thiruvananthapuram	57	1574500	136734	1711234
	Total	739	19723225	3820306	23543531

Table 23 (B) -Numbers of Private Loans and Amount According to Utilisation (from Private Institutions/ Financiers)

Sl.		Ag	riculture	Con	struction	N	1 arriage	Ed	ucation	Tr	eatment		chase of assets		chase of Appliances		Others	Multi	ple Purpose
No	District	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt
1	Kasaragod	8	269000	5	210500	4	261000	0	0	1	5000	0	0	1	15000	21	337660	6	143600
2	Kannur	8	183000	2	71600	3	113000	0	0	2	34000	2	495000	0	0	16	452000	3	220000
3	Wayanad	136	3671700	13	339000	12	426000	3	16000	19	209900	3	72000	8	57000	83	2136500	59	2235900
4	Kozhikkode	0	0	5	75000	1	15000	1	3000	1	30000	3	54000	0	0	3	20000	6	97000
5	Malappuram																		
6	Palakkad	7	180000	0	0	4	128000	2	25000	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	48000	8	464500
7	Thrissur	6	176000	3	38500	1	40000	0	0	1	20000	1	55000	0	0	9	288000	1	70000
8	Ernakulam	3	103000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	38	1303865	4	57000	11	433000	0	0	12	435000	4	201000	0	0	52	1286500	30	1264373
10	Kottayam	3	107500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	22	636500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	40000	0	0	4	122000	1	150000
12	Pathanamthitta	1	45000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	3	15000	0	0	2	40000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	30000	3	130000
14	Thiruvananthapuram	32	991500	0	0	3	78000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	150000	11	360000
	Total	267	7682065	32	791600	41	1534000	6	44000	36	733900	14	917000	9	72000	207	4870660	128	5135373

Table 23 (C) –Interest Rate of Loans (from Private Institutions)

G1 11	District	Interest rate (%)						
Sl. No		Maximum	Minimum					
1	Kasaragod	2	60					
2	Kannur	8	8					
3	Wayanad	1	60					
4	Kozhikkode	1	120					
5	Malappuram	0	0					
6	Palakkad	24	120					
7	Thrissur	14.5	36					
8	Ernakulam	24	48					
9	Idukki	1	120					
10	Kottayam	36	60					
11	Alappuzha	24	60					
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0					
13	Kollam	18	60					
14	Thiruvananthapuram	5	60					
	Average	1	120					

	Time of Death (W	1011 250			(10115)
Sl. No	District	Nos	Principal Amount	Interest Amount	Total
1	Kasaragod	254	8003870	2126729	10130599
2	Kannur	310	8596745	1316957	9913702
3	Wayanad	973	25801914	5549263	31351177
4	Kozhikkode	107	3989695	1475700	5465395
5	Malappuram	21	813350	195325	1008675
6	Palakkad	171	6182495	1828574	8011069
7	Thrissur	147	8358599	1937395	10295994
8	Ernakulam	9	220000	64775	284775
9	Idukki	374	12703517	3122263	15825780
10	Kottayam	16	716418	324635	1041053
11	Alappuzha	67	1947546	1102857	3050403
12	Pathanamthitta	22	683313	103692	787005
13	Kollam	38	1076964	735648	1812612
14	Thiruvananthapuram	138	5109226	1345431	6454657
	Total	2647	84203652	21229244	105432896

Table 24 (A) - Details of Loan waived-Number of loans waived and amount

Sl. No	District	Na	tionalised Bank	Scl	rivate neduled Bank	Co-	Operative Bank	gen	New eration Sank	Oth	her Banks						
110		Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt						
1	Kasaragod	26	1357900	1	47000	49	1920859	0	0	5	106450						
2	Kannur	14	282500	10	303303	73	1656396	0	0	11	375500						
3	Wayanad	164	5913008	5	141500	118	3352666	0	0	26	1014852						
4	Kozhikkode	19	776302	1	25687	26	874869	0	0	2	26945						
5	Malappuram	8	153560	0	0	1	13000	0	0	2	19700						
6	Palakkad	11	356583	1	70510	46	2751503	0	0	1	35506						
7	Thrissur	3	77634	4	117085	46	933465	0	0	1	22167						
8	Ernakulam	2	37890	0	0	1	34535	0	0	0	0						
9	Idukki	14	601192	0	0	68	2550664	0	0	1	35071						
10	Kottayam	5	122545	0	0	1	17460	0	0	0	0						
11	Alappuzha	18	364375	0	0	3	154342	0	0	0	0						
12	Pathanamthitta	1	30800	2	23330) 8	8	8	8	8	0 8 15204	152048	152048 0		0	1	20318
13	Kollam	0	0	1	26217	19	859078	0	0	0	0						
14	Thiruvananthapuram	11	747549	0	0	34	1811411	1	25000	0	0						
	Total	296	10821838	25	754632	493	17082296	1	25000	50	1656509						

Table 24 (B) -Numbers of Families Benefited by Loan Waiving (Bank type)

Sl.	District	Na	tionalised Bank	Scl	rivate neduled Bank	Co-	Operative Bank	New generation Bank			er Banks
NO		Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt
1	Kasaragod	24	1357900	1	47000	37	1920859	0	0	5	106450
2	Kannur	8	282500	10	303303	61	1656396	0	0	11	375500
3	Wayanad	138	5913008	5	141500	95	3352666	0	0	19	1014852
4	Kozhikkode	16	776302	1	25687	16	874869	0	0	1	26945
5	Malappuram	8	153560	0	0	1	13000	0	0	2	19700
6	Palakkad	9	356583	1	70510	31	2751503	0	0	1	35506
7	Thrissur	3	77634	4	117085	44	933465	0	0	1	22167
8	Ernakulam	2	37890	0	0	1	34535	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	13	601192	0	0	51	2550664	0	0	1	35071
10	Kottayam	5	122545	0	0	1	17460	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	9	364375	0	0	3	154342	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	1	30800	1	23330	7	152048	0	0	1	20318
13	Kollam	0	0	1	26217	13	859078	0	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	11	747549	0	0	28	1811411	1	25000	0	0
	Total	247	10821838	24	754632	389	17082296	1	25000	42	1656509

Table 24 (C) -Numbers of Families Benefited by Loan Waiving (Agency type)

Sl.	District	Gov	ernment irectly	Go	vernment ough Banks		nk itself		er Banks		Reported
No	District	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt
1	Kasaragod	0	0	59	2501026	11	429183	0	0	11	502000
2	Kannur	0	0	73	1865565	19	445294	0	0	16	306840
3	Wayanad	0	0	277	9252751	12	340064	2	113000	22	716211
4	Kozhikkode	9	332435	35	1243308	1	51000	0	0	3	77060
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	10	171960	0	0	1	14300
6	Palakkad	2	53068	46	2274636	4	474452	2	293721	5	118225
7	Thrissur	0	0	26	558722	28	591629	0	0	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	2	37890	0	0	0	0	1	34535
9	Idukki	0	0	62	2649071	12	386864	0	0	9	150992
10	Kottayam	0	0	2	46784	3	41011	0	0	1	52210
11	Alappuzha	0	0	21	518717	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	8	152048	2	43044	0	0	2	31404
13	Kollam	0	0	9	400667	11	484628	0	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	3	131218	17	1418816	8	356536	0	0	18	677390
	Total	14	516721	637	22920001	121	3815665	4	406721	89	2681167

Table 24 (D) –Total Numbers of Loans & Families Benefited by Loan Waiving

Sl. No	District	No of Loans	No. of Families	Amount
1	Kasaragod	81	67	3432209
2	Kannur	108	90	2617699
3	Wayanad	313	257	10422026
4	Kozhikkode	48	34	1703803
5	Malappuram	11	11	186260
6	Palakkad	59	42	3214102
7	Thrissur	54	52	1150351
8	Ernakulam	3	3	72425
9	Idukki	83	65	3186927
10	Kottayam	6	6	140005
11	Alappuzha	21	12	518717
12	Pathanamthitta	12	10	226496
13	Kollam	20	14	885295
14	Thiruvananthapuram	46	40	2583960
	Total	865	703	30340275

Table 24 (E) –Loan waved according to year

Sl.		Befo	ore 2003	2	2003	2	2004	2	2005		2006		2007		2008	Not	Reported
N o	District	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt
1	Kasaragod	1	13000	2	91200	1	6384	5	259250	15	415498	3	114570	34	1400823	20	1131484
2	Kannur	0	0	0	0	1	23000	1	25000	13	266782	24	588569	42	1082536	27	631812
3	Wayanad	1	32700	1	80000	3	90550	2	74000	5	308000	21	687801	215	7158986	65	1989989
4	Kozhikkode	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	64500	23	724033	1	20000	23	895270	0	0
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	24396	4	42225	5	105339	0	0	1	14300
6	Palakkad	3	60499	0	0	3	205741	0	0	13	798213	8	557022	23	1151299	9	441328
7	Thrissur	0	0	0	0	3	45000	1	13200	33	692777	9	261823	6	104996	2	32555
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	37890	0	0	0	0	1	34535
9	Idukki	0	0	1	8140	0	0	2	19891	7	1267334	4	56830	32	964995	37	869737
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	17460	2	23551	2	46784	1	52210
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6395	1	21400	1	61250	4	150092	14	279580
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	135369	1	55750	1	3973	2	31404
13	Kollam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	184400	6	399065	9	301830	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	0	0	1	25000	1	100918	3	230059	5	310442	10	839059	26	1078482
	Total	5	106199	4	179340	12	395675	15	587550	133	5141440	90	3242012	401	14100643	205	6587416

Table 25-Numbers of Families get Assistance According to Assistance Type

Sl.	Table 25-1 tumber		ssistance		and		Iouse	M	onitory sistance		Others
No	District	Nos	Value in Rupees	Nos	Value in Rupees	Nos	Value in Rupees	Nos	Value in Rupees	Nos	Value in Rupees
1	Kasaragod	4	0	0	0	0	0	69	2941000	9	355000
2	Kannur	7	0	0	0	0	0	77	3560000	1	50000
3	Wayanad	6	0	0	0	7	262000	301	16085000	22	780250
4	Kozhikkode	1	0	0	0	1	7500	26	1132000	10	340000
5	Malappuram	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	35300	0	0
6	Palakkad	42	0	5	142000	1	35000	23	870000	9	603750
7	Thrissur	0	0	0	0	0	0	63	3550000	1	10000
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	200000	0	0
9	Idukki	7	0	1	19000	4	135000	90	3890000	3	104000
10	Kottayam	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	160000	0	0
11	Alappuzha	2	0	0	0	0	0	19	810000	1	10000
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	1	50000	12	480000	0	0
13	Kollam	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	510000	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	9	0	0	0	0	0	34	1515000	2	20000
	Total	85	0	6	161000	14	489500	735	35738300	58	2273000

Table 26 Outstanding Liabilities on 31.03.2008

Sl. No	District	No of Cultivators	Amount in Rupees
1	Kasaragod	53	4858112
2	Kannur	76	5940805
3	Wayanad	248	16461032
4	Kozhikkode	32	2732775
5	Malappuram	6	851654
6	Palakkad	55	4544678.5
7	Thrissur	34	5563587
8	Ernakulam	3	176738
9	Idukki	93	13885680
10	Kottayam	5	883874
11	Alappuzha	18	2377050
12	Pathanamthitta	11	882624
13	Kollam	10	1086344
14	Thiruvananthapuram	32	3483390
	Total	676	63728343

Table 27- No. of Families and Loan details

SI. No	District	Without Loan	Only from Banks	Only from Private Institutions	From Banks and Private Institutions	Total
1	Kasaragod	6	65	4	28	103
2	Kannur	6	76	3	26	111
3	Wayanad	2	100	18	186	306
4	Kozhikkode	0	29	0	12	41
5	Malappuram	0	11	0	0	11
6	Palakkad	11	56	4	15	86
7	Thrissur	3	52	1	19	75
8	Ernakulam	0	1	1	2	4
9	Idukki	1	28	7	66	102
10	Kottayam	0	7	0	2	9
11	Alappuzha	0	6	1	13	20
12	Pathanamthitta	1	12	1	0	14
13	Kollam	0	8	0	7	15
14	Thiruvananthapuram	1	17	0	29	47
	Total	31	468	40	405	944

Table 28 (A) –Loan waved according to year of Families Suicides in 2003

Sl.	Division		fore 103	2	003	2	004	2	005	2	2006	2	2007		2008	Not	Reported
No	District	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt
1	Kasaragod	0	0	0	0	1	6384	0	0	2	28193	0	0	6	467882	4	518680
2	Kannur	0	0	0	0	1	23000	0	0	0	0	4	112300	4	179000	5	149000
3	Wayanad	0	0	1	80000	1	60000	1	41000	0	0	7	247500	37	1303113	18	564288
4	Kozhikkode	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	40371	0	0	1	18809	0	0
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	24396	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	289595
7	Thrissur	0	0	0	0	1	10000	0	0	6	110097	0	0	1	27867	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	0	0	1	8140	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	53900	4	97761
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	26250	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	21780	3	232969	0	0	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	35427	0	0	4	145625	4	176045
	Total	0	0	2	88140	4	99384	2	65396	13	262118	14	592769	54	2196196	38	1795369

Table 28 (B) –Loan waved according to year of Families Suicides in 2004

		Befor	e 2003	20	003	2	2004	2	2005		2006	2	2007		2008	Not	Reported
Sl. No	District	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt
1	Kasaragod	0	0	2	91200	0	0	3	247250	6	141706	1	10000	9	305675	5	315000
2	Kannur	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	25000	4	62000	1	36000	5	111840	5	144500
3	Wayanad	0	0	0	0	1	5550	0	0	0	0	7	295352	41	1297862	10	354340
4	Kozhikkode	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	64500	9	204878	1	20000	4	222787	0	0
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	20000	1	13000	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	0	0	0	0	3	205741	0	0	7	486732	1	269275	2	175711	1	35000
7	Thrissur	0	0	0	0	2	35000	0	0	2	29297	2	66963	3	69957	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	37890	0	0	0	0	1	34535
9	Idukki	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	19891	4	46647	1	11200	4	254043	5	117844
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7551	0	0	0	0
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6395	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	32148	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	162620	3	179505	1	11060	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	0	0	1	25000	1	100918	1	129300	1	75440	0	0	3	125510
	Total	0	0	2	91200	7	271291	9	463954	43	1353218	20	984286	69	2448935	30	1126729

Table 28 (C) –Loan waved according to year of Families Suicides in 2005

	District	Before 2003		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007		2008		Not Reported	
Sl. No		Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt
1	Kasaragod	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	218286	2	104570	3	39760	4	145300
2	Kannur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	115000	13	263820	15	256196	8	167500
3	Wayanad	1	32700	0	0	0	0	1	33000	1	60000	4	89500	56	1701565	20	624150
4	Kozhikkode	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	225719	0	0	6	234320	0	0
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9225	1	15000	0	0	2	28600
6	Palakkad	2	47174	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	234757	5	256093	6	290927	2	17440
7	Thrissur	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	13200	16	357967	4	98902	0	0	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1220687	0	0	4	88000	14	307935
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	52210
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	16400	2	16700
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	95527	1	55750	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	66591	3	216862	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	65332	2	85300	1	70000	8	496752
	Total	3	79874	0	0	0	0	2	46200	48	2602500	33	1035526	95	2914030	61	1856587

Table 28 (D) $\,$ -Loan waved according to year of Families Suicides in 2006

Sl.	District	Befo	re 2003	20	2003		2004		2005		2006		2007		2008		Reported
No		Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt
1	Kasaragod	1	13000	0	0	0	0	2	12000	3	55313	0	0	12	467135	6	129000
2	Kannur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	89782	3	62100	15	437500	9	161812
3	Wayanad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	293000	2	47949	47	1642421	8	163550
4	Kozhikkode	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	201640	0	0	12	313008	0	0
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	13000	3	77339	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	76724	2	31654	12	625054	2	58508
7	Thrissur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	266351	3	95958	1	4919	2	32555
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	45630	10	389649	13	432277
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	17460	1	16000	1	19784	0	0
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	61250	2	102692	2	58600
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	12244	0	0	1	3973	2	31404
13	Kollam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	26217	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	149702	3	214434	10	250161
	Total	1	13000	0	0	0	0	2	12000	34	1025514	20	587582	117	4246786	54	1317867

Table 28 (E) -Loan waved according to year of Families Suicides in 2007

Sl.	District	Before 2003		2003		2004		2005		2006		2007		2008		Not Reported	
No		Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt	Nos	Amo unt
1	Kasaragod	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	120371	1	23504
2	Kannur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	114349	4	123000	1	13000
3	Wayanad	0	0	0	0	1	25000	0	0	0	0	1	7500	40	1311025	9	283661
4	Kozhikkode	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	355425	0	0	2	175690	0	0
5	Malappuram	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Palakkad	1	13325	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	103639	1	40785
7	Thrissur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2253	0	0
8	Ernakulam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Idukki	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	199403	4	97840
10	Kottayam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	27000	0	0
11	Alappuzha	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	21400	0	0	1	31000	10	204280
12	Pathanamthitta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Kollam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	47691	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	409000	1	30014
	Total	1	13325	0	0	1	25000	0	0	7	376825	4	121849	78	2550072	27	693084

