

Report on Survey Aged in Kerala

Department of Economics & Statistics Thiruvananthapuram September 2005

Report on Survey of Aged in Kerala

Chapter I

INTRODUCTION

Is Ageing a sin? No. But it is seen from many angles that the aged suffers a lot in the fag end of life. The reason for the same may be different and many folded. Some times the reasons are self created too. Whatever be the reason for this situation it is a fact that there are aged persons who are dissatisfied with life.

The number of aged persons as a proportion of the total population has increased all over the world. The rate is more $\dot{\mathbf{n}}$ developed countries as compared with developing countries. The socioeconomic impact of this phenomenon has come for discussion at various forums in all developed countries during the past so many years, starting from 2^{nd} half of the 20^{th} century.

The rate of increase in the number of aged persons at a pace higher than that of the general population has been pronounced in our country as per the available statistics. But in the case of Kerala, this phenomenon has been felt far more than even in some of the developed countries.

The ageing of a population, that is increasing proportion of elderly, takes place as a consequence of demographic transition. In the developed countries measures were taken and programmes implemented for the well being of the aged persons. In Kerala, though some welfare measures have been taken for the aged persons, these measures are not fool proof or are based on any deep study on the subject, and the socio-economic impact of these measures are not fully and widely accepted. In Kerala, no detailed studies or surveys about the living conditions of old age persons in the State have been made. Considering this, the Government of Kerala as per GO (Rt) 317/02/Plg. dt. 31/10/2002 accorded sanction to conduct a survey on aged citizens in the State to the Department of Economics & Statistics.

Methodology

The survey was conducted in 20% of Panchayat/Municipality/Corparation wards which were selected from each district using stratified random sampling techniques and the entire households in the selected wards were visited by the enumerators. They listed all the housesholds and made enquiry to locate persons aged 60 and above. In detailed enquiry, thrust was given to the following points: - education, previous and present employment, present and previous source of income, health aspects, type of treatment, type of nursing, satisfaction in life, time utilization etc. of the aged persons.

Need for assessment study of the elderly in Kerala – Background

The number of aged persons, as a proportion of the total population in Kerala, has increased at a rate double than the overall growth rate of population. This is a demographic pattern the state shares with other parts of the world.

During the 1950's, less than 6% of the population was aged 60 years or more, but it has now reached to 10 percent. In the 1950's the number of aged persons constituted about 10% of the labour force, but this has grown to 15% in the year 2000.

Kerala has set its trend in the growth profiles in a number of sectors, including demographic, economic and social. Besides the State Government, a number of NGOs and charitable and religious institutions have initiated a number of programmes and schemes aimed at the welfare of the aged persons. But, they have not been full fledged in their implementation. It has been felt that a comprehensive ground level study is required to formulate a comprehensive programme for the welfare of the senior citizens. It is in this background that the Department of Economics & Statistics was mandated to carry out a study in the year 2002.

The ageing process is a complex phenomenon and it is worthwhile to have an idea about the historical, social, economic and other background that obtains in the state. Certain relevant changes that occurred in the state over decades and other socio-economic indicators may be summarized as follows.

- (1) Abolition of the joint family system and a progressive shift to nuclear families.
- (2) Abolition of "Marumakkathayam" system that was the hallmark of some of the affluent communities in the state.
- (3) Impact of groundbreaking land reforms.
- (4) Low per capita availability of land leads to shifting from an agrarian economy to Non agrarian economy in the state. Average land available per person is about 13 cents as per study conducted in the year 2001.
- (5) High rate of literacy and more or less equal literacy among males and females has induced the eligible to leave their native places in search of jobs to destinations outside the state, within the country, as well as outside the country.
- (6) Low birth and death rates:

Historically speaking, the demographic and health conditions that have been prevailing in the State are far better than the comparable features nationally available. Relevant data show that the standards obtaining in the state as early as in 1957-58 have been on a par or even better than the all-India figures for the year 2001.

	KER	ALA	INDIA
	1958	2001	2001
Birth Rate	24.60	17.3	25.4
Death Rate	7.57	6.6	8.4
Infant mortality rate	49.47	11.0	66.0

Indicative Vital Statistics

The Expectation of life in Kerala (rural) is 72.4, with 69.3 for males and 75.8 for females.

Migration

Keralites are known to reside in all parts of the country and abroad. It has been estimated that 14 lakh Keralites are residing outside the country and many folds migrated to outside the state but within the country. Most of these migrants have left their old parents behind to fend for themselves, which is a worrying outcome of this trend.

Some of the issues being faced by the old age persons are.

- (1) Lack of acceptance by family members
- (2) Feeling of loneliness
- (3) Financial instability
- (4) Change of lifestyle, forced shift away from native place
- (5) Lack of involvement in family matters & decision making
- (6) Health problems
- (7) Feeling of insecurity
- (8) Aversion to depend on others
- (9) Lack of emotional support
- (10) Isolation from larger society/community
- (11) Targeting by robbers and anti-socials due to perceived state of health
- (12) Fear of attack from domestic aides/helpers for money.

Limitations

The survey was conducted in 2600 wards in Panchayats/ Municipalities/ Corporations in the State on a complete enumeration basis of the residential households. This amounts to nearly 20% of the total wards in the state. The no of old age persons is estimated on the basis of this. Apart from this, an attempt was made to collect details about the old age persons staying in the old age homes or similar institutions run in private and public sectors. The details of such institutions surveyed and the socio-economic conditions of inmates of such institutions are given as a separate chapter. The total number of old age persons staying in the households and those staying in institutions. Chapter 2 of this report covers the old age persons staying in households only. A Quick Tabulation report containing the number of old age persons was published in May 2003, but it contains provisional figures only. The census figures for 2001 were since published and certain characteristics are available in that publication too.

Chapter II

AGED IN HOUSEHOLDS

Kerala has peculiarities in socio-economic cultural and demographic fronts. The birth rate, death rate, infant mortality rate, marriage age, expectancy of life, literacy rate, consumption pattern etc of Kerala are in a higher level than any other part in the country and in some cases these indicators are even comparable with developed countries. The state also gives maximum thrust in public health and general education sectors. The private sector also contributes much in these areas since long ago.

As per 2001 census there were 67 lakhs households in the state with a reported population of 3.18 crores. For analysis of the report, the census figures of 2001 are also used. Population census 2001, reported 33.36 lakhs old age persons but as per the survey it is estimated to 27.86 lakhs. The survey covers only the residential households and persons staying in fixed premises. The persons staying under temporary shelter, interior forest, orphanages, old age homes, other institution etc were not counted in this survey.

Out of the 27.86 lakhs old age persons, more than 50^{\%} are in the age group of 60 to 70 and 1.4% of the old age persons are in the age group 90 and above, in the fag end of the life span.

Among the old age persons 13.27 lakhs are males and 14.59 lakhs are females. The sex ratio of old age persons in different age groups varies much. The sex ratio of 60-70 age is 1049, 70-80 is 1133, 80-90 is 1289 and above 90 is 1438. The ratio is worked out as number of females to 1000 males. From this it is observed that female longevity is higher than the males in the State.

Marital Status	No of persons (in thousands)	Percentage
Un married	64.56	2.32
Married living together	1553.28	55.75
Married and living in separate houses	19.14	0.69
Quarreled & separated	5.51	0.20
Divorced	4.98	0.18
Widow/Widower	1138.61	40.86
Total	2786.07	100.00

As regards the marital status of aged persons more than 50% are married and living together.

Among the old age persons 40.86% are either widow or widower. An important observation is that 0.69%, that is, 19000 old age persons are forced to live separately due to economic problems. Due to economic instability, one of the aged couple may live with one son or daughter or other relatives while the other may live with similar other relatives.

Demographic characteristics

1. General distribution

The survey revealed that in the State there are 27.86 lakhs old age persons (sixty years and above). They are residing in 22.94 lakhs households. Thus, on an average, the number of old age persons per household having old age persons is 1.21. The percentage is highest in Kottayam district (1.36) and lowest in Thiruvananthapuram district (1.13). The district-wise distribution of the old age persons and the number of households having such persons are given in Table No1.

Of the households having old age persons about 80% are having only one old age persons and only les than 1% are having more than two old age persons. The district-wise number of households according to the number of old age persons are given in Table No.2.

2. Analysis according to social groups:

An analysis according to social groups shows that in the case of SC, ST and OBC, the average number of old age persons per household is 1.18, 1.17 and 1.18 respectively, while in the case of others, the corresponding figure is 1.25. The distribution of the old age persons and the number of households having such persons according to social groups are given in Table No.3.

3. Old age persons as head of family

Of the 22.94 lakhs of households having old age persons, more than 70% are headed by the old age persons themselves. The percentage is highest in Kannur district (81.33) and lowest in Kollam district (58.71). The district-wise number of households with the number of old age persons as head of the household are given in Table No.4.

4. Sex ratio:

Of the 27.86 lakhs of old age persons, 52.37% are women and 47.63% are men. The sex ratio of old age persons comes to 1100. The sex ratio is maximum in Thrissur district (1241) followed by Alappuzha (1178). It is less than 1000 in Idukki and Thiruvananthapuram, which means that in these two districts, men outnumber women. There is considerable difference in sex ratio between districts. The sex-wise distribution of men and women in the various districts is given in Table 5.

5. Marital Status:

As regards the marital status of the old age persons, widows/widowers constituted 40.86% of them. 2.32% of the old age persons are unmarried and 1.07% are either separated or divorced. 55.75% of the old age persons are reported in the status of married and the remaining are living without spouse in the fag end of their life. The distribution of the old age persons according to marital status is given in Table 6.

6. Educational Level:

26.83% of the aged persons in the state are illiterate. In Kasaragod district, more than half of the old age persons are illiterate. While in Kottayam and Pathanamthitta only one per ten are illiterate. With regard to possession of education status, Kottayam stood first with graduates (2.76%) followed by Pathanamthitta (2.60%). In Wayanad district only 0.45% are graduates. Table 7,8

94.14% of the old age persons have no technical qualifications. The number of old age persons with technical qualifications is highest in Thiruvananthapuram district (11.11%) whereas in Wayanad it is the lowest (1.63-%). Table 9.

The distribution of the old age persons according to general education qualifications and their distribution according to technical qualifications is given in Table No 8, 10

7. Status of stay:

In the state, 1.86% of the old age persons are staying alone. On examining the age group of old age persons staying alone, it is seen that 2.05% of old age person in the age group above 90 are staying alone. Table 11.

An analysis of the reason for the old age persons staying alone, reveals that (33.62%) one third of them are having no children or near relative to stay with. About 17.82% of the persons are not interested to shift from their place or home condition in which they were in the young age. There are such situations in the old age persons staying with others but in such cases, the other members will be with them due to some reason or other. Around 7.85% of the old age person reported that they want to live with out any control or restriction. They want to live according to their will and pleasure. About 31.03% of the persons are staying alone because their children are not in a position to stay with them due to various reasons. Around 9.68% of the old age persons reported that they have children but their children are not interested to stay with them. Table 12.

As regards the accommodation of the old age persons, it is seen that 83.5% are residing in their own home or home rented by them. As regards the remaining 16.5%, majority are staying with their son or daughter. About 14.47% of the total old age person stays with their children. Others are staying with their brothers or sisters or with other relatives. The number of old age persons according to habitation and area of land possessed by the family is given in table 13,14 and 15.

About the restriction in the use of home, it is seen that 84.29% of persons reported that they are free to use the facilities of entire area of the houses. About 90% of them have got separate facility for them. As regards the type of house in which the old age persons are staying, it is seen that 66.82% are in semi-pucca house. 19.09% are in pucca homes while only 1.74% are staying in huts. The percentage of persons staying in huts is maximum in Wayanad with 4.40% followed by 3.24 in Alappuzha. This percentage is minimum in Kannur with 0.54 followed by 0.98 in Ernakulam. The District wise category wise number of houses in each district are given in table 15,16 A, 16 B.

It is natural that the type of house depends upon the family income of the old age person or other family members. But it is seen that some households with present family income less than Rs.500/- per month staying in Pucca homes. On the contrary there are few families with more income staying in Katcha houses as well as in

3

huts. This may happen since few rich families having pucca homes become poor but they are still staying in their old homes. Table No. 17.

In the state, it is seen that in 1616294 households out of 2294034 household with old age persons, the old age persons are accepted as head of household. On detailed analysis of their responsibility and control over family matter, it is sen that 48.5% of the old age persons are having complete control over family affairs. In addition 35% of the old age persons reported that they have major role in solving family problems. Only 1.8% of old age persons reported that they are not aware of any of the family problems and no body informed them about any family matter. Remaining 14.7% reported that they are aware of the family matters and also participate in the discussions in family matters but they have no role in decision making. Hence about 16.5% of the old age persons are having no role in the decision making of their household. What ever may be the reason for this, at least some persons in this category are dissatisfied with the lack of involvement in family matters. Though the old age persons are considered as the head of households, it is true that some of them have no role in family matters but simply treated as head of households. (Table 18)

An attempt was made to ascertain the satisfaction in availability of food to the old age persons. It is seen that 88.11% of the old age persons reported that they are satisfied with the food available. 8.31% reported they are not satisfied but adjust themselves according to the situation in the family. Only 0.52% reported that the family members are not bothered or interested about the food availability to them. About 2.75% told that due to illness and other reasons they are not taking food according to their satisfaction. It is very important that only 0.52% reported that the family members are not taking any interest. It is generally reported that most of the questions in the schedule were answered in the presence of other family members and hence only very few people expressed their dissatisfaction in food. On analysis of the satisfaction in availability of food and income, it is seen that there is not much variation but 87.62% persons in the category with no income reported that they are getting satisfactory food 84.64% of those having less than Rs. 500 reported that they are getting satisfactory food. On the whole it is seen that the income or earnings of the old age persons is not influencing much on the availability of food to their satisfaction. (Table 19,19A, 19B, and 19C). The district wise number of persons according to the availability of food is given in Table No.19.

As regards the assistance of old age persons, it is seen that more than 1/5th persons is receiving proper nursing from their spouse. In 44.54% cases the son/daughter or son in law/Daughter in law help. Only 0.16% of the old age persons are looked after by home nurses. In 22.25% of the old age persons reported that they have no need for any type of nursing assistance. In 2.14% of the cases, it is reported that there is no one to give nursing assistance. It is important that the income of the old age persons has not influenced much in the type of nursing they received even in the engagement of home nurses. (Table 20).

Table 20A gives an idea about the persons who are looking after the old age persons. While examining the feeling of old age persons about the nursing of other members, it is seen that 92.28% of the old age persons reported that they are happy in the nursing received to them. But 4.03% reported that the assistance received is bad i.e. no body properly assist them. Another 3.68% reported that they receive no help from their near ones, son or daughter or spouse. While examining the income and type of nursing received, the income of old age persons doesn't influence the type of nursing. (Table 20A).

From the enquiry made about the awareness of old age homes by the old age persons, it is seen that about 85% of the persons are aware of old age homes. About 10.12% old age person have very good knowledge about them. As regards the interest about living in old age homes 84.30% reported that they are not interested in living in old age homes and 12.89% reported that they have no objection in living in the old age homes. It includes the persons with good knowledge, and no knowledge about old age homes. Only 3.01% reported that they are willing to stay in old age homes. On the whole it is clear that at least 15% of the old age persons are not fully satisfied with the present situation with varied reasons. (Table 21A to 21H). It is interested to see that even though some old age persons reported that they have no one to look after, they are not interested to live in old age homes. On the contrary some old age persons reported to have been receiving satisfactory nursing, have still shown interest in living old age homes. Hence it is the nature and character of the old age persons that matters rather than the type of nursing they receive at present. Since some of the old age persons even though they have reported that there is no one to help them, they do not like to live in old age homes. This can be attributed to their sense of independency and freedom.

An attempt was made to gather information about the mental satisfaction of old age persons. Generally the mental satisfaction of the people irrespective of age, wealth etc differs. Some are satisfied, some are not satisfied. But as age increases, feeling of dependence and ill health etc makes the situation worse and that leads to dissatisfaction. 39.49% of the old age persons reported that they are fully satisfied in life, while 45.28% reported that they are satisfied. 9.90% of the old age persons reported that they have no opinion about this. 3.91% reported that they are desperate in the fag end of their life and 1.43% told that they feel loneliness. The reason for the desperation though a small percentage (3.91%) has to be examined.

While comparing the mental satisfaction and interest in staying in old age homes, it is seen that some of the persons i.e. satisfied as well as not satisfied, have shown interest to live in old age homes. Out of the dissatisfied persons 12.86% reported that they are interested to live in old age homes. As regards to the persons who feel loneliness, 10.92% is interested in staying in old age homes. As regards to other categories i.e. fully satisfied, satisfied and having no opinion about satisfaction also there are willing persons to stay in old age homes. In the cases persons who feel loneliness, 28.04% reported that they have no objection in living in old age homes. Though there are desperate persons, majority of them are not interested to live in old age homes. In general it is seen that what ever may be the present situation or mental condition generally majority of old age persons are not interested to be in old age homes. It is informed that the concept of old age homes is not widely acceptable. (Table No. 21 to 21H).

Household Activeness

It is seen that 48.5% of the old age persons are fully involved in family affairs i.e they are the decision makers in the family matters. Another 35.07% are involved in solving family problems. 14.58% are involved in family discussion but they have no role in the decisions making process. Only 1.85% of the old age persons have no role in family matters. As regards mental satisfaction and involvement in family activities, in the group of fully satisfied persons, 1.07% have no role in family matters and 9.68% participate in the family discussion but have no role in decision making. In the category of old age persons feeling desperate, 39.85% are active in family affairs and only 7.3% have no role in family affairs. On the whole it is seen that there is not much

difference in the level of mental satisfaction between persons in the categories who are involved in family affairs and persons who have no role in family affairs. It is inferred that the non-involvement in family affairs does not influence much the mental satisfaction, but the percentage of persons who are desperate and feeling loneliness, the involvement or role in family matters is less than others. Table 22,22A, 22B give the number and percentage of persons according to mental satisfaction and involvement in family affairs. It is clear that the sole reason for feeling desperate for loneliness is not the non-involvement in family matters, but something more. The reasons are to be examined separately.

Income and Mental Satisfaction

While examining the mental satisfaction of the old age persons according to their income, it is seen that the fully satisfied persons are slightly more in the higher income strata. In the higher income group there are persons who feel desperate and lonely. In all income groups there are persons with full satisfaction, just satisfaction and persons feeling desperate and lonely with varying intensity. It is clear that income alone is not a factor influencing the level of satisfaction i.e. there are many old age persons who have their own income, but are without satisfaction in the present life. The reasons for dissatisfaction differ in the various income groups. Tables 23 A, 23 B.

As regards religion wise analysis of mental satisfaction, it is seen that Christians are more satisfied than the other two major religious groups. The percentage of Hindus are more in the category of desperate and lonely persons. Though there is variation between various religions the difference is not high. Table No. 24, 24 A.

Marital Status and Mental Satisfaction

The maximum percentage of satisfied persons (fully satisfied and satisfied) are among the married. 87.54% in this category are satisfied. This percentage is minimum with 69.67% in the status of spouse quarreled and separated. In the case of fully satisfied persons only 29.1% are divorced couples. 12.36% of the quarreled couples are desperate which is the maximum followed by divorcees (9.86%). Among the unmarried old age persons 7.35% reported that they are desperate in life. As regards to feeling of living alone 5.1% of the unmarried persons reported that they felt loneliness. In the case of divorcees 4.54% are having feeling of loneliness. Though there are persons with different mental levels of satisfaction among those with different marital status, it is seen that married persons are far better than all other categories. The unmarried, quarreled couples and divorced suffer more from desperation and loneliness in life than all the other categories. Tables 25 & 25A.

Attitude to travel:

It is seen that 61.47% of old age persons travel according to their own desire and hey are capable to travel independently.

2.86% travel with the help of family members as per their desire. An attempt was made to enquire about the desire of travel and restriction of family members. 9.96% of old age persons reported that only with the permission of family members they are able to travel. The reasons for the same may be different. It is due to the economic situation of the family or family members dislike to send them alone etc. Whatever may be the reason, it was taken by the old age persons as a restriction to their freedom at least to a limited extent. 7.71% of

6

the old age persons are unable to travel. The number of persons according to journey and their income is given in tables 26,26 A.

While examining the traveling habit of persons and mental satisfaction, it is seen that in the category of persons with full satisfaction in life, 71.71% are traveling according to their desire. 4.32% of fully satisfied persons are not able to travel. In this category only 6.36% have restriction in traveling by other family members. 25.84% of desperate persons are not able to travel and 12.73% are restricted by the family members. In the case of persons feeling loneliness, 29.31% are not able to travel. In general it is seen that one of the reasons for dejection and loneliness is their inability or physical condition. Table 27, 27 A.

On examination of the situation of viewing or listening TV or Radio, it is seen that 74.04% are interested. 17.56% are interested but they do not have access to TV or radio. Only 0.66% reported that they are not listening due to the restriction of family members. The number of persons watching TV/Radio is given in tables 28, 28 A.

Employment

It is seen that 23.42% of old age persons in the state were self employed in agriculture before 60 years of age. 9.13% of the old age person were employed in Government sector and eligible for pension. 19.34% were permanently employed but not in the sector eligible for pension. It is interesting that 7.09% of the old age persons are not undertaking any activity even in their younger age too. An examination of the district wise percent of old age person with different activities they have performed before 60 years, it is seen that 13.24% were in pensionable service in Thiruvananthapuram district followed by 11.47 in Pathanamthitta. In Wayanad only 2.02 were in government service and in Kasaragod the percentage is 3.48. In the case of self employed in agriculture, it is seen that about half of the old age persons in Wayanad district are agriculturists. This percentage is minimum in Kozhikode. As regards the percentage worked in other states, Alappuzha stood first with 1.96% and Idukki and Kottayam stood with the least that is 0.17% as against a state average of 0.74%. 0.65% of the persons are not capable of doing any work due to illness and other health problems. It is interesting to note that 5.29% of the persons were not interested to do any work and 1.8% were interested to enjoy life leisherly without engaging in any activity. It is seen that in both this category, Malappuram stood first with 9.45% and 4.29% respectively. These percent are comparatively less in Idukki and Wayanad. As regards their social work, only 0.09% were in social works before 60 years of age.

When we study the spending of time by the old age persons after attaining 60 years, it is seen that 46.82 are taking rest in life and 0.99% are employed in government sector. Out of the total persons, 7.45% are not capable of work after 60 years while his percentage was 0.65 before the age of 60 years. It is interesting to note that 0.42% entered into social work after 60 years though before 60 years, the percentage was 0.09. As regards the present day status of old age persons, it is seen that majority are not employed or economically active. 6.83% are not able to do any work due to ill health, 67.65% are taking rest. The district wise number of persons, their past employment present employment etc are given in tables 29 to 29H.

Health

Ailment: - The age wise composition shows that out of 1000 old age persons, 586 are in the age group 60 to 70 and 14 are above 90 years. An attempt was made to assess the different ailments of old age persons. It is seen that out of 1000, 290 persons are suffered from Arthritis 228 persons having hypertension, 165 with Diabetics, and 93 having asthmatic complaint. On examining the age group of persons having different ailments, it is seen that ageing is a main reason for paralysis. Arthritis, Asthma, Urinary complaints, liver problems, etc. are slightly more to the older group: TB, Cardiac problems, cancer, etc are not influenced by age. The no of persons with each ailment is given in table 30 & 30A, 30B, 30C. In this context it is to be noted that 411351, old age persons reported that they do not have any identified diseases.

Physical condition

The problems related to vision, movement, hearing, memory etc were enquired. Number of persons affected each disability are given in table nos. 31to 31J. 39.4% of the old age persons have good vision without using glass. As the age increases, the percentage of persons having good vision decreases. The percentage is 47.19 in the age group 60-70 and 10.94% in the age group above 90. 40.41% reported that they are using glass for reading and this percentage is maximum in the age group 70 to 80. 12.51% reported that they have cataract. Only 0.02% is blind. This percentage is same in all the age group. It can be seen that age and cataract have no direct relation. But in the case of 'no vision,' it is seen that 3.91% having no vision with age 90 and above 0.24% have no vision in the age group 60-70. It is clear that age is a major factor for no vision or as age increases, vision power decreases. In the case of partial vision also age is a prominent factor. (Tables 31A, B)

Movement

As regards to movement, it is seen that 85.28% have no problem for movement and 7.91% seek assistance of the walker. 5.4% walks with the help of others and 1.41% is unable to walk. On examining the age wise distribution of the capacity for movement, it is seen that 63.92% of persons above 90 years have difficulty in walking. 12.84% of this age group are unable to walk. Remaining seek the help of walker or others. It is clear that the capacity for movement decreases as age increases and the difficulty increases more rapidly after attaining 80 years old. Tables 31C, D, E.

Memory

78.23% of old age persons have good memory power and 20.48% have memory problem. 1.29% reported that they have no memory. It is usual to say that as age increases, the memory will decrease. It is seen that 42.33% of old age persons in the age group above 90 have good memory and 49.72% have satisfactory level of memory but not full memory. Only 7.95% have lost the memory power. It is clear that the memory power is decreasing as a result of age, but it is not necessary that ageing will reduce the memory power of all. (Tables 31F & G)

Hearing

78.89% of old age persons have no problem in hearing. 13.13% have little hearing and 7.79% can hear with the help of hearing aids. 0.19% deaf and this is maximum in the age group above 90. It is clear that the capacity for hearing is reduced as age increases. 18.76% of the persons above 90 years are using hearing aid. In

the case of vision 40.41% are using glass, but only 7.79% are using hearing aid even if 13.13% have very little hearing capacity. Generally the persons who have difficulty in hearing do not like to use the hearing aid. The reason may be the cost of the equipment and their dislike to make others understand their disabilities. It is a common thing. (T. 31H, I, J)

Method of treatment

In general the overall health deteriorates as age increases. From the field experience, it is seen that the major worry of an aged person is about his illness and treatment. An attempt was made to assess the medical system followed by the aged persons. It is seen that the same patient follows different medical systems for the same illness or for different illnesses. Cases of self-treatment and also no treatment are noticed, though the number is not high. The same person practices different systems of treatment and suffer from different illnesses. Among different systems of treatment 697 persons out of 1000 reported that they follow allopathic treatment. Out of this, 409 cases were treated in private institutions. In other words Government allopathic hospitals emerges as the single largest health care agency to the oldage persons in the State. Only 186, persons followed the Ayurveda system of treatment and only 19 persons or cases were treated through the homeopathic system. There are 32 out of 1000 cases with self treatment and 38 out of 1000 with no treatment. On analyzing the age wise composition of old age persons with self-treatment, it is seen that 34 out of 1000 persons are in the age group 60-70. But as regards those getting no treatment, the maximum i.e. is 45 out of 1000, are in the age group above 90. An analysis of those following the Allopathic system of treatment with age distribution, it is seen that the number following allopathic system of treatment slightly decreases, as age increases. In the case of Ayurveda, the number of cases treated through Ayurveda is more in the higher age (above 90) than all other systems. Less proportion of persons prefer homeopathic system, even in higher age groups. In general, it is seen t hat as age increases, there is a tendency to prefer Ayurveda to all other systems. One of the main reasons for this phenomena may be the old traditional belief coupled with the general physical weakness due to ageing. (T-32 & 32 A)

Expenses for Treatment

The details of ailment and treatment received by the old age persons were analysed. The treatment received as in-patient and out-patients of hospitals and others were collected separately. The expenses on treatment, for in-patient were classified as expenses charged by hospital, other expenses (testing charges, cost of medicine purchased from out side, consultation fee etc) and miscellaneous expenses (transportation, food, rent, charges for assistance etc). In the case of out-patients, the cost of medicine, consultation fee, testing charges etc are classified as other expenses and transportation, food, rent charges for assistance etc as miscellaneous expenses.

It is seen that for more than 4 lakhs spells, the oldage persons were admitted in hospitals during the oneyear span. The average expenditure in hospital comes to Rs. 4772/-. Approximately an equal amount is spent as other expenses. The average miscellaneous or ancillary expenses come s to Rs.596/-. The average expenditure per person is minimum in Thiruvananthapuram District with Rs. 6274/- The hospital expense per person is also minimum in Thiruvananthapuram with Rs. 3442/- as against the state average of Rs. 4772/-. As regards the treatment, 402799 oldage persons were admitted in hospitals and for 1661035 spells. They were totally treated (including inpatients) in 1236459 cases they have met miscellaneous or ancillary expenses.

The average expenditure per person in different age category is Rs. 4772/-, Rs. 2626/- and Rs. 488/respectively. (T. 33, 33A, 33B, 34, 34A)

Out of the 27.86 lakhs, old age persons 4.11 lakhs reported that they do not suffer from any identified diseases. There are persons without any diseases even in the age 90 or above. (T 30B, 30C, 33).

Source of expenses

It is estimated that an average Rs.700/- crores per year was spent for the treatment of the old age persons in the state. On enquiry, it is understood that the sources for the expenses for treatment are different and some have more than one source. Hence an attempt was made to locate the main source for the medical expenses. It is seen that around 60% of the cases, the expenditure was met by their children and in around 25% of the cases, the old age persons have enough savings to meet the expenses. The medical expenses was mainly met by relatives or from assistance from others etc. (Tables -35, 35A).

Assistance Received

An attempt was made to assess the various assistances received by the old age persons from various sources. About 50% of the old age persons reported that they have no need for help from relatives. Either they have enough source or their children protect them in full at their old age. As regards the help from relatives, 31.60% of oldage persons are receiving help from relatives and they are satisfied. 17.35% of oldage persons reported that they are getting limited help from relatives. It means that they have no proper means off their own or the assistance from relatives is not sufficient to make both ends meet. 1.42% reported that there is no relative to help them. Hence around 19% openly reported that they are having financial difficulties. 36, 36A, 36B

There are many organizations for the socio-economic uplift of the society in general and in particular for the weaker sections. While enquiry about the assistance received by the old age persons from these organisations only 3% of the persons reported that they have received asistance from such organizations. The district wise details of persons who received help from these organizations are given in table 37 & 37A.

About 4% of the oldage persons in the state have insurance protection. While examining the proportion of old age persons receiving insurance protection, it is seen that Kannur, Ernakulam, Kollam and Thiruvananthapuram are on the higher side. (T-38, 38A)

Central and State Government have introduced welfare measures for the old age persons. Apart from concerns and preferences in various fields like travel, tax, deposit etc, various forms of financial support by way of persons are also existing. An attempt was made to estimate the no of persons benefited by various schemes of financial support. It is seen that 28.19% of old age persons are receiving assistance from Government including service pension. In the state 10.05% of old age persons receiving Agricultural labour pension and 8% received old age widow pension. The proportion of person receiving service pension is maximum in Thiruvananthapuram with 7.48% and minimum in Wayanad with 1.13%. As regards the old age/widow person Malappuram stood first with 13.37% and Pathanamthitta in the last place with 4.42%. Kozhikode and Alappuzha district are in the top position with respect to Agriculture pension and Idukki stood at the least. While examining the percentage of old age persons of Thiruvananthapuram are getting all types of pension slightly more than the state average. In Pathanamthitta, Thrissur & Kottayam the proportion of pension received in all types is slightly less than the state average. The reason for the same may be different and even, the percentage of persons needed the pension or deserving pension is different in different Districts. From the field it is seen that even if 28.19% reported that

they are getting some kind of assistance, a general views of the old age person is that the assistance is not sufficient. Tab. 39,39A, 39B.

Time use

There is a general view that it is difficult to spend time in the old age. It is true that in the active age the persons had so many activities and at the old age, there is nothing to do, and a feeling that others have no time to care them. Time use concept is a very important and has vide ranging consequences. In this survey, an attempt was made to understand the time utilization of old age persons in reading, walking/exercise, watching TV/Radio, praying, sleeping in day time etc. In some of the cases there was non response. The percentage of non response is high in the case of reading. On analysis of the time used for each actively, it is seen that only 32 persons out of 1000 persons are utilizing more than 2 hours per day for reading. More than 50% of the persons are taking less than 30 minutes for reading. In a state like Kerala, reading of news papers or the likes is widely followed.

In the case of walking or exercise also more than half of the persons take less than 30 minutes per day. As regards watching TV/Radio 49 persons out of 1000 is taking more than 3 hours per day. About 90% of the old age persons reported that they spend time in praying. 241 persons spend less than a minute and 318 are spending more than a minute but less than 30 minutes. It is seen that there are 16 persons who utilize more than 5 hours per day for prayer. T-40, 40A

As regards sleeping, 800 out of 1000 reported that they sleep in the night more than 5 hours. There are persons who sleep less than 2 hours per day. The sleeping at daytime is also common in the old age. About 60% of the old age persons sleep 30 minutes to 2 hours in daytime. (Table 40,40A)

Participation in cultural activities

On enquiry about the interest in participation of socio-cultural programmes, 63.09% reported that they have no interest in it. The reason for the same may be different. 9.44% reported that they have interest but health does not permit. Only 6.51% reported that they have active participation in cultural activities.

The participation in socio-cultural Activities was analysed with reference to the mental satisfaction of the old age persons. On analysis it is seen that about 90% of the active participating persons are satisfied or fully satisfied in their present life. In the category of desperate and lonely feeling persons, considerable percentage are unable to participate in socio-cultural activities. Hence one of the reasons for their desperate/lonely condition is their inability to participate in socio-cultural programmes even if they are interested in such activities. The reason may be health problem, financial difficulties etc. The desperate persons are in all types but slightly more percentage of them are not interested in any socio-cultural programmes.

In general it is viewed that there is relation between mental satisfaction and the participation in the socio-cultural activities, but it alone is not the deciding factor for mental satisfaction. On the whole it is seen that the main factor for mental satisfaction is the nature or character of the old age person himself. The factors like income, participation in other activities, assistance received form family members, health conditions, illness, backing from active family affairs, backing from decision making process etc also independently or jointly contribute for the state of mental satisfaction. In a state like Kerala, which stands in the forefront as a model for

many developing countries, these factors deserve special attention and are to be analyzed at length. Table 41, 41A, 41B, 41C.

Chapter III

OLD AGE HOMES

Ageing of population is a phenomenon in all the countries. The problems of the aged are discussed all over Most of the developed countries have implemented various the world and particularly in the developed countries. welfare measures for the security of their senior citizens. Government of Kerala has also initiated various welfare measures for the well being of old age persons but the thrust is in financial support by way of pensions. The main intention is to give support to the economically poor sectors of persons at the fag end of their life. With this objective, old age pension, widow pension, Agriculture labour pension, various insurance schemes for laboures, etc. were introduced. Due to socio-economic changes in the state, in addition to financial problems, security and loneliness of aged persons have aggravated the problems. Like financial problems other problems are not easily visible or highlighted. The concept of old age homes was developed in many countries and it was initiated in the state only two decades back. But the spread and acceptance of old age homes are not yet satisfactory. As part of the survey on old age persons, an attempt was made to understand the working of old age homes, feeling of inmates etc. This part of the survey was planned to understand the general working, facilities available etc in old age homes for helping future researches. Hence state wise total estimates are not prepared. The tables are based on the units covered under the survey.

Units Covered

209 old age homes were surveyed. It is seen that 92 out of 209 were started before 1990 and only 22 were started after 2000. Hence it is clear that the concept of old age homes was emerged in the state more than 15 years ago. The no of units studied in each district according to the year of commencement is given in Table -1, 1A, 1B.

It is seen that more than 70% of the institutions in Kottayam District started before 90. In Thrissur & Ernakulam more than 50% were started before 90.

It is also seen that more than 50 of the old age homes are religious institutions. Social organizations and private people have also made considerable contribution in setting up old age homes in par with Government. (Tables -2 & 2A)

There are separate old age homes run for handicapped, destitute and general type. In some places male or female alone is admitted while maximum homes are admitting males and females. The number of institutions in each category is given in Table - 03.

Out of the 209 institutions, about $3/4^{\text{th}}$ of the institutions reported that the accommodation is free of cost. In 23 institutions they are charging according to the financial capacity of inmates. In 18 units, monthly payment is imposed. Most of the institutions reported (202 out of 209) that they attend to the full care of the inmates while others provide only food and accommodation. (Table -4 & 4A)

There are 4782 inmates in the 209 old age homes. Out of the total inmates 62.3% are females. 76% of the old age persons staying in old age homes are in the age group 60 to 80. Only 3.6% are above 90 years. In all age groups females out numbered males. (Tables 5 & 5A).

It is seen that there are 525 paid employees in these institutions apart from 715 other workers. (T - 06)

As regards the facilities, it is seen that 61 institutions have their own vehicles, 170 institutions provided Television facility to inmates. Telephone facility is available in 164 units. Arrangement for the visit of doctor is made by 98 institutions. Recreation facility is available in 121 institutions and 190 institutions subscribe newspapers. (T-7)

176 institutions are functioning in own buildings and 189 reported that they have their own land. (T-8)

Duration of stay: - 12.7% of the inmates of old age homes were admitted there within the last one year. 16.79% of the inmates are staying in the old age homes for more than 10 years. As regards the duration of stay for less than one year, the percentage of males and females are more or less equal i.e. 6.2% and 6.5%. But when the duration of stay is above 10 years, there are only 3.2% males while the percentage of female is 13.59. It is clear that the females once admitted to the old age homes do not normally leave the place but male would leave the homes according to their will and pleasure. (T-9, 9A)

While examining the religion wise details of the inmates, it is seen that about 60% are Christians. It is also seen that only less than 30% are from SC/ST/OBC). (T-11, 11A)

As regards the relatives of the inmates, it is seen that 37.66% have no relatives and 25.8% have sons or daughters. It is interesting to note that 1.9% of the inmates have father or mother alive. (T-15, 15A)

As regards the previous employment of the inmates, it is seen that there are retired government employees, foreign returnees, persons who have unable to work even in younger age, those who were self-employed etc. Hence it is clear that persons engaged in various activities and sectors are staying in old age home as inmates. (T-12)

About 50% of the inmates are staying in old age homes since they have no one to help. About 30% of the old age persons opt to stay in old age home on own desire. The reason may be different. It is seen that there are persons staying in old age homes due to the conflict between family members and also in some cases the sons/daughters are staying aboard. (T-13 &13A)

Health

On enquiry about the physical condition of the inmates, it is seen that 153 persons have defective vision, of which 25 are blind. In the case of hearing, 73 are deaf. 283 persons reported that they are not able to walk while 379 persons are walking with the help of walker and 1176 with the help of others. In the case of memory more than 50% reported that they have no proper memory power. In general, the physical conditions of the inmates like capacity for moving, vision, hearing etc are worse than the general oldage group. It may be one of the reasons why they have opted to stay in old age homes. (T- 14)

Ailment

As regards the different diseases of the inmates of old age homes, it is seen that 364 out of 1000 are suffering from Rheumatic complaints. In the case of Hypertension, the affected number is 248. Generally most of the persons suffer from one disease or other or a combination of many diseases. As regards the treatment, almost all cases are getting treatment. The proportion of persons (inmates of old age homes) suffering from various diseases is more than the proportion of such persons in the general old age category. (T 15, 15A)

Most of the inmates in the old age homes suffering from various diseases reported that they are taking treatment. It is an indication that, their ailment is severe and needs treatment. In most of the cases the treatment is under Allopathic system. 16.39% of the persons take the treatment according to his/her own desire and are satisfied. 55.38% of the old age persons reported that they are satisfied with the treatment decided by the old age home. Only 1.34% is not satisfied with the treatment received by him/her. (T 16, 16A, 17 & 17A)

Entertainment:- Most of old age homes have provisions for various entertainment. It is seen that 551 out of 1000 person are chatting with others and 462 are watching TV. A good number of persons prefer to stay alone i.e. 450 out of 1000. Though they are also engaged in other entertainment like chatting, viewing TV reading etc, their main desire is to stay alone; they are not interested to involve in any activities (T.18, 18A)

With regards the behavior of other inmates of the home, almost all share a satisfactory view. Only 4.0% of the inmates reported that others are indifferent. The behavior of the staff of the old age homes is also reported to be satisfactory by the inmates. (T-19, 19A, 20)

On the whole the inmates reported that they are satisfied with the life in the oldage homes, though with varying reasons. (T.21, 21A)

13.6% of the inmates of the old age reported that their relatives are visiting them frequently and they are loving. But 27.1% are of the opinion that relatives are making only a casual visit and the inmates are not satisfied with it. In the case of 13.4% no relatives have made any visit and for 45.9% there are no relatives. It is seen that after sending them to the old age homes, in some cases even the son/daughter has not visited their parents. (T-22 & 22 A).

Though most of the inmates reported that they are satisfied with the life in old age homes, 4.2% have intense desire to go back to their homes and other 14.1% are interested to go back if their relatives invited. But majority of the inmates have no desire to go back. (T- 23 & 23 A)

General Observations

The remarks of the field workers and supervisors were summarized and listed as general observations.

- 1. This study was conducted by visiting about 14 lakhs families in the state, along with the agricultural census.
- 2. The idea was to collect data about the old age persons directly from them by interview. But in most of the cases the old age persons gave the data in the presence of other family members. It was observed that though in many cases the old age person is named as the head o f the family, it was mostly a formal recognition only and the actual decision makers were younger people.
- 3. Many of the old age persons who were residing with other members of the family showed reluctance to divulge the actual situation about their freedom, travel, health care and availability of food. Hence the situation in respect of these matters cannot in anyway better than what is depicted in the survey report.
- 4. Most of the old age females were not at all ready to reveal information directly and could be interviewed only in the presence of other members of the family. Naturally they were inhibited from revealing the true situation.
- 5. Though many old age persons were objective in their statements about health and medical treatment, it is seen that medical expenses stated by some of them are exaggerated figures.
- 6. The data about old age persons residing in old age homes were collected in the presence of the authorities of the homes. Though most people expressed satisfaction about the conditions in these homes, from their subsequent explanations and unguarded comments it could be gathered that all is not well with the life in the old age homes.
- 7. Generally there is no awareness among the public about old age homes. The popular understanding is that old age homes are meant for destitute people and those who have no sons or daughters or close relatives. But in some of these homes, especially those run by religious institutions and social organizations the inmates get good attention and are provided with all facilities. Most of these homes are charging fees from the inmates: but were unwilling to give data about the fees and other charges collected by them.
- 8. It is seen that in many families especially in the affluent section of society the old age people are allowed to stay with their young ones simply because of the apprehension of the family members about the social disapproval if the old people are sent to old age homes. But there are many old people who are mentally suffering due to the loneliness especially in daytime and diseases. Many are self-exiled into islands of loneliness.

- 9. On the whole if the style of functioning of the old age homes is modified by changing them into institutions with facilities for rest, entertainment, medical treatment, mutual co-operation, company of others in the same age group and exchange of ideas, it can be expected that all categories of people will evince interest in old age homes.
- 10. It is seen that the main cause for the mental state of the old age persons is their attitude towards life. A large section of them harbour the complaint that they are being ignored and are not informed or consulted in family matters.

The thrust of this survey on old age persons was mainly on the population distribution and their style of life, previous occupation, present activities etc. Apart from these general factors, it is necessary to have a detailed study and an in-depth analysis of factors influencing their state of mental satisfaction, reasons for dissatisfaction with living conditions, reason for non-involvement in family and social matters etc, against the family background of the individuals.

PART - I

Sl No	District	Estimated No. of Households having aged person	Estimated No. of Oldage Persons	Average Old age % in House Hold
1	Kasaragod	70354	81653	1.16
2	Kannur	171693	199655	1.16
3	Wayanad	40974	48949	1.19
4	Kozhikode	198169	234872	1.19
5	Malappuram	188902	224261	1.19
6	Palakkad	181939	181939 213634	
7	Thrissur	227236	277569	1.22
8	Emakulam	234042	297261	1.27
9	Idukki	72868	92299	1.27
10	Kottayam	163405	221781	1.36
11	Alappuzha	196225	245698	1.25
12	Pathanamthitta	130399	163066	1.25
13	Kollam	177946	215506	1.21
14	Thiruvananthapuram	239882	269873	1.13
	State	2294034	2786077	1.21

Table 1: District wise Number of Households and Old Age Persons

SI		Numb	er of Househ	olds according	g to number of	f aged persons	
SI No	District	1	2	3	4	5	More Than 5
1	Kasaragod	59286	10847	211	10	0	0
2	Kannur	145073	25489	920	211	0	0
3	Wayanad	33101	7777	90	6	0	0
4	Kozhikode	163195	33650	939	380	0	5
5	Malappuram	156235	30986	670	1011	0	0
6	Palakkad	151049	30129	716	45	0	0
7	Thrissur	179439	45572	1997	210	6	12
8	Ernakulam	175009	55775	2423	783	31	21
9	Idukki	54080	18183	567	38	0	0
10	Kottayam	108853	51617	2405	477	12	41
11	Alappuzha	148317	46410	1431	67	0	0
12	Pathanamthitta	98501	31150	727	21	0	0
13	Kollam	141254	35857	803	32	0	0
14	Thiruvananthapuram	210446	28915	487	34	0	0
	State	1823838	452357	14386	3325	49	79

Table 2: District wise Number of Households According to the Number ofAged Persons

Table 3: District wise Number of Households and Number of Aged Persons According to the Social Groups

					Social	Groups				
S1 No	District	SC	SC		ST		OBC		Others	
110		Household	Persons	Household	Persons	Household	Persons	Household	Persons	
1	Kasaragod	4951	5849	2059	2334	32963	37190	30381	36280	
2	Kannur	6784	7809	1287	1421	98631	112891	64991	77534	
3	Wayanad	1929	2178	5458	6259	11967	13730	21620	26782	
4	Kozhikode	12659	15241	650	765	132143	154205	52717	64661	
5	Malappuram	16680	19834	1591	1880	133980	156789	36651	45758	
6	Palakkad	25198	28873	1987	2265	92963	108048	61791	74448	
7	Thrissur	21186	25208	1221	1453	70370	84293	134459	166615	
8	Emakulam	20115	24599	2138	2620	74169	90015	137620	180028	
9	Idukki	8034	9339	4286	5038	14380	17671	46168	60251	
10	Kottayam	9551	11965	1759	2452	36381	47209	115714	160155	
11	Alappuzha	14714	18050	1195	1508	81613	100772	98703	125368	
12	Pathanamthitta	11995	14335	1276	1477	19548	23820	97580	123434	
13	Kollam	18193	21357	1403	1606	56004	67236	102346	125306	
14	Thiruvananthapuram	19895 21992		4755	5138	108839	124289	106393	118454	
	State	191884	226629	31065	36216	963951	1138158	1107134	1385074	

Table 4: District wise Number of Households with Old Age Persons as Head of the Household

Sl No	District	Estimated No. of Households with Aged Persons	Estimated No. of Households with Aged Persons as Head	Percentage
1	Kasaragod	70354	51731	73.52
2	Kannur	171693	139644	81.33
3	Wayanad	40974	29048	70.89
4	Kozhikode	198169	151277	76.33
5	Malappuram	188902	141697	75.01
6	Palakkad	181939	132838	73.01
7	Thrissur	227236	174029	76.58
8	Emakulam	234042	154506	66.01
9	Idukki	72868	43498	59.69
10	Kottayam	163405	99805	61.07
11	Alappuzha	196225	137500	70.07
12	Pathanamthitta	130399	85727	65.74
13	Kollam	177946	104485	58.71
14	Thiruvananthapuram	239882	170509	71.08
	State	2294034	1616294	70.45

SI	District	Numbe	r of persons (Esti	mated)		In Percentage		Sex Ratio
No	District	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	Sex Katio
1	Kasaragod	39060	42593	81653	47.84	52.16	100	1090
2	Kannur	91794	107861	199655	45.98	54.02	100	1175
3	Wayanad	24465	24484	48949	49.98	50.02	100	1001
4	Kozhikode	108664	126208	234872	46.27	53.73	100	1161
5	Malappuram	108999	115262	224261	48.60	51.40	100	1057
6	Palakkad	99555	114079	213634	46.60	53.40	100	1146
7	Thrissur	123851	153718	277569	44.62	55.38	100	1241
8	Emakulam	146944	150318	297262	49.43	50.57	100	1023
9	Idukki	47147	45152	92299	51.08	48.92	100	958
10	Kottayam	103238	118543	221781	46.55	53.45	100	1148
11	Alappuzha	112783	132915	245698	45.90	54.10	100	1178
12	Pathanamthitta	81474	81592	163066	49.96	50.04	100	1001
13	Kollam	101457	114048	215505	47.08	52.92	100	1124
14	Thiruvananthapuram	137566	132307	269873	50.97	49.03	100	962
	State	1326997	1459080	2786077	47.63	52.37	100	1090

Table 5: District wise and Sex wise Number of Old Age Persons in Kerala

SI		No. of Ol	d age Persor	ns Accordir	ng to Martia	al Status			Percentag	ge of Oldage	e Persons A	ccording to	Martial Sta	tus	
No	Districts	Un- married	Married	Se- perated	Quarre- led	Divo- rced	Widow / Widower	Total	Un- married	Married	Sepe- rated	Quarre- led	Divorced	Widow / Widower	Total
1	Kasaragod	1454	47610	568	146	92	31783	81653	1.78	58.31	0.70	0.18	0.11	38.92	100
2	Kannur	7059	104029	1299	355	334	86579	199655	3.54	52.10	0.65	0.18	0.17	43.36	100
3	Wayanad	425	27680	433	153	91	20167	48949	0.87	56.55	0.88	0.31	0.19	41.20	100
4	Kozhikode	4401	125524	970	295	641	103041	234872	1.87	53.44	0.41	0.13	0.27	43.88	100
5	Malappuram	4120	124786	1267	389	748	92951	224261	1.84	55.64	0.56	0.17	0.33	41.46	100
6	Palakkad	4522	110832	1031	324	469	96456	213634	2.12	51.88	0.48	0.15	0.22	45.15	100
7	Thrissur	8935	149712	1110	364	285	117163	277569	3.22	53.94	0.40	0.13	0.10	42.21	100
8	Ernakulam	7873	174869	2171	604	414	111331	297262	2.65	58.83	0.73	0.20	0.14	37.45	100
9	Idukki	994	56471	832	225	69	33708	92299	1.08	61.18	0.90	0.24	0.07	36.53	100
10	Kottayam	6463	137226	1377	285	212	76218	221781	2.91	61.87	0.62	0.13	0.10	34.37	100
11	Alappuzha	7094	136198	1885	428	432	99661	245698	2.89	55.43	0.77	0.17	0.18	40.56	100
12	Pathanamthitta	2057	97060	1036	382	119	62412	163066	1.26	59.52	0.64	0.23	0.07	38.28	100
13	Kollam	3284	115195	2162	551	424	93889	215505	1.52	53.45	1.00	0.26	0.20	43.57	100
14	Thiruvanan- thapuram	5879	146085	2998	1009	649	113253	269873	2.18	54.13	1.11	0.37	0.24	41.97	100
	State	64560	1553277	19139	5510	4979	1138612	2786077	2.32	55.75	0.69	0.20	0.18	40.86	100

Table 6: District wise details of Old age Persons according to Marital Status

Table 7: Detailes of aged persons (in percentage) according to Educational Qualification - (General) (District wise)

				Edu	ucational Qualifica	tion		
Sl No	Districts	Not Literate	Literate Below Primary	Middle	SSLC or Equivalent	PDC or Equivalent	Degree and Above	Total
1	Kasaragod	51.08	36.86	8.44	2.26	0.63	0.73	100
2	Kannur	24.88	55.27	14.12	3.89	0.87	0.97	100
3	Wayanad	41.76	45.27	10.38	1.76	0.38	0.45	100
4	Kozhikode	32.84	46.96	15.26	3.59	0.71	0.64	100
5	Malappuram	42.18	46.86	8.08	1.78	0.61	0.49	100
6	Palakkad	39.84	36.66	15.22	5.55	1.52	1.21	100
7	Thrissur	24.62	50.94	14.73	6.63	1.61	1.47	100
8	Emakulam	22.57	49.06	16.75	7.37	1.73	2.52	100
9	Idukki	27.80	55.07	13.36	2.40	0.80	0.57	100
10	Kottayam	10.01	54.92	21.52	8.25	2.54	2.76	100
11	Alappuzha	19.08	50.49	18.87	7.96	2.08	1.52	100
12	Pathanamthitta	10.32	47.12	26.03	10.79	3.14	2.60	100
13	Kollam	25.07	52.94	13.45	4.90	1.76	1.88	100
14	Thiruvananthapuram	28.81	41.78	16.32	8.05	3.15	1.89	100
	State	26.83	48.25	15.76	5.92	1.69	1.55	100

Sl			General	Educational Qua	alification		
No	Districts	Not Literate	Literate Below Primary	Middle	SSLC or Equivalent	PDC or Equivalent	Degree and Above
1	Kasaragod	5.59	2.24	1.56	1.12	1.09	1.37
2	Kannur	6.65	8.21	6.42	4.71	3.67	4.48
3	Wayanad	2.73	1.65	1.16	0.52	0.40	0.51
4	Kozhikode	10.32	8.21	8.16	5.11	3.55	3.48
5	Malappuram	12.65	7.82	4.12	2.41	2.90	2.52
6	Palakkad	11.39	5.83	7.40	7.21	6.89	5.99
7	Thrissur	9.14	10.52	9.31	11.15	9.46	9.43
8	Emakulam	8.98	10.85	11.33	13.28	10.88	17.27
9	Idukki	3.43	3.78	2.81	1.34	1.55	1.21
10	Kottayam	2.97	9.06	10.87	11.09	11.91	14.16
11	Alappuzha	6.27	9.23	10.56	11.84	10.81	8.63
12	Pathanamthitta	2.25	5.72	9.67	10.66	10.85	9.78
13	Kollam	7.23	8.49	6.60	6.40	8.05	9.37
14	Thiruvananthapuram	10.40	8.39	10.03	13.16	17.99	11.80
	Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 8: Educational Qualification - (General) in Percentage

Table 9: Educational Qualification - (Technical) in Percentage

		wise)

			Techn	ical Educational Qualifi	cation		
Sl No	Districts	I.T. I Equivalent	Diploma Equivalent	Graduate & PG	Others	No Technical Qualification	Total
1	Kasaragod	0.48	0.29	0.22	2.28	96.73	100
2	Kannur	4.64	0.53	0.47	2.55	91.81	100
3	Wayanad	0.56	0.25	0.25	0.57	98.37	100
4	Kozhikode	0.61	0.37	0.24	0.80	97.98	100
5	Malappuram	1.17	1.23	0.58	1.52	95.50	100
6	Palakkad	0.99	0.59	0.49	3.27	94.66	100
7	Thrissur	1.44	1.69	0.96	2.78	93.13	100
8	Emakulam	0.57	0.54	0.48	0.80	97.61	100
9	Idukki	1.12	0.82	0.25	3.39	94.42	100
10	Kottayam	1.60	1.13	1.00	3.42	92.85	100
11	Alappuzha	0.72	1.17	0.52	2.09	95.50	100
12	Pat hanamthitta	1.73	1.80	1.40	4.03	91.04	100
13	Kollam	1.75	0.98	0.77	3.13	93.37	100
14	Thiruvananthapuram	3.56	2.11	1.14	4.30	88.89	100
	State	1.50	0.96	0.63	2.50	94.41	100.00

Table 10: Number of aged persons according to Educational Qualification - (Technical) in Percentage

Sl No	Districts	Technical Educational Qualification					
		I.T. I Equivalent	Diploma Equivalent	Graduate & PG	Others	No Technical Qualification	
1	Kasaragod	0.88	0.79	0.94	2.63	3.01	
2	Kannur	20.89	3.60	4.98	7.24	6.99	
3	Wayanad	0.62	0.41	0.63	0.40	1.84	
4	Kozhikode	3.22	2.97	2.99	2.66	8.77	
5	Malappuram	5.93	9.37	6.86	4.85	8.16	
6	Palakkad	4.77	4.29	5.48	9.93	7.71	
7	Thrissur	9.02	15.91	14.01	10.97	9.86	
8	Emakulam	3.82	5.44	7.51	3.40	11.06	
9	Idukki	2.33	2.56	1.21	4.44	3.32	
10	Kottayam	8.00	8.52	11.69	10.77	7.85	
11	Alappuzha	4.01	9.73	6.74	7.31	8.95	
12	Pathanamthitta	6.35	9.93	12.01	9.33	5.66	
13	Kollam	8.50	7.15	8.71	9.59	7.67	
14	Thiruvananthapuram	21.66	19.33	16.24	16.48	9.15	
	Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	

No. of Persons	60 - 70	70 - 80	80 - 90	Above 90	All	
0	1.69	2.18	2.08	2.05	1.86	
1	7.93	8.30	7.54	6.35	7.99	
2	11.60	9.72	9.14	8.77	10.76	
3	16.98	14.38	14.02	13.88	15.87	
4	21.14	23.18	25.33	23.90	22.2	
Above 4	40.66	42.24	41.89	45.05	41.32	
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	

Table 11 : Details of Persons Stayed Along with Old Age Persons (percentage)

Total	29320	5225	2303	9098	2838	9856	
Above 90	456	109	27	168	63	89	
80 - 90	3165	670	194	1015	400	886	
70 - 80	10467	1910	903	3278	1073	3303	
60 - 70	15232	2536	1179	4637	1302	5578	
Age Group	No. of Aged Persons Living Alone	N ot interested to change circumstances	Like to live without restriction	Reason Children are not in a position to live with them	Children are not interested to live with them	No children or close relative	
		Descen					

Table 12: Old Age Persons Living Alone - cause wise distribution

Dwelling	Own	Rented	Son/Daughter	Brother/Sisters	Relatives	Others	Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Use entire Area	1977251	40931	294071	17720	8942	9634	2348549
Separate room and facility	180949	12429	61936	5063	2966	2583	265926
Use limited facility	108443	7812	47468	3457	2494	1928	171602
Total	2266643	61172	403475	26240	14402	14145	2786077

Table 13: Dwelling and Accommodation Facilities of old age persons

SI		Land Possessed (In Cents)								
No	Habitation Status	Below 10 Cent	10 - 100	101 - 247	248 - 500	501 - 1000	1001 - 2000	2001 - 5000	>5000	Total
1	Owned	625036	1252359	231770	121602	29581	5128	963	204	2266643
2	Rented	12715	19398	2428	1306	482	138	39	15	61172
3	Living With Sons/Daughter	94351	232311	33247	15780	3283	480	88	46	403476
4	Living With Brother/Sister	5507	13936	2345	1199	342	46	10	11	26238
5	Living With Relatives	3714	6975	881	388	105	11	5	0	14403
6	Others	1929	4046	718	475	251	61	31	5	14145

Table 14: Number of Aged Persons According to Habitation and Area of Land Possessed by Family

Table 15: Nature og	f Houses &	Accommodation	Facilities o	f aged persons
---------------------	------------	---------------	--------------	----------------

	Accommodation						
Nature of House	As per desire	Separate room and other facilities	limited facilities	Total			
Рисса	453516	71032	7306	531854			
Semi Pucca	1592119	174444	95289	1861852			
Katcha	266786	18655	58512	343953			
Hut	36128	1794	10496	48418			
Total	2348549	265925	171603	2786077			

Table 16: District wise number of aged persons by type of house occupied

Sl No	Districts		Type of	House		Total
51 10	Districts	Pucca	Semi - Pacca	Katcha	Hut	Total
1	Kasaragod	15936	53063	11110	1544	81653
2	Kannur	33871	151635	13063	1086	199655
3	Wayanad	5727	32234	8836	2152	48949
4	Kozhikode	36868	166734	27646	3624	234872
5	Malappuram	37281	159844	24469	2667	224261
6	Palakkad	46685	138479	24982	3488	213634
7	Thrissur	51658	187919	33642	4350	277569
8	Emakulam	59186	197928	37221	2927	297262
9	Idukki	12105	59540	18600	2054	92299
10	Kottayam	48029	137587	32715	3450	221781
11	Alappuzha	49572	160170	28005	7951	245698
12	Pathanamthitta	41296	104403	15400	1967	163066
13	Kollam	40262	143691	26042	5510	215505
14	Thiruvananthapuram	53379	168625	42222	5647	269873
	Total	531855	1861852	343953	48417	2786077

Table 16A: Details of Old age Persons according to type of House in percentage

Sl No	Districts		Type of	House		Total
51110	Districts	Pucca	Semi - Pacca	Katcha	Hut	Total
1	Kasaragod	19.51	64.99	13.61	1.89	100
2	Kannur	16.97	75.95	6.54	0.54	100
3	Wayanad	11.70	65.85	18.05	4.40	100
4	Kozhikode	15.70	70.99	11.77	1.54	100
5	Malappuram	16.62	71.28	10.91	1.19	100
6	Palakkad	21.86	64.82	11.69	1.63	100
7	Thrissur	18.61	67.70	12.12	1.57	100
8	Emakulam	19.92	66.58	12.52	0.98	100
9	Idukki	13.11	64.51	20.15	2.23	100
10	Kottayam	21.65	62.04	14.75	1.56	100
11	Alappuzha	20.17	65.19	11.40	3.24	100
12	Pathanamthitta	25.33	64.02	9.44	1.21	100
13	Kollam	18.68	66.68	12.08	2.56	100
14	Thiruvananthapuram	19.78	62.48	15.65	2.09	100
	State	19.09	66.82	12.35	1.74	100

Table 16B : Percentage of Old age Persons According To Type of House Percentage

Sl No	Districts		Type of I	House	
51 10	Districts	Рисса	Semi - Pacca	Katcha	Hut
1	Kasaragod	2.99	2.84	3.23	3.19
2	Kannur	6.37	8.14	3.80	2.24
3	Wayanad	1.08	1.73	2.57	4.44
4	Kozhikode	6.93	8.96	8.04	7.48
5	Malappuram	7.01	8.59	7.11	5.51
6	Palakkad	8.78	7.44	7.26	7.22
7	Thrissur	9.71	10.09	9.78	8.98
8	Emakulam	11.13	10.63	10.82	6.05
9	Idukki	2.28	3.20	5.41	4.24
10	Kottayam	9.03	7.39	9.51	7.13
11	Alappuzha	9.32	8.60	8.14	16.42
12	Pathanamthitta	7.76	5.61	4.48	4.06
13	Kollam	7.57	7.72	7.57	11.38
14	Thiruvananthapuram	10.04	9.06	12.28	11.66
	Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Income (Rs.)	Type of House						
income (Ks.)	Pucca	Semi Pucca	Katcha	Hut	Total		
No Income	166487	630260	111117	14222	922086		
Below 500	106080	723715	180939	28428	1039162		
500 - 1000	58221	206165	29632	3794	297812		
1000 - 2000	65182	164722	15991	1463	247358		
2000 - 5000	106578	122701	5863	459	235601		
5000 - 10000	26071	12513	315	41	38940		
Above 10000	3236	1776	96	10	5118		
Total	531855	1861852	343953	48417	2786077		

Table 17: Number of aged persons by Income & Type of House

		Invo	lvement in Major Activ	vities	
Land (Cent)	Complete control over family affairs	Major role in solving family problems	Participating in discussion but no. of role in decision making	Not informed family affairs	Total
No Land	453663	494310	269227	34200	1251400
Below 10 Cent	201534	114045	38641	4631	358851
10 - 500	681129	362304	97128	12625	1153186
500 - 1000	12707	5416	890	62	19075
1000 - 2000	2132	729	166	0	3027
2000 - 5000	227	207	27	0	461
Above 5000	43	24	10	0	77
Total	1351435	977035	406089	51518	2786077

Table 18: Land Possessed & Involvement of Major Activities in the Family

Table 19: Number of aged persons according to satisfaction in availability of food

				Food	Status		
Sl No	Districts			Not satisfac	ctory due to		
		Satisfactory	Circumstances	Family not interested	Illness	Other reasons	Total
1	Kasaragod	70119	8451	803	2011	269	81653
2	Kannur	186220	8932	990	3139	374	199655
3	Wayanad	41880	5591	306	1011	161	48949
4	Kozhikode	213276	13298	553	7076	669	234872
5	Malappuram	198289	17978	1654	5705	635	224261
6	Palakkad	192255	16560	1086	3307	426	213634
7	Thrissur	252132	18942	847	5158	490	277569
8	Emakulam	256595	25585	1251	13178	653	297262
9	Idukki	76665	11831	690	2666	447	92299
10	Kottayam	186826	23112	671	10275	897	221781
11	Alappuzha	222355	16505	1329	4934	575	245698
12	Pathanamthitta	144294	11526	495	6161	590	163066
13	Kollam	181247	25494	2051	5169	1544	215505
14	Thiruvananthapuram	232597	27851	1726	6914	785	269873
	Total	2454750	231656	14452	76704	8515	2786077

			Food Status			
Income (Rs.)		Not Satisfactory due to				Total
	Satisfactory	Circumstances	Family not interested	Illness	Other reasons	
No Income	807980	77265	5287	28648	2906	922086
Below 500	879509	120518	6473	28395	4267	1039162
500 - 1000	269833	18869	1285	7212	613	297812
1000 - 2000	229730	10444	934	5776	474	247358
2000 - 5000	225519	3927	386	5536	233	235601
5000 - 10000	37227	580	64	1047	22	38940
Above 10000	4952	53	23	90	0	5118
Total	2454750	231656	14452	76704	8515	2786077

Table 19A: Number of aged persons according to Family Income & Food status

		Food Status					
Income (Rs.)	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory due to			Total		
	Salislasis	Circumstances	Family not interested	Illness	Other reasons		
No Income	32.91	33.35	36.59	37.35	34.12	33.09	
Below 500	35.83	52.02	44.79	37.02	50.11	37.3	
500 - 1000	10.99	8.15	8.89	9.4	7.2	10.69	
1000 - 2000	9.36	4.51	6.46	7.53	5.57	8.88	
2000 - 5000	9.19	1.7	2.67	7.22	2.74	8.46	
5000 - 10000	1.52	0.25	0.44	1.36	0.26	1.4	
Above 10000	0.2	0.02	0.16	0.12	0	0.18	
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	

Table 19B: Relation of Family Income & Food status in percentage

			Food Status			
Income (Rs.)			Not Satisfactor	y due to		Total
	Satisfactory	Circumstances	Family not interested	Illness	Other reasons	
No Income	87.62	8.38	0.57	3.11	0.32	100
Below 500	84.64	11.6	0.62	2.73	0.41	100
500 - 1000	90.6	6.34	0.43	2.42	0.21	100
1000 - 2000	92.87	4.22	0.38	2.34	0.19	100
2000 - 5000	95.72	1.67	0.16	2.35	0.1	100
5000 - 10000	95.6	1.49	0.16	2.69	0.06	100
Above 10000	96.75	1.04	0.45	1.76	0	100
Total	88.11	8.31	0.52	2.75	0.31	100

Table 19C: Family Income & Food Status (in Percentage)

				Nursing (Nursing Care						
Income (Rs.)	Husband/ Wife	Son	Daughter	Son in- laws/ daughter in - laws	Relatives	Home Nurse	No one to care	No need of assistance	Total		
No Income	214188	263860	78228	115893	16269	1611	17221	214816	922086		
Below 500	278237	296255	107519	99715	21716	670	29037	206013	1039162		
500 - 1000	106309	69432	23130	24505	3998	444	5504	64491	297813		
1000 - 2000	94111	53337	17238	21036	2791	431	3494	54920	247358		
2000 - 5000	101321	36372	11750	13986	2636	912	3786	64838	235601		
5000 - 10000	17390	4361	1426	1441	618	236	437	13031	38940		
Above 10000	1776	1125	183	158	41	41	42	1751	5117		
Total	813332	724742	239474	276734	48069	4345	59521	619860	2786077		

Table 20: Family Income & Type of Nursing Assistance

Income (De.)		Nur	Nursing					
Income (Rs.)	Good	Bad	No Help	Total				
No Income	847001	39793	35293	922087				
Below 500	947311	52157	39693	1039161				
500 - 1000	278477	9968	9367	297812				
1000 - 2000	234048	5975	7335	247358				
2000 - 5000	223152	3778	8671	235601				
5000 - 10000	36520	508	1912	38940				
Above 10000	4821	54	243	5118				
Total	2571330	112233	102514	2786077				

Table 20A: Monthly Income & Nursing

Table 21: Knowledge of Old Age Homes & Interest

Knowledge of Old Age Homes		Total			
Kilowiedge of Old Age Hollies	Not interested	No objection	Interested	Total	
Knowing	1746982	242932	44356	2034270	
Good Knowledge	200269	63332	18472	282073	
Not Knowing	401383	47276	21075	469734	
Total	2348634	353540	83903	2786077	

Table 21A: Interest in Living Old Age Homes & Nursing

Interest in Living Old Age Homes		Nursing					
interest in Living Oid Age Homes	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	No one to help	Total			
Not interested	2202912	69876	75844	2348632			
No objection	304881	30806	17854	353541			
Interested	63536	11552	8816	83904			
Total	2571329	112234	102514	2786077			

Interest in Living Old Age Homes	Nursing						
	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	No one to help	Total			
Not interested	84.72	82.63	83.49	85.75			
No objection	12.25	13.85	13.9	11.65			
Interested	3.03	3.52	2.61	2.6			
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00			

Table 21B: Interest in Living Old Age Homes & Nursing (in Percentage)

Sl No	Districts		Interest in Living	Old Age Homes	
SINO	Districts	Not interested	Not interested No objection		Total
1	Kasaragod	65743	12895	3015	81653
2	Kannur	173495	22315	3845	199655
3	Wayanad	42266	5755	928	48949
4	Kozhikode	216106	13584	5182	234872
5	Malappuram	194107	20351	9803	224261
6	Palakkad	178274	26462	8898	213634
7	Thrissur	245097	25559	6913	277569
8	Ernakulam	255368	35115	6779	297262
9	Idukki	78845	11117	2337	92299
10	Kottayam	183929	34325	3527	221781
11	Alappuzha	215324	26329	4045	245698
12	Pathanamthitta	132370	25432	5264	163066
13	Kollam	159208	44450	11847	215505
14	Thiruvananthapuram	208502	49852	11519	269873
	Total	2348634	353541	83902	2786077

Table 21C: Interest in Living Old Age Homes

Sl No	Districts		Knowledge of c	old age Home	
51 110	Districts	Knowing	Having good knowledge	Not knowing	Total
1	Kasaragod	56427	8141	17085	81653
2	Kannur	142552	17326	39777	199655
3	Wayanad	31322	6975	10652	48949
4	Kozhikode	145988	21323	67561	234872
5	Malappuram	136509	18736	69016	224261
6	Palakkad	137098	15758	60778	213634
7	Thrissur	216642	25479	35448	277569
8	Emakulam	234460	34852	27950	297262
9	Idukki	73257	7832	11210	92299
10	Kottayam	179944	27043	14794	221781
11	Alappuzha	191818	20521	33359	245698
12	Pathanamthitta	127222	19726	16118	163066
13	Kollam	159815	26521	29169	215505
14	Thiruvananthapuram	201215	31841	36817	269873
	Total	2034269	282074	469734	2786077

Table 21D: Knowledge about the Old Age Home

Table 21E: Interest in Living Old Age Homes & Mental Satisfaction

Interest in Living Old Age Homes	Mental Satisfaction					
increating out rigo nomes	Fully satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feel lonely	No option	Total
Not interested	957791	1064682	69660	24304	232196	2348633
No objection	114202	166943	25212	11162	36022	353541
Interested	28109	29965	14002	4348	7479	83903
Total	1100102	1261590	108874	39814	275697	2786077

Table 21F: Interest in Living Old Age Homes & Mental Satisfaction (in Percentage)

			Mental Sat	isfaction		
Interest in Living Old Age Homes	Fully satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feel lonely	No option	Total
Not interested	87.06	84.39	63.98	61.04	84.22	84.3
No Objection	10.38	13.23	23.16	28.04	13.07	12.69
Interested	2.56	2.38	12.86	10.92	2.71	3.01
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Interest in Living Old Age Homes				Inco	ome						
	No Income	Below	500 - 1000	1000 - 2000	2000 - 5000	5000 - 10000	Above 10000	Total			
Not interested	781225	858700	248631	212127	208079	35186	4685	2348633			
No objection	112965	143931	41395	28810	22855	3197	389	353542			
Interested	27897	36529	7787	6421	4667	557	44	83902			
Total	922087	1039160	297813	247358	235601	38940	5118	2786077			

Table 21G: Interest in Living Old Age Homes & Income

Table 21H: Interest in Living Old Age Homes & Income (in Percentage)

Interest in Living Old Age Homes				Inco	ome			
	No Income	Below 500	500 - 1000	1000 - 2000	2000 - 5000	5000 - 10000	Above 10000	Total
Not interested	84.72	82.63	83.49	85.75	88.32	90.36	91.54	84.3
No objection	12.25	13.85	13.9	11.65	9.7	8.21	7.6	12.69
Interested	3.03	3.52	2.61	2.6	1.98	1.43	0.86	3.01
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 22: Involvement of Major Activities in the Family & Mental Satisfaction

Major Activity		Mental Satisfaction								
Major Activity	Fully satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No opinion	Total				
Involvement in family affairs	655922	537213	43389	16937	97975	1351436				
Active role in solving family Problems	325919	508380	32937	9072	100726	977034				
Participating in family discussions	106524	200235	24604	9469	65256	406088				
No role in family affairs	11739	15761	7945	4335	11739	51519				
Total	1100104	1261589	108875	39813	275696	2786077				

Table 22 A: Involvement in Major Activities in the Family & Mental Satisfaction (in Percentage)

SI.		Mental Satisfaction							
No.	Major Activity	Fully satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No opinion	Total		
1	Involvement in family affairs	59.62	42.58	39.85	42.54	35.53	48.5		
2	Active role in solving family Problems	29.63	40.3	30.25	22.79	36.54	35.07		
3	Participating in family discussions	9.68	15.87	22.6	23.78	23.67	14.58		
4	No role in family affairs	1.07	1.25	7.3	10.89	4.26	1.85		
	Total		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		

 Table 22 B: Involvement in Major Activities in the Family & Mental Satisfaction (in Percentage)

Sl. No.	Major Activity			Mental Satisfaction			Total
51. INO.	Major Activity	Fully satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No opinion	Total
1	Involvement in family affairs	48.54	39.75	3.21	1.25	7.25	100
2	Active role in solving family Problems	33.36	52.03	3.37	0.93	10.31	100
3	Participating in family discussions	26.23	49.31	6.06	2.33	16.07	100
4	No role in family affairs	22.79	30.59	15.42	8.41	22.79	100
	Total	39.49	45.27	3.91	1.43	9.90	100

			Mental S	atisfaction		
Income (Rs.)	Fully Satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No Opinion	Total
	1	2	3	4	5	6
No Income	347233	425191	39016	13754	96894	922088
Below 500	379136	469679	53961	18094	118291	1039161
500 - 1000	121350	139003	7413	3441	26605	297812
1000 - 2000	109313	113308	4750	2431	17556	247358
2000 - 5000	117260	99528	3301	1802	13710	235601
5000 - 10000	22631	13174	393	276	2465	38939
Above 10000	3181	1706	39	16	176	5118
Total	1100104	1261589	108873	39814	275697	2786077

Table 23: Income & Mental Satisfaction

Income (Rs.)			Mental S	atisfaction		
	Fully Satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No Opinion	Total
No Income	31.56	33.7	35.84	34.54	35.15	33.09
Below 500	34.46	37.23	49.56	45.45	42.91	37.3
500 - 1000	11.03	11.02	6.81	8.64	9.65	10.69
1000 - 2000	9.94	8.98	4.36	6.11	6.37	8.88
2000 - 5000	10.66	7.89	3.03	4.53	4.97	8.46
5000 - 10000	2.06	1.04	0.36	0.69	0.89	1.4
Above 10000	0.29	0.14	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.18
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 23 A: Income class of old age Persons & Mental Satisfaction (in Percentage)

Income (Rs.)			Menta	1 Satisfaction		
income (RS.)	Fully Satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No Opinion	Total
No Income	37.66	46.11	4.23	1.49	10.51	100
Below 500	36.49	45.2	5.19	1.74	11.38	100
500 - 1000	40.75	46.67	2.49	1.16	8.93	100
1000 - 2000	44.19	45.81	1.92	0.98	7.1	100
2000 - 5000	49.78	42.24	1.4	0.76	5.82	100
5000 - 10000	58.12	33.83	1.01	0.71	6.33	100
Above 10000	62.16	33.33	0.76	0.31	3.44	100
Total	39.49	45.27	3.91	1.43	9.90	100

Table 23B: Income class of old ages Persons & Mental Satisfaction (in Percentage)

		Mental Satisfaction							
Sl. No	Religion	Fully Satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No Opinion	Total		
1	Hindu	641542	772054	71306	25105	173210	1683217		
2	Christian	267195	281703	19570	8505	53918	630891		
3	Muslim	186055	202462	17446	5904	47402	459269		
4	Others	5310	5371	553	300	1166	12700		
	Total		1261590	108875	39814	275696	2786077		

Table 24: Mental Satisfaction and Religion

Table 24 A: Mental Satisfaction & Religion (in Percentage)

	Religion	Mental Satisfaction						
Sl. No		Fully Satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No Opinion	Total	
1	Hindu	38.11	45.87	4.24	1.49	10.29	100	
2	Christian	42.35	44.65	3.1	1.35	8.55	100	
3	Muslim	40.51	44.08	3.8	1.29	10.32	100	
4	Others	41.82	42.29	4.35	2.36	9.18	100	
	Total	39.49	45.27	3.91	1.43	9.90	100	

				Mental Sa	tisfaction		
Sl. No	Religion	Fully Satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No Opinion	Total
1	Un Married	24107	24897	4742	3294	7523	64563
2	Married (Living together)	652982	706589	49427	10483	133796	1553277
3	Separated	6888	7959	1289	457	2545	19138
4	Quarreled	1809	2029	681	259	731	5509
5	Divorcee	1449	2153	491	226	660	4979
6	Widow / Widower	412870	517961	52245	25094	130441	1138611
	Total		1261588	108875	39813	275696	2786077

Table 25: Mental Satisfaction and Marital Status

		Mental Satisfaction							
Sl. No	Religion	Fully Satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No Opinion	Total		
1	Un Married	37.34	38.56	7.35	5.1	11.65	100		
2	Married (Living together)	42.05	45.49	3.18	0.67	8.61	100		
3	Separated	35.98	41.59	6.74	2.39	13.3	100		
4	Quarreled	32.84	36.83	12.36	4.7	13.27	100		
5	Divorcee	29.1	43.24	9.86	4.54	13.26	100		
6	Widow / Widower	36.26	45.49	4.59	2.2	11.46	100		
	Total		45.27	3.91	1.43	9.90	100		

Table 25 A: Mental Satisfaction & Martial Status Partial Status

			Type of Journey		
Income (Rs.)	Own Desire Journey with the Help of Family		Journey with Permission of Family	Not able to travel	Total
No Income	498259	218660	114475	90692	922086
Below 500	613948	230115	106565	88534	1039162
500 - 1000	207035	54252	22275	14250	297812
1000 - 2000	179062	41820	15761	10715	247358
2000 - 5000	180593	31208	14869	8931	235601
5000 - 10000	30148	4500	3060	1232	38940
Above 10000	3671	825	430	192	5118
Total	1712716	581380	277435	214546	2786077

Table 26: Income & Journey

				Type of Journey		
Sl. No.	Districts	Own Desire	Journey with the Help of Family	Journey with Permission of Family	Not able to travel	Total
1	Kasaragod	45772	17555	9917	8409	81653
2	Kannur	123200	39922	23081	13452	199655
3	Wayanad	28901	13560	3202	3286	48949
4	Kozhikode	139146	46092	29774	19860	234872
5	Malappuram	123772	52297	30314	17878	224261
6	Palakkad	122733	52922	21663	16316	213634
7	Thrissur	175931	61282	19615	20741	277569
8	Emakulam	184298	57393	30171	25400	297262
9	Idukki	58047	19174	8860	6218	92299
10	Kottayam	127228	42990	30884	20679	221781
11	Alappuzha	153396	59530	11268	21504	245698
12	Pathanamthitta	102271	35777	14597	10421	163066
13	Kollam	145634	38174	16444	15253	215505
14	Thiruvananthapuram	182385	44713	27647	15128	269873
	Total	1712714	581381	277437	214545	2786077

Table 26 A: Tour & Travelling

Tour & Travelling	Mental Satisfaction									
Tour & Travening	Fully satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feel lonely	No option	– Total				
Own Desire	71.71	57.97	41.57	40.71	47.5	61.47				
With the help of family members	17.61	24.03	19.86	15.92	20.49	20.87				
As per the desire of family	6.36	11.27	12.73	14.06	16.66	9.96				
Not able to travel	4.32	6.73	25.84	29.31	15.35	7.70				
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00				

Table 27: Tour, Travelling & Mental Satisfaction (in Percentage)

Table 28: Media for Entertainment

		TV / Radio										
Sl. No.	Districts	Listening	Not accessible	Not listening due to other reasons	Not listening due to the restriction of family members	Total						
1	Kasaragod	52814	18839	7903	2097	81653						
2	Kannur	145247	34405	18735	1268	199655						
3	Wayanad	32972	12608	3100	269	48949						
4	Kozhikode	156377	52891	24525	1079	234872						
5	Malap puram	133961	57838	30205	2257	224261						
6	Palakkad	146376	50037	16110	1111	213634						
7	Thrissur	218698	41321	16844	706	277569						
8	Emakulam	245066	28189	22185	1822	297262						
9	Idukki	69965	17442	4507	385	92299						
10	Kottayam	179616	28237	12731	1197	221781						
11	Alappuzha	190019	35277	19323	1079	245698						
12	Pathanamthitta	126175	24754	10146	1991	163066						
13	Kollam	158048	42435	13328	1694	215505						
14	Thiruvananthapuram	207504	44825	16101	1443	269873						
	Total	2062838	489098	215743	18398	2786077						

Table 29 : Employment Particulars - (Upto 60 years)

							Nature	of Job						
SI No	Districts	With Pension	Without Pension	Self Emplo- yed in Agrl. Sectors	Self Emplo- yed in Other Sectors	Emplo- yed in other states	Emplo- yed in foreign country	Other labour- ers	Not intere- sted to do any job	Not able to do any job due to illness	Public Service	Taking rest	Others	Total
1	Kasargod	2842	13681	28573	3075	1527	246	18232	5629	520	67	808	6453	81653
2	Kannur	22250	47234	49866	6923	1665	940	30220	18604	1201	71	5248	15433	199655
3	Wayanad	995	7059	24130	874	97	29	10781	947	385	23	77	3552	48949
4	Kozhikode	14805	12626	37858	10936	951	1501	77481	14766	1392	266	1530	60760	234872
5	Malappuram	11643	52646	44881	7805	1601	2422	45995	21189	2805	189	9618	23467	224261
6	Palakkad	16768	42791	40611	8188	1472	479	66546	19764	747	121	1760	14387	213634
7	Thrissur	25969	49339	67048	12237	1178	2004	57572	12357	1291	175	8845	39554	277569
8	Ernakulam	31282	44649	70084	12050	2339	553	66937	17136	1766	353	6971	43142	297262
9	Idukki	3551	25786	38760	1646	161	59	13344	228	576	52	193	7943	92299
10	Kottayam	22492	43524	49067	7363	386	246	33665	3513	1761	335	1250	58179	221781
11	Alappuzha	24467	33900	56864	21247	4820	365	50817	13489	1928	308	5445	32048	245698
12	Pathanamthitta	18672	29411	43870	3978	1100	801	17586	2139	837	186	1042	43444	163066
13	Kollam	23015	66109	48911	7527	1304	813	31863	3590	1374	157	3144	27698	215505
14	Thiruvananthapuram	35713	70174	49033	11017	2110	1726	45563	14009	1531	245	4203	34549	269873
	Total	254464	538929	649556	114866	20711	12184	566602	147360	18114	2548	50134	410609	2786077

Table 29 A: Employment Particulars - (After 60 years)

							Nature	of Job						
Sl No	Districts	With Pension	Without Pension	Self Emplo- yed in Agrl. Sectors	Self Emplo- yed in Other Sectors	Employed in other states	emplo- yed in foreign country	Other labour- ers	Not intere- sted to do any job	Not able to do any job due to illness	Public Service	Taking rest	Others	Total
1	Kasaragod	259	583	17665	2264	119	55	9466	4608	8103	249	32777	5505	81653
2	Kannur	4643	2782	29904	4619	323	186	10990	8899	12151	465	115792	8901	199655
3	Wayanad	39	333	16489	563	44	12	5416	782	3521	138	18267	3345	48949
4	Kozhikode	681	1444	21602	6732	252	140	32695	9085	18234	682	104203	39122	234872
5	Malappuram	2750	5048	20347	4515	739	265	17434	12681	20578	1039	125566	13299	224261
6	Palakkad	645	2160	28647	4637	310	86	36746	13818	9294	445	102244	14602	213634
7	Thrissur	1875	3622	42293	7853	324	246	24944	6353	17352	622	143430	28655	277569
8	Ernakulam	7020	3623	43702	9474	618	71	38275	9492	13796	2370	139905	28916	297262
9	Idukki	1387	2533	35117	3106	109	43	8677	629	5889	251	27596	6962	92299
10	Kottayam	2078	4559	35237	6095	125	49	21139	3450	12885	993	88245	46926	221781
11	Alappuzha	967	2555	19256	11909	228	111	22240	4999	32039	1140	129829	20425	245698
12	Pathanamthitta	820	6700	35539	2743	106	193	11094	2502	8609	609	64431	29720	163066
13	Kollam	1343	8649	41511	4660	495	95	20459	5167	23776	1001	84261	24088	215505
14	Thiruvananthapuram	3139	4923	29393	8002	503	253	27851	10016	21331	1570	128002	34890	269873
	Total	27646	49514	416702	77172	4295	1805	287426	92481	207558	11574	1304548	305356	2786077

Table 29 B: Employment Particulars	- (On the day of Visit)
------------------------------------	-------------------------

							Nature	of Job						
Sl No	Districts	With Pension	With out Pension	Self Emplo- yed in Agrl. Sectors	Self Emplo- yed in Other Sectors	Emplo- yed in other states	emplo- yed in foreign country	Other labour- ers	Not intere- sted to do any job	Not able to do any job due to illness	Public Service	Taking rest	Others	Total
1	Kasaragod	194	299	5839	1248	37	25	4641	2148	9384	195	54008	3635	81653
2	Kannur	3462	1042	12438	2671	97	34	4044	6985	13894	319	145898	8771	199655
3	Wayanad	28	82	7733	438	6	6	2394	348	2894	89	30514	4417	48949
4	Kozhikode	309	708	7886	3989	72	36	11695	3718	18715	511	163933	23300	234872
5	Malappuram	4945	1378	9422	2702	519	113	8038	6429	15970	700	162112	11933	224261
6	Palakkad	417	859	14089	2718	95	27	16669	8412	7096	410	152454	10388	213634
7	Thrissur	994	1533	17446	4558	103	112	8919	2023	14449	663	198942	27826	277568
8	Ernakulam	4761	2841	19533	7535	469	86	14562	4048	16252	842	201630	24704	297263
9	Idukki	480	822	17527	1583	61	16	4475	478	7340	375	53086	6056	92299
10	Kottayam	2930	2077	13469	3157	56	32	7679	1193	11886	534	149209	29559	221781
11	Alappuzha	709	1160	9290	8157	177	121	8976	1708	27674	875	174066	12785	245698
12	Pathanamthitta	453	2301	13841	1291	6	18	3901	1148	9047	588	111767	18705	163066
13	Kollam	906	2927	18486	2894	393	68	10328	3166	15481	1055	133117	26684	215505
14	Thiruvananthapuram	902	2208	14115	5868	112	56	13415	5772	20118	702	154088	52517	269873
	Total	21490	20237	181114	48809	2203	750	119736	47576	190200	7858	1884824	261280	2786077

Table 29 C: Employment Particulars Upto 60 years (in Percentage)

							Nature o	of Job					
Sl No	Districts	With Pension	Without Pension	Self Emplo- yed in Agrl. Sectors	Self Emplo- yed in Other Sectors	Emplo- yed in other State	emplo - yed in foreign country	Other labour- ers	Not intere- sted to do any job	Not able to do any job due to illness	Public Service	Taking rest	Others
1	Kasaragod	1.11	2.54	4.41	2.68	7.37	2.01	3.22	3.82	2.87	2.62	1.61	1.57
2	Kannur	8.74	8.76	7.68	6.03	8.04	7.72	5.33	12.62	6.63	2.79	10.47	3.76
3	Wayanad	0.39	1.31	3.71	0.76	0.47	0.24	1.90	0.64	2.13	0.90	0.15	0.87
4	Kozhikode	5.82	2.34	5.83	9.52	4.59	12.32	13.67	10.02	7.68	10.44	3.05	14.80
5	Malappuram	4.58	9.77	6.91	6.79	7.73	19.88	8.12	14.38	15.49	7.42	19.18	5.72
6	Palakkad	6.59	7.94	6.25	7.14	7.11	3.93	11.76	13.42	4.12	4.75	3.54	3.50
7	Thrissur	10.21	9.16	10.32	10.65	5.69	16.45	10.16	8.39	7.13	6.87	17.64	9.63
8	Emakulam	12.29	8.28	10.79	10.49	11.29	4.54	11.81	11.63	9.75	13.85	13.90	10.51
9	Idukki	1.40	4.78	5.97	1.43	0.78	0.48	2.36	0.15	3.18	2.04	0.38	1.93
10	Kottayam	8.84	8.08	7.55	6.41	1.86	2.02	5.94	2.38	9.72	13.15	2.49	14.17
11	Alappuzha	9.62	6.29	8.75	18.50	23.27	3.00	8.97	9.15	10.64	12.09	10.86	7.80
12	Pathanamthitta	7.34	5.46	6.75	3.46	5.31	6.57	3.10	1.45	4.62	7.30	2.08	10.58
13	Kollam	9.04	12.27	7.53	6.55	6.30	6.67	5.62	2.44	7.59	6.16	6.27	6.75
14	Thiruvananthapuram	14.03	13.02	7.55	9.59	10.19	14.17	8.04	9.51	8.45	9.62	8.38	8.41
	Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 29 D: Employment Particulars Upto 60 years (in Percentage)

	Nature of Job													
Sl No	Districts	With Pension	Without Pension	Self Emplo- yed in Agrl. Sectors	Self Emplo- yed in Other Sectors	Emplo- yed in other States	emplo- yed in foreign country	Other labour- ers	Not intere- sted to do any job	Not able to do any job due to illness	Public Service	Taking rest	Others	Total
1	Kasaragod	3.48	16.76	34.99	3.77	1.87	0.30	22.33	6.89	0.64	0.08	0.99	7.90	100
2	Kannur	11.13	23.66	24.98	3.47	0.83	0.47	15.14	9.32	0.60	0.04	2.63	7.73	100
3	Wayanad	2.02	14.42	49.30	1.79	0.20	0.06	22.02	1.93	0.79	0.05	0.16	7.26	100
4	Kozhikode	6.30	5.38	16.12	4.66	0.40	0.64	32.99	6.29	0.59	0.11	0.65	25.87	100
5	Malappuram	5.20	23.48	20.01	3.48	0.71	1.08	20.51	9.45	1.25	0.08	4.29	10.46	100
6	Palakkad	7.86	20.03	19.01	3.83	0.69	0.22	31.15	9.25	0.35	0.06	0.82	6.73	100
7	Thrissur	9.35	17.78	24.16	4.41	0.42	0.72	20.74	4.45	0.47	0.06	3.19	14.25	100
8	Ernakulam	10.52	15.02	23.58	4.05	0.79	0.19	22.52	5.76	0.59	0.12	2.35	14.51	100
9	Idukki	3.85	27.94	41.99	1.78	0.17	0.06	14.46	0.25	0.62	0.06	0.21	8.61	100
10	Kottayam	10.17	19.62	22.12	3.32	0.17	0.11	15.18	1.58	0.79	0.15	0.56	26.23	100
11	Alappuzha	9.96	13.80	23.14	8.65	1.96	0.15	20.68	5.49	0.78	0.13	2.22	13.04	100
12	Pathanamthitta	11.47	18.04	26.90	2.44	0.67	0.49	10.78	1.31	0.51	0.11	0.64	26.64	100
13	Kollam	10.66	30.68	22.70	3.49	0.61	0.38	14.79	1.67	0.64	0.07	1.46	12.85	100
14	Thiruvananthapuram	13.24	26.00	18.17	4.08	0.78	0.64	16.88	5.19	0.57	0.09	1.56	12.80	100
	Total	9.13	19.34	23.32	4.12	0.74	0.44	20.34	5.29	0.65	0.09	1.8	14.74	100

Table 29 E: Employment Particulars After 60 years (in Percentage)

							Nature	of Job					
Sl No	Districts	With Pension	Without Pension	Self Emplo- yed in Agrl. Sectors	Self Emplo- yed in Other Sectors	Emplo- yed in other States	emplo - yed in foreign country	Other labour- ers	Not intere- sted to do any job	Not able to do any job due to illness	Public Service	Taking rest	Others
1	Kasaragod	0.93	1.16	4.24	2.93	2.76	3.05	3.29	4.98	3.88	2.15	2.51	1.80
2	Kannur	16.80	5.62	7.18	5.99	7.52	10.30	3.82	9.62	5.85	4.02	8.88	2.91
3	Wayanad	0.14	0.67	3.96	0.73	1.02	0.66	1.88	0.85	1.70	1.19	1.40	1.10
4	Kozhikode	2.46	2.92	5.18	8.72	5.87	7.76	11.38	9.82	8.79	5.89	7.99	12.81
5	Malappuram	9.95	10.20	4.88	5.85	17.21	14.68	6.07	13.71	9.91	8.98	9.63	4.36
6	Palakkad	2.33	4.36	6.87	6.01	7.22	4.78	12.78	14.94	4.48	3.85	7.84	4.78
7	Thrissur	6.78	7.32	10.15	10.18	7.54	13.63	8.68	6.87	8.36	5.37	10.99	9.38
8	Emakulam	25.39	7.32	10.49	12.28	14.39	3.93	13.32	10.26	6.65	20.48	10.72	9.47
9	Idukki	5.02	5.12	8.43	4.02	2.54	2.38	3.02	0.68	2.84	2.17	2.12	2.28
10	Kottayam	7.52	9.21	8.46	7.90	2.91	2.71	7.35	3.73	6.21	8.58	6.76	15.37
11	Alappuzha	3.50	5.16	4.62	15.43	5.31	6.15	7.74	5.41	15.44	9.85	9.95	6.69
12	Pathanamthitta	2.97	13.53	8.53	3.55	2.47	10.69	3.86	2.71	4.15	5.26	4.94	9.73
13	Kollam	4.86	17.47	9.96	6.04	11.53	5.26	7.12	5.59	11.46	8.65	6.46	7.89
14	Thiruvananthapuram	11.35	9.94	7.05	10.37	11.71	14.02	9.69	10.83	10.28	13.56	9.81	11.43
	Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

	Nature of Job													
Sl No	Districts	With Pension	Without Pension	Self Emplo- yed in Agrl. Sectors	Self Emplo- yed in Other Sectors	Emplo- yed in other states	emplo- yed in foreign country	Other labour- ers	Not intere- sted to do any job	Not able to do any job due to illness	Public Service	Taking rest	Others	Total
1	Kasaragod	0.34	0.71	21.63	2.77	0.15	0.07	11.59	5.64	9.92	0.30	40.14	6.74	100
2	Kannur	2.33	1.39	14.98	2.31	0.16	0.09	5.50	4.46	6.09	0.23	58.00	4.46	100
3	Wayanad	0.09	0.68	33.69	1.15	0.09	0.02	11.06	1.60	7.19	0.28	37.32	6.83	100
4	Kozhikode	0.28	0.61	9.20	2.87	0.11	0.06	13.92	3.87	7.76	0.29	44.37	16.66	100
5	Malappuram	1.24	2.25	9.07	2.01	0.33	0.12	7.77	5.65	9.18	0.46	55.99	5.93	100
6	Palakkad	0.29	1.01	13.41	2.17	0.15	0.04	17.20	6.47	4.35	0.21	47.86	6.84	100
7	Thrissur	0.68	1.30	15.24	2.83	0.12	0.09	8.99	2.29	6.25	0.22	51.67	10.32	100
8	Emakulam	2.36	1.22	14.70	3.19	0.21	0.02	12.88	3.19	4.64	0.80	47.06	9.73	100
9	Idukki	1.50	2.74	38.05	3.37	0.12	0.05	9.40	0.68	6.38	0.27	29.90	7.54	100
10	Kottayam	0.92	2.06	15.89	2.75	0.06	0.02	9.53	1.56	5.81	0.45	39.79	21.16	100
11	Alappuzha	0.40	1.04	7.84	4.85	0.09	0.05	9.05	2.03	13.04	0.46	52.84	8.31	100
12	Pathanamthitta	0.51	4.11	21.79	1.68	0.07	0.12	6.80	1.53	5.28	0.37	39.51	18.23	100
13	Kollam	0.64	4.01	19.26	2.16	0.23	0.04	9.49	2.40	11.03	0.46	39.10	11.18	100
14	Thiruvananthapuram	1.17	1.82	10.89	2.97	0.19	0.09	10.32	3.71	7.90	0.58	47.43	12.93	100
	Total 0.99 1.78 14.96 2.77 0.15 0.06 10.32 3.32 7.45 0.42 46.82 10.96									10.96	100			

Table 29 F: Employment Particulars After 60 years (in Percentage)

			1 2				Nature c		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	01001111	<i>,</i>		
Sl No	Districts	Without Pension	Without Pension	Self Emplo- yed in Agrl. Sectors	Self Emplo- yed in Other Sectors	Emplo- yed in other states	emplo - yed in foreign country	Other labour- ers	Not intere- sted to do any job	Not able to do any job due to illness	Public Service	Taking rest	Others
1	Kasaragod	0.89	1.48	3.23	2.55	1.68	3.33	3.87	4.51	4.93	2.47	2.85	1.39
2	Kannur	16.11	5.15	6.87	5.47	4.40	4.53	3.38	14.68	7.30	4.06	7.74	3.36
3	Wayanad	0.13	0.41	4.27	0.90	0.27	0.80	2.00	0.73	1.52	1.13	1.62	1.69
4	Kozhikode	1.44	3.50	4.35	8.17	3.27	4.80	9.77	7.81	9.84	6.50	8.70	8.92
5	Malappuram	23.01	6.81	5.20	5.54	23.56	15.07	6.71	13.51	8.40	8.91	8.60	4.57
6	Palakkad	1.95	4.24	7.78	5.57	4.32	3.60	13.92	17.71	3.73	5.22	8.09	3.98
7	Thrissur	4.63	7.58	9.63	9.34	4.68	14.93	7.45	4.25	7.60	8.44	10.55	10.65
8	Ernakulam	22.15	14.04	10.78	15.44	21.29	11.47	12.16	8.51	8.54	10.72	10.70	9.45
9	Idukki	2.23	4.06	9.68	3.24	2.77	2.13	3.74	1.00	3.86	4.77	2.82	2.32
10	Kottayam	13.63	10.26	7.44	6.47	2.54	4.27	6.41	2.51	6.25	6.80	7.92	11.31
11	Alappuzha	3.30	5.73	5.13	16.71	8.03	16.13	7.50	3.59	14.55	11.14	9.24	4.89
12	Pathanamthitta	2.11	11.37	7.64	2.65	0.27	2.40	3.26	2.41	4.76	7.48	5.93	7.16
13	Kollam	4.22	14.46	10.21	5.93	17.84	9.07	8.63	6.65	8.14	13.43	7.06	10.21
14	Thiruvananthapuram	4.20	10.91	7.79	12.02	5.08	7.47	11.20	12.13	10.58	8.93	8.18	20.10
	Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Table 29 G: Employment Particulars - On the day of Visit (in Percentage)

Table 29 H: Employment Particulars - On the day of Visit (in Percentage)

							Nature	of Job						
Sl No	Districts	With Pension	Without Pension	Self Emplo- yed in Agrl. Sectors	Self Emplo- yed in Other Sectors	Emplo- yed in other states	emplo- yed in foreign country	Other labour- ers	Not intere- sted to do any job	Not able to do any job due to illness	Public Service	Taking rest	Others	Total
1	Kasaragod	0.24	0.37	7.15	1.53	0.05	0.03	5.68	2.63	11.49	0.24	66.14	4.45	100
2	Kannur	1.72	0.52	6.23	1.34	0.05	0.02	2.03	3.50	6.96	0.16	73.08	4.39	100
3	Wayanad	0.07	0.17	15.80	0.89	0.01	0.01	4.89	0.71	5.91	0.18	62.34	9.02	100
4	Kozhikode	0.12	0.30	3.36	1.70	0.03	0.02	4.98	1.58	7.97	0.22	69.80	9.92	100
5	Malappuram	2.22	0.61	4.20	1.20	0.23	0.05	3.58	2.87	7.12	0.31	72.29	5.32	100
6	Palakkad	0.22	0.40	6.59	1.27	0.04	0.01	7.80	3.94	3.32	0.19	71.36	4.86	100
7	Thrissur	0.36	0.55	6.29	1.64	0.04	0.04	3.21	0.73	5.21	0.24	71.67	10.02	100
8	Ernakulam	1.60	0.96	6.57	2.53	0.16	0.03	4.90	1.36	5.47	0.28	67.83	8.31	100
9	Idukki	0.50	0.89	18.99	1.72	0.07	0.02	4.85	0.52	7.95	0.41	57.52	6.56	100
10	Kottayam	1.32	0.94	6.07	1.42	0.03	0.01	3.46	0.54	5.36	0.24	67.28	13.33	100
11	Alappuzha	0.29	0.47	3.78	3.32	0.07	0.05	3.65	0.70	11.26	0.36	70.85	5.20	100
12	Pathanamthitta	0.29	1.41	8.49	0.79	0.00	0.01	2.39	0.70	5.55	0.36	68.54	11.47	100
13	Kollam	0.43	1.36	8.58	1.34	0.18	0.03	4.79	1.47	7.18	0.49	61.77	12.38	100
14	Thiruvananthapuram	0.34	0.82	5.23	2.17	0.04	0.02	4.97	2.14	7.45	0.26	57.10	19.46	100
	Total	0.77	0.73	6.49	1.75	0.08	0.03	4.3	1.71	6.83	0.28	67.65	9.38	100

		Type of Ailment														
Age Group	Diabetics	Hyper- tension	Arthe - rits	Asthma	Abdo- minal Problems	Urinary Compla- ints	Liver problems	Skin diseases	T.B	Brain disease	Cardio- logical	Cancer	Psycho- logical problems	Paralysis	Others	
60 - 70	260147	341610	413761	135123	64918	21912	8210	18634	9603	9860	62870	7177	8428	2984	230783	
70 - 80	152007	219675	280882	89997	37451	13963	4654	9806	5104	6230	38018	4330	5033	3758	128052	
80 - 90	42264	65921	98988	30498	12583	5121	1661	3384	1481	2208	10696	1213	1593	3039	44640	
Above 90	5118	7980	15624	4097	1981	768	246	494	110	282	1078	107	199	1172	7876	
Total	459536	635186	809255	259715	116933	41764	14771	32318	16298	18580	112662	12827	15253	10953	411351	

Table 30: Age & Ailment of Old Age Persons

							Туре	of Ailment							
Age Group	Diabe -tics	Hyper- tensio n	Arthe- rits	Asthm a	Abdo- minal Problem s	Urinary Compla -ints	Liver problem s	Skin diseases	T.B	Brain disease	Cardio- logical	Cancer	Psycho -logical Proble ms	Paral ysis	Others
60 - 70	566	537	512	520	555	525	556	577	589	531	558	559	553	273	561
70 - 80	331	346	347	347	320	334	315	303	313	335	337	338	330	343	311
80 - 90	92	104	122	117	108	123	112	105	91	119	95	95	104	277	109
Above 90	11	13	19	16	17	18	17	15	7	15	10	8	13	107	19
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
Per 1000	155	214	273	88	39	14	5	11	5	6	38	4	5	4	139

Table 30 A: Age & Ailment Details

Age Group	Estimated No. of Persons
60 - 70	230783
70 - 80	128052
80 - 90	44640
Above 90	7876
Total	411351

Table 30 B: Number of persons with Identified Diseases

Table 30 C: Age group wise percentage of Identified Diseases

Age Group	Percentage
60 - 70	56.11
70 - 80	31.13
80 - 90	10.85
Above 90	1.91
Total	100.00

Vision			Age Group)	
V ISIOII	60 - 70	70 - 80	80 - 90	Above 90	Total
Having Vision	770939	262042	58243	6611	1097835
Wearing glass for reading only	640417	368485	103871	13146	1125919
Cataract	140756	139140	59035	9499	348430
Partial Vision	77106	76322	37415	8214	199057
No Vision	3857	5040	3859	1525	14281
Blind	312	192	45	6	555
Total	1633387	851221	262468	39001	2786077

Table 31: Physical Condition of Aged - Age & Vision in numbers

Table 31 A: Physical Condition - Age & Vision - percentage distribution

Vielan Trans			Age Group		
Vision Type	60 - 70	70 - 80	80 - 90	Above 90	Total
Having Vision	47.19	30.78	22.19	16.94	39.4
Wearing glass for reading	39.21	43.29	39.57	33.71	40.41
Cataract	8.62	16.35	22.49	24.36	12.51
Partial Vision	4.72	8.97	14.26	21.06	7.14
No Vision	0.24	0.59	1.47	3.91	0.51
Blind	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Total	100	100	100	100	100

Movements			Age Group		
	60 - 70	70 - 80	80 - 90	Above 90	Total
No Problem	1521305	683601	1572340	14070	2376210
Walk with the help of walker	62731	93319	53383	10851	220284
with the help of others	40593	61166	39602	9072	150433
Unable to walk	8757	13136	12249	5008	39150
Total	1633386	851222	262468	39001	2786077

Table 31 B: Physical Condition - (Age & Movements) in numbers

 Table 31 C: Physical Condition - (Age & Movements) in percentage

Movements			Age Group		
	60 - 70	70 - 80	80 - 90	Above 90	Total
No Problem	64.02	28.77	6.62	0.59	100
Walk with the help of walker	28.48	42.36	24.23	4.93	100
with the help of others	26.98	40.66	26.33	6.03	100
Unable to walk	22.37	33.55	31.29	12.79	100
Total	58.63	30.55	9.42	1.40	100

Movements			Age Group		
wovements	60 - 70	70 - 80	80 - 90	Above 90	Total
No Problem					
	93.13	80.31	59.90	36.08	85.28
Walk with the help of walker					
	3.84	10.96	20.34	27.82	7.91
with the help of others					
	2.49	7.19	15.09	23.26	5.40
Unable to walk					
	0.54	1.54	4.67	12.84	1.41
Total					
	100	100	100	100	100

 Table 31 D: Physical Condition - (Age & Movements)

Table 31 E: Physical Condition - (Age & Hearing)

Usaring			Age Group		
Hearing	60 - 70	70 - 80	80 - 90	Above 90	Total
No Hearing Problem	1427605	614849	141745	13747	2197946
With the help of hearing aid	84080	86378	39159	7315	216932
Very little hearing	119447	147969	80675	17721	365812
Deaf	2254	2026	889	218	5387
Total	1633386	851222	262468	39001	2786077

Hearing	Age Group							
Titaling	60 - 70	70 - 80	80 - 90	Above 90	Total			
No Hearing Problem	64.95	27.97	6.45	0.63	100			
With the help of hearing aid	38.76	39.82	18.05	3.37	100			
Very little hearing	32.66	40.45	22.05	4.84	100			
Deaf	41.84	37.61	16.5	4.05	100			
Total	58.63	30.55	9.42	1.40	100			

Table 31 F: Physical Condition - (Age & Hearing)

 Table 31 G: Physical Condition - (Age & Hearing)

Hearing	Age Group						
Titaling	60 - 70	70 - 80	80 - 90	Above 90	Total		
No Hearing Problem	87.40	72.23	54.00	35.24	78.89		
With the help of hearing aid	5.15	10.15	14.92	18.76	7.79		
Very little hearing	7.31	17.38	30.74	45.44	13.13		
Deaf	0.14	0.24	0.34	0.56	0.19		
Total	100	100	100	100	100		

Mamory	Age Group						
Memory	60 - 70	70 - 80	80 - 90	Above 90	Total		
Having memory power	1391286	618016	153798	16509	2179609		
Less memory power	230414	220288	100534	19393	570629		
No memory power	11685	12919	8136	3099	35839		
Total	1633385	851223	262468	39001	2786077		

Table 31 H: Physical Condition - (Age & Memory) Image: Condition - (Age & Memory)

 Table 31 I: Physical Condition - (Age & Memory)

Memory	Age Group						
ivienioi y	60 - 70	70 - 80	80 - 90	Above 90	Total		
Having memory power	85.17	72.6	58.6	42.33	78.23		
Less memory power	14.11	25.88	38.3	49.72	20.48		
No memory power	0.72	1.52	3.1	7.95	1.29		
Total	100	100	100	100	100		

	Method of Treatment										
Age Group	Self Treatment	No Treatment	Allopathy (Govt)	Allopathy (pvt)	Ayurvedha (Govt)	Ayurvedha (pvt)	Homeo pathy (Govt)	Homeo pathy (Pvt)	Others (Govt)	Others (Pvt)	
60 - 70	41787	47295	360981	500937	114528	101822	12359	11037	16727	18407	
70 - 80	21526	26408	210336	305027	72209	69727	7343	6168	9640	10908	
80 - 90	6715	8960	65123	96322	25657	24688	1948	1917	3223	3588	
Above 90	1031	1552	9017	13788	3602	4010	232	219	414	561	
Total	71059	84215	645457	916074	215996	200247	21882	19341	30004	33464	

Table 32: Age & Method of Treatment

	Method of Treatment										
Age Group	Self Treatment	No Treatment	Allopathy (Govt)	Allopathy (pvt)	Ayurvedh a (Govt)	Ayurvedh a (pvt)	Homeo - pathy (Govt)	Homeo - pathy (Pvt)	Others (Govt)	Others (Pvt)	All
60 - 70	34	39	294	409	93	83	10	9	14	15	1000
70 - 80	28	36	285	413	98	94	10	8	13	15	1000
80 - 90	28	38	273	404	108	104	8	8	14	15	1000
Above 90	30	45	262	401	105	116	7	6	12	16	1000
Total	32	38	288	409	97	89	10	9	13	15	1000

Table 32 A: Age & Method of Treatment (per thousand distribution)

Table 33: Number of Persons Hospitalised during the Last One Year & Expenditure for Treatment

Sl No	Districts	No. of Persons	Expend. in Hospital	Expenditure for Other treatment	Miscellaneous. Expenditure	Total Expenditure
1	Kasaragod	12395	85125060	32523582	13869478	131518120
2	Kannur	20373	96381683	56988392	13956271	167326346
3	Wayanad	10723	39284788	35619347	6890005	81794140
4	Kozhikode	28851	129843012	107656939	17304654	254804605
5	Malappuram	32503	168017120	137910229	23131115	329058464
6	Palakkad	20024	100845823	60602369	15589737	177037929
7	Thrissur	25121	160716426	122591633	14472190	297780249
8	Emakulam	47717	223758854	125143221	21380597	370282672
9	Idukki	22693	90383832	59334360	11041369	160759561
10	Kottayam	36614	195254489	89681772	19829024	304765285
11	Alappuzha	40611	195270497	121761755	23888108	340920360
12	Pathanamthitta	22302	141223905	75329906	15449459	232003270
13	Kollam	41206	152509370	129428046	21824502	303761918
14	Thiruvananthapuram	41666	143425371	96752140	21250752	261428263
	Total	402799	1922040230	1251323691	239877261	3413241182

Table 33 A: Number of Persons Hospitalised during the Last One-Year & Average Expenditure per persons forTreatment

Sl No	Districts	No. of Persons	Expend. in Hospital	Expenditure for other treatment	Miscellaneous . Expenditure	Total Expenditure
1	Kasaragod	12395	6868	2624	1119	10611
2	Kannur	20373	4731	2797	685	8213
3	Wayanad	10723	3664	3322	643	7628
4	Kozhikode	28851	4500	3731	600	8832
5	Malappuram	32503	5169	4243	712	10124
6	Palakkad	20024	5036	3026	779	8841
7	Thrissur	25121	6398	4880	576	11854
8	Emakulam	47717	4689	2623	448	7760
9	Idukki	22693	3983	2615	487	7084
10	Kottayam	36614	5333	2449	542	8324
11	Alappuzha	40611	4808	2998	588	8395
12	Pathanamthitta	22302	6332	3378	693	10403
13	Kollam	41206	3701	3141	530	7372
14	Thiruvananthapuram	41666	3442	2322	510	6274
	Total	402799	4772	3107	596	8474

Table 33 B :Number of Persons Hospitalised during the Last One Year &Expenditure for Treatment in Percentage

Sl No	Districts	No. of Persons	Expend. in Hospital	Expenditure for other treatment	Miscellaneous. Expenditure	Total Expenditure
1	K asaragod	12395	64.72	24.73	10.55	100
2	Kannur	20373	57.60	34.06	8.34	100
3	Wayanad	10723	48.03	43.55	8.42	100
4	Kozhikode	28851	50.96	42.25	6.79	100
5	Malappuram	32503	51.06	41.91	7.03	100
6	Palakkad	20024	56.96	34.23	8.81	100
7	Thrissur	25121	53.97	41.17	4.86	100
8	Emakulam	47717	60.43	33.80	5.77	100
9	Idukki	22693	56.22	36.91	6.87	100
10	Kottayam	36614	64.06	29.43	6.51	100
11	Alappuzha	40611	57.27	35.72	7.01	100
12	Pathanamthitta	22302	60.87	32.47	6.66	100
13	Kollam	41206	50.21	42.61	7.18	100
14	Thiruvananthapuram	41666	54.86	37.01	8.13	100
	Total	402799	56.31	36.66	7.03	100

			Expenditure for last one year for Treatment				
Income (Rs.)	In Patie	ent	Other Tr	Other Treatment Miscellane		aneous	
	Persons	Expenditure	Persons	Expenditure	Persons	Expenditure	
No Income	119148	557556396	529516	1522274191	370056	172505358	
Below 500	170388	641049308	630415	1515352627	489981	215050928	
500 - 1000	44365	198866968	180949	397612663	139717	77958114	
1000 - 2000	33450	190399738	152284	402440121	115533	58365601	
2000 - 5000	29869	273889862	143231	423392368	103995	62673261	
5000 - 10000	4764	50811282	21929	88250800	15193	13327931	
Above 10000	815	9466676	2711	11869159	1984	3176476	
Total	402799	1922040230	1661035	4361191929	1236459	603057669	

Table 34: Total Expenditure for Medical Care

		Expenditure for last one year for Treatment					
Income (Rs.)	In Pa	tient	Other Treatment Miscella		laneous		
	Persons	Expenditure	Persons	Expenditure	Persons	Expenditure	
No Income	119148	4680	529516	2875	370056	466	
Below 500	170388	3762	630415	2404	489981	439	
500 - 1000	44365	4483	180949	2197	139717	558	
1000 - 2000	33450	5692	152284	2643	115533	505	
2000 - 5000	29869	9170	143231	2956	103995	603	
5000 - 10000	4764	10666	21929	4024	15193	877	
Above 10000	815	11616	2711	4378	1984	1601	
Total	402799	4772	1661035	2626	1236459	488	

Table 34A: Average Expenditure Per Person for Treatment

Table 35: Main Source to Meet the Expenditure for Treatment

				Source of I	Expenditure		
Sl No	Districts	Own Savings	Spent by children	Aid from relatives	Aid from others	Aid from Institutions	Other source
1	Kasaragod	13919	25016	2971	1257	2069	2454
2	Kannur	38126	78050	12929	2552	458	1071
3	Wayanad	15210	26155	2570	1127	133	1049
4	Kozhikode	74946	148927	15708	5051	377	6135
5	Malappuram	25618	82310	10272	8832	620	3996
6	Palakkad	36490	79562	12816	3641	572	4209
7	Thrissur	40146	119944	18260	7875	625	2000
8	Emakulam	65877	148883	16693	4311	721	6215
9	Idukki	27659	50255	6703	3785	630	2638
10	Kottayam	50649	124039	9444	4576	861	6537
11	Alappuzha	34949	100879	13368	6028	288	2256
12	Pathanamthitta	42087	94881	10557	3037	443	4040
13	Kollam	49121	131870	24728	3621	507	3570
14	Thiruvananthapuram	54954	118269	19987	5777	847	2403
	Total	569751	1329040	177006	61470	9151	48573

Table 35A: Main source to meet the expenditure for treatment (Per thousand)

Sl. No	Districts			Source of H	Expenditure		
		Spent by children	Aid from relatives	Aid from others	Aid from other organisations	Own Savings	Others
1	Kasaragod	24	19	16	20	226	51
2	Kannur	67	59	73	42	50	22
3	Wayanad	27	20	15	18	15	22
4	Kozhikode	132	112	89	82	41	126
5	Malappuram	45	62	58	144	68	82
6	Palakkad	64	60	72	60	63	88
7	Thrissur	70	90	103	128	68	41
8	Emakulam	116	112	94	70	79	128
9	Idukki	49	38	38	62	69	54
10	Kottayam	89	93	53	74	94	135
11	Alappuzha	61	76	76	98	31	46
12	Pathanamthitta	74	71	60	49	48	83
13	Kollam	86	99	140	59	55	73
14	Thiruvananthapuram	96	89	113	94	93	49
	Total	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000

Sl. No	Districts		Helps from I	Relatives		Total
51. INU	Districts	Receiving Help	No Help	Limited Help	No one to help	Total
1	Kasaragod	28523	38246	14043	841	81653
2	Kannur	90889	77247	29479	2040	199655
3	Wayanad	10962	30114	7487	386	48949
4	Kozhikode	85963	113983	32919	2007	234872
5	Malappuram	69227	111402	41131	2501	224261
6	Palakkad	68972	91675	49340	3647	213634
7	Thrissur	64272	155754	55739	1804	277569
8	Ernakulam	85201	160229	46484	5348	297262
9	Idukki	18645	54991	15044	3619	92299
10	Kottayam	39905	143015	38096	765	221781
11	Alappuzha	72811	137846	31281	3760	245698
12	Pathanamthitta	92389	44579	23984	2114	163066
13	Kollam	63095	102998	42995	6417	215505
14	Thiruvananthapuram	89649	120649	55289	4286	269873
	Total	880503	1382728	483311	39535	2786077

Table 36: Helps Received from Relatives

Table 36 A: Helps Received From Relatives (in percentage)

SI.				Helps from Relatives		
No.	Districts	Receiving Help	No Help	Limited Help	No one to help	Total
1	Kasaragod	3.25	2.75	2.91	2.13	2.92
2	Kannur	10.32	5.59	6.1	5.16	7.17
3	Wayanad	1.24	2.18	1.55	0.98	1.76
4	Kozhikode	9.76	8.24	6.81	5.08	8.43
5	Malappuram	7.86	8.06	8.51	6.33	8.05
6	Palakkad	7.83	6.63	10.21	9.22	7.67
7	Thrissur	7.3	11.26	11.53	4.56	9.96
8	Emakulam	9.68	11.59	9.62	13.53	10.67
9	Idukki	2.12	3.98	3.11	9.15	3.31
10	Kottayam	4.53	10.34	7.88	1.93	7.96
11	Alappuzha	8.27	9.97	6.47	9.51	8.82
12	Pathanamthitta	10.49	3.22	4.96	5.35	5.85
13	Kollam	7.17	7.45	8.9	16.23	7.74
14	Thiruvananthapuram	10.18	8.73	11.44	10.84	9.69
	Total	100	100	100	100	100

Table 36 B: Helps Received from Relatives (in percentage)

Sl.	Districts			Helps from Relatives		
No.	Districts	Receiving Help	No Help needed	Limited Help	No one to help	Total
1	Kasaragod	34.93	46.84	17.2	1.03	100
2	Kannur	45.53	38.69	14.76	1.02	100
3	Wayanad	22.39	61.52	15.3	0.79	100
4	Kozhikode	36.60	48.53	14.02	0.85	100
5	Malappuram	30.86	49.68	18.34	1.12	100
6	Palakkad	32.28	42.91	23.1	1.71	100
7	Thrissur	23.16	56.11	20.08	0.65	100
8	Emakulam	28.66	53.9	15.64	1.8	100
9	Idukki	20.20	59.58	16.3	3.92	100
10	Kottayam	18.00	64.48	17.18	0.34	100
11	Alappuzha	29.64	56.1	12.73	1.53	100
12	Pathanamthitta	56.65	27.34	14.71	1.3	100
13	Kollam	29.28	47.79	19.95	2.98	100
14	Thiruvananthapuram	33.21	44.71	20.49	1.59	100
	Total	31.60	49.63	17.35	1.42	100

Sl. No.	Districts	Received I Organis	
		Yes	Total
1	Kasaragod	1479	81653
2	Kannur	11226	199655
3	Wayanad	743	48949
4	Kozhikode	2676	234872
5	Malappuram	10692	224261
6	Palakkad	3074	213634
7	Thrissur	4551	277569
8	Emakulam	11558	297262
9	Idukki	2162	92299
10	Kottayam	3525	221781
11	Alappuzha	4916	245698
12	Pathanamthitta	2501	163066
13	Kollam	6395	215505
14	Thiruvananthapuram	12729	269873
	Total	78227	2786077

Table 37: Helps Received from Organisations

Sl.No	Districts	Insured	Not insured	Total
1	Kasaragod	1951	79702	81653
2	Kannur	10635	189020	199655
3	Wayanad	1675	47274	48949
4	Kozhikode	6379	228493	234872
5	Malappuram	8755	215506	224261
6	Palakkad	7433.	206201	213634
7	Thrissur	9452	268117	277569
8	Emakulam	17734	279528	297262
9	Idukki	4286	88013	92299
10	Kottayam	6781	215000	221781
11	Alappuzha	8006	237692	245698
12	Pathanamthitta	5201	157865	163066
13	Kollam	10779	204726	215505
14	Thiruvananthapuram	15324	254549	269873
	Total	114391	2671686	2786077

Table 38: Insurance Protection

Sl. No	Districts	Insured	Total			
1	Kasaragod	1.70	2.92			
2	Kannur	9.30	7.17			
3	Wayanad	1.46	1.76			
4	Kozhikode	5.58	8.43			
5	Malappuram	7.65	8.05			
6	Palakkad	6.50	7.67			
7	Thrissur	8.26	9.96			
8	Emakulam	15.50	10.67			
9	Idukki	3.75	3.31			
10	Kottayam	5.93	7.96			
11	Alappuzha	7.00	8.82			
12	Pathanamthitta	4.55	5.85			
13	Kollam	9.42	7.74			
14	Thiruvananthapuram	13.40	9.69			
	Total					

Table 38 A: Insurance Protection (in percentage)

				Benefits From	Government		
Sl. No.	Districts	Family Pension	Old age/ widow pension	Agri labour pension	Any other pension/ grant	No Grant	Total
1	Kasargod	2627	7226	5826	3446	62528	81653
2	Kannur	12123	15755	21746	12919	137112	199655
3	Wayanad	551	4667	2496	1239	39996	48949
4	Kozhikode	5920	15545	42955	10911	159541	234872
5	Malappuram	10297	29984	20712	7406	155862	224261
6	Palakkad	8225	18968	24035	8278	154128	213634
7	Thrissur	9179	21703	20788	12974	212925	277569
8	Emakulam	12536	21119	18223	17256	228128	297262
9	Idukki	1418	7402	2858	1846	78775	92299
10	Kottayam	6250	12649	15490	11773	175619	221781
11	Alappuzha	10769	12256	44638	33077	144958	245698
12	Pathanamthitta	6142	7208	6806	7308	135602	163066
13	Kollam	9114	22904	16671	21048	145768	215505
14	Thiruvananthapuram	20173	25638	36796	17614	169652	269873
	Total	115324	223024	280040	167095	2000594	2786077

Table 39: Benefits Received from Government

				Benefits From	Government		
Sl. No.	Districts	Family Pension	Old age/ widow pension	Agri labour pension	Any other pension/ grant	No Grant	Total
1	Kasaragod	3.21	8.85	7.14	4.22	76.58	100
2	Kannur	6.08	7.89	10.89	6.47	68.67	100
3	Wayanad	1.13	9.53	5.10	2.53	81.71	100
4	Kozhikode	2.51	6.62	18.29	4.65	67.93	100
5	Malappuram	4.59	13.37	9.24	3.30	69.50	100
6	Palakkad	3.85	8.88	11.25	3.87	72.15	100
7	Thrissur	3.31	7.82	7.49	4.67	76.71	100
8	Ernakulam	4.23	7.10	6.13	5.80	76.74	100
9	Idukki	1.53	8.02	3.10	2.00	85.35	100
10	Kottayam	2.82	5.70	6.98	5.31	79.19	100
11	Alappuzha	4.38	4.99	18.17	13.46	59.00	100
12	Pathanamthitta	3.77	4.42	4.17	4.48	83.16	100
13	Kollam	4.22	10.63	7.74	9.77	67.64	100
14	Thiruvananthapuram	7.48	9.50	13.63	6.53	62.86	100
	State	4.14	8.00	10.05	6.00	71.81	100

Table 39 A: Benefits Received from Government (in percentage)

		Benefits From Government									
Sl. No.	Districts	Family Pension	Old age/ widow pension	Agri. labour pension	Any other pension/ grant	No Grant	Total				
1	Kasaragod	2.28	3.25	2.08	2.06	3.13	2.92				
2	Kannur	10.51	7.06	7.77	7.73	6.85	7.17				
3	Wayanad	0.48	2.09	0.89	0.74	2.00	1.76				
4	Kozhikode	5.13	6.97	15.34	6.53	7.97	8.43				
5	Malappuram	8.93	13.44	7.40	4.43	7.79	8.05				
6	Palakkad	7.13	8.50	8.58	4.96	7.70	7.67				
7	Thrissur	7.96	9.73	7.42	7.76	10.64	9.96				
8	Emakulam	10.87	9.47	6.51	10.33	11.4	10.67				
9	Idukki	1.23	3.32	1.02	1.10	3.94	3.31				
10	Kottayam	5.42	5.67	5.53	7.05	8.78	7.96				
11	Alappuzha	9.34	5.50	15.94	19.8	7.25	8.82				
12	Pathanamthitta	5.33	3.23	2.43	4.37	6.78	5.85				
13	Kollam	7.90	10.27	5.95	12.6	7.29	7.74				
14	Thiruvananthapuram	17.49	11.50	13.14	10.54	8.48	9.69				
	Total	100	100	100	100	100	100				

Table 39 B: Benefits Received from Government (in percentage)

Activities	Time Taken (in minutes)									
	below- 15	15 - 30	30-45	45-60	60-120	120 - 180	180- 240	240- 300	above 300	Total
Reading	329418	474511	304459	272812	113006	33646	10603	2521	1212	1542188
Walking, Exercises	489531	579486	285185	264203	75380	22533	7639	3026	3367	1730350
T.V / Radio	176581	352511	370239	449285	411465	241905	66913	22381	12436	2103716
Praying	591478	781001	456442	326555	155252	64485	19768	23274	39449	2457704
Sleeping in Night	16818	20096	16010	16863	27057	43421	71581	325803	2145267	2682916
Sleeping in Day	170721	397806	390094	433464	406031	151776	26708	13562	7390	1997552

Table 40: Day To Day Activities

Table 40 A: Day To Day Activities

									(Per	1000 no.)	
Activities	Time Taken (in minutes)										
	below- 15	15 - 30	30- 45	45-60	60-120	120 - 180	180- 240	240- 300	above 300	Total	
Reading	213	308	197	177	73	22	7	2	1	1000	
Walking, Exercises	282	335	165	153	44	13	4	2	2	1000	
T.V / Radio	82	168	176	214	196	115	32	11	6	1000	
Praying	241	318	186	133	63	26	8	9	16	1000	
Sleeping in Night	7	7	6	6	10	16	27	121	800	1000	
Sleepingin Day	86	199	195	217	203	76	13	7	4	1000	

1000

Income (Rs.)	Active Participation	Limited Participation	Not Interested	Interested but no opportunity	Interested but no Health	Total
No Income	52955	110271	643873	24558	90427	922084
Below 500	57191	176726	646594	44197	114454	1039162
500 - 1000	20701	72610	167911	12886	23705	297813
1000 - 2000	21255	67587	131316	9787	17413	247358
2000 - 5000	23790	69685	118649	8664	14813	235601
5000 - 10000	4708	11929	19021	1284	1998	38940
Above 10000	655	1535	2530	133	266	5119
Total	181255	510343	1729894	101509	263076	2786077

Table 41: Relation Between Monthly Income & Participation in Socio-
Cultural Activities

 Table 41 A: Participation in Socio - Cultural Activities & Mental Satisfaction

	Mental Satisfaction								
Activity Type	Fully satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No opinion	Total			
Active Participation	110742	53452	5638	1497	9926	181255			
Limited Participation	215189	245829	9432	3754	36139	510343			
Not interested	634151	809779	71479	24545	189940	1729894			
Interested but no opportunity	44418	41706	4408	2257	8720	101509			
Interested but unable to participate	95603	110823	17917	7761	30972	263076			
Total	1100103	1261589	108874	39814	275697	2786077			

(in percentage)								
	Mental Satisfaction							
Activity Type	Fully satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No opinion	Total		
Active Participation	10.07	4.2300	5.18	3.76	3.61	6.51		
Limited Participation	19.56	19.49	8.66	9.43	13.11	18.32		
Not interested	57.64	64.19	65.65	61.65	68.89	62.09		
Interested but no opportunity	4.04	3.31	4.05	5.67	3.16	3.64		
Interested but unable to participate	8.69	8.78	16.46	19.49	11.23	9.44		
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100		

Table 41 B: Participation in Socio - Cultural Activities & Mental Satisfaction (in percentage)

 Table 41 C: Participation in Socio - Cultural Activities & Mental Satisfaction (in percentage)

	Mental Satisfaction							
Activity Type	Fully satisfied	Satisfied	Desperate	Feeling Lonely	No opinion	Total		
Active Participation	61.09	29.49	3.11	0.83	5.48	100		
Limited Participation	42.16	48.17	1.85	0.74	7.08	100		
Not interested	36.66	46.81	4.13	1.42	10.98	100		
Interested but no opportunity	43.76	41.09	4.34	2.22	8.59	100		
Interested but unable to participate	36.34	42.13	6.81	2.95	11.77	100		
Total	39.49	45.27	3.91	1.43	9,9	100		

PART - II

Sl No	Districts	Before 1990	1991 - 1995	1996 - 2000	After 2000	Total
1	Kasaragod	0	1	3	1	5
2	Kannur	7	3	3	2	15
3	Wayanad	2	4	3	2	11
4	Kozhikode	5	4	3	2	14
5	Malappuram	1	1	3	0	5
6	Palakkad	3	1	3	1	8
7	Thrissur	13	3	5	2	23
8	Emakulam	25	4	12	4	45
9	Idukki	2	4	9	0	15
10	Kottayam	19	2	5	1	27
11	Alappuzha	2	1	0	1	4
12	Pathanamthitta	9	2	6	2	19
13	Kollam	1	2	3	0	6
14	Thiruvananthapuram	3	0	5	4	12
	Total	92	32	63	22	209

Table 01: District wise number of Old Age Homes According To Year of Start

Sl. No	Districts	Before 1990	1991 - 1995	1996 - 2000	After 2000	Total
1	Kasaragod	0.00	3.13	4.76	4.54	2.39
2	Kannur	7.63	9.38	4.76	9.09	7.18
3	Wayanad	2.17	12.50	4.76	9.09	5.26
4	Kozhikode	5.43	12.50	4.76	9.09	6.70
5	Malappuram	1.09	3.12	4.76	0.00	2.39
6	Palakkad	3.26	3.12	4.76	4.55	3.84
7	Thrissur	14.13	9.38	7.94	9.09	11.00
8	Emakulam	27.17	12.50	19.05	18.18	21.53
9	Idukki	2.17	12.50	14.29	0.00	7.18
10	Kottayam	20.65	6.25	7.94	4.55	12.92
11	Alappuzha	2.17	3.12	0.00	4.55	1.91
12	Pathanamthitta	9.78	6.25	9.52	9.09	9.09
13	Kollam	1.09	6.25	4.76	0.00	2.87
14	Thiruvananthapuram	3.26	0.00	7.94	18.18	5.74
	Total		100	100	100	100

Table 01A: Old Age Homes according to year of start (in Percentage) Percentage

Sl No	Districts	Before 1990	1991 - 1995	1996 - 2000	After 2000	Total
1	Kasaragod	0.00	20.00	60.00	20.00	100
2	Kannur	46.67	20.00	20.00	13.33	100
3	Wayanad	18.19	36.36	27.27	18.18	100
4	Kozhikode	35.71	28.57	21.43	14.29	100
5	Malappuram	20.00	20.00	60.00	0.00	100
6	Palakkad	37.50	12.50	37.50	12.50	100
7	Thrissur	56.52	13.04	21.74	8.70	100
8	Emakulam	55.55	8.89	26.67	8.89	100
9	Idukki	13.33	26.67	60.00	0.00	100
10	Kottayam	70.37	7.41	18.52	3.70	100
11	Alappuzha	50.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	100
12	Pathanamthitta	47.36	10.53	31.58	10.53	100
13	Kollam	16.67	33.33	50.00	0.00	100
14	Thiruvananthapuram	25.00	0.00	41.67	33.33	100
	Total	44.02	15.31	30.14	10.53	100

Table 01B: Old Age Homes According To Year of Start (in Percentage)

Sl No	Districts	Government/ Local bodies	Social Organisat ion	Religious	Private	Others	Total
1	Kasaragod	1	1	1	1	1	5
2	Kannur	2	2	9	2	0	15
3	Wayanad	1	1	8	0	1	11
4	Kozhikode	1	4	9	0	0	14
5	Malappuram	1	1	3	0	0	5
6	Palakkad	1	3	3	1	0	8
7	Thrissur	5	2	15	0	1	23
8	Emakulam	1	10	29	1	4	45
9	Idukki	1	4	8	2	0	15
10	Kottayam	1	2	21	2	1	27
11	Alappuzha	1	1	2	0	0	4
12	Pathanamthitta	2	1	13	1	2	19
13	Kollam	2	3	0	1	0	6
14	Thiruvananthapuram	1	4	1	3	3	12
	Total	21	39	122	14	13	209

Table 02: District wise Number of Old Age Homes According to Management

Table 02A: Districtwise Management Classification ofOld Age Homes (in percentage)

Sl No	Districts	Government / Local bodies	Social Organisation	Religious	Private	Others	Total
1	Kasaragod	4.79	2.569	0.80	7.14	7.69	2.39
2	Kannur	9.52	5.13	7.38	14.29	0.00	7.18
3	Wayanad	4.76	2.56	6.56	0.00	7.69	5.26
4	Kozhikode	4.76	10.26	7.38	0.00	0.00	6.70
5	Malappuram	4.76	2.56	2.46	0.00	0.00	2.39
6	Palakkad	4.76	7.69	2.46	7.14	0.01	3.84
7	Thrissur	23.81	5.13	12.3	0.00	7.69	11.00
8	Ernakulam	4.76	25.64	23.77	7.14	30.77	21.53
9	Idukki	4.76	10.26	6.56	14.29	0.00	7.18
10	Kottayam	4.76	5.13	17.21	14.29	7.69	12.92
11	Alappuzha	4.76	2.56	1.64	0.00	0.00	1.91
12	Pathanamthitta	9.52	2.56	10.66	7.14	15.38	9.09
13	Kollam	9.52	7.69	0.0	7.14	0.00	2.87
14	Thiruvananthapuram	4.76	10.26	0.82	21.43	23.08	5.74
	Total	100	100	100	100	100	100

Table 03: Number	of Old Age Homes with Management Classification
	& Mode of Accommodation

		Handi	capped o	only	Te	achers o	nly	Des	titutes c	only		All	
SI No	Management Type	Male	Female	Male & Female	Male	Female	Male & Female	Male	Female	Male & Female	Male	Female	Male & Female
1	Government	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	1	15
2	Social Organisation	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	7	7	15
3	Religious	0	2	0	0	0	2	3	29	8	15	23	40
4	Private	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	1	9
5	Others	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	9
	Total	0	3	0	0	0	2	6	35	17	25	33	88

Table 04: Number of Old Age Homes with Management Classification& Mode of Admission

Sl No	Management Type	Free of Cost	One Time Deposit	Monthly Payment	Payment according to capacity	Total
1	Government	21	0	0	0	21
2	Social Organisation	26	2	6	5	39
3	Religious	96	3	8	15	122
4	Private	10	0	3	1	14
5	Others	8	2	1	2	13
	Total	161	7	18	23	209

Table 04A: Number of Old Age Homes with Management Classification& Nature of Working

Sl No	Management Type	Permanent Care & Residence	Food and Accommodation	Total
1	Government	21	0	21
2	Social Organisation	38	1	39
3	Religious	118	4	122
4	Private	12	2	14
5	Others	13	0	13
	Total	202	7	209

	Managamant			M	ale					Above 9 34 18 3 259				
Sl No	Management Type	60 - 69	70 - 79	80- 84	85 - 89	90 and Above	Total	60 - 69	70 - 79	80- 84	85 - 89		Total	Total
1	Government	76	75	25	13	4	193	85	119	34	18	3	259	452
2	Social Organisation	146	139	34	14	9	342	221	208	65	30	11	535	877
3	Religious	280	359	138	62	38	877	653	809	277	157	89	1985	2862
4	Private	106	54	11	5	7	183	52	40	8	2	3	105	288
5	Others	59	93	38	14	3	207	41	34	13	6	2	96	303
	Total	667	720	246	108	61	1802	1052	1210	397	213	108	2980	4782

Table 05: Age wise classification of Inmates in Old Age Homes with Management Type

	Management			Ma	ale									
Sl No	Туре	60 - 69	70 - 79	80- 84	85 - 89	90 and Above	Total	60 - 69	70 - 79	80-84	85 - 89		Total	Total
1	Government	16.8	16.5	5.53	2.88	0.88	42.7	18.8	26.3	7.52	3.98	0.66	57.3	100
2	Social Organisation	16.6	15.8	3.88	1.6	1.03	39	25.2	23.7	7.41	3.42	1.25	61	100
3	Religious	9.77	12.5	4.82	2.17	1.33	30.6	22.8	28.2	9.68	5.49	3.11	69.3	100
4	Private	36.8	18.7	3.82	1.74	2.43	63.5	18.0	13.8	2.78	0.69	1.04	36.4	100
5	Others	19.4	30.6	12.5	4.62	0.99	68.3	13.5	11.2	4.29	1.98	0.66	31.6	100
	Total	13.9	15.0	5.14	2.26	1.28	37.6	22	25.3	8.3	4.45	2.26	62.3	100

Table 05A: Age wise classification of Inmates in Old Age Homes with Management Type (in percentage)

Sl. No.	Management Type	Paid Employees	Others	Total
1	Government	86	11	97
2	Social Organisation	120	113	233
3	Religious	256	518	774
4	Private	16	31	47
5	Others	47	42	89
	Total	525	715	1240

Table 06: Employment Details of Old Age Homes withManagement Classification

Table 07: Facilities Available in Old Age Homes withManagement Classification

Sl No	Management Type	Vehicles	Tele- vision	Radio	Tele- phone	Recrea- tion	Doctor	Play Ground	News Paper
1	Government	5	16	12	11	9	10	8	18
2	Social Organisation	10	29	21	32	21	20	14	34
3	Religious	36	109	87	104	80	56	63	114
4	Private	4	7	9	10	5	3	3	13
5	Others	6	9	10	7	6	9	6	11
	Total	61	170	139	164	121	98	94	190

			Building		No. of institutions
Sl No	Management Type	Owned	Rented	Others	having own land
1	Government	13	6	2	13
2	Social Organisation	30	9	0	38
3	Religious	112	0	10	114
4	Private	13	1	0	14
5	Others	8	5	0	10
	Total	176	21	12	189

Table 08: Assets of Old Age Homes with Management Classification

	Total	296	311	636	790	487	738	230	491	153	650	4782
5	Others	32	13	86	20	38	11	21	11	30	41	303
4	Private	32	15	70	41	72	44	9	5	0	0	288
3	Religious	128	169	307	470	229	469	136	367	77	510	2862
2	Social Organisation	67	79	115	153	98	149	39	85	23	69	877
1	Government	37	35	58	106	50	65	25	23	23	30	452
51 NO	Management Type	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
Sl No	Managamant Tuna	Below 1 Year		1 Yr 2Yr.		3Yr 5Yr.		5Yr 10Yr.		Above	Total	

Table 09: Duration of Stay of Inmates in Old Age Homes

Sl No	Management Type	Below	Below 1 Year		1 Yr 2Yr.		3Yr 5Yr.		10Yr.	Above	Total	
51 10	Management Type	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1	Government	9.10	7.74	10.00	22.45	11.00	14.29	5.52	5.00	5.00	6.61	100
		8.19	7.74	12.83	23.45	11.06	14.38	5.53	5.09	5.09	6.64	100
2	Social Organisation	7.64	9.01	13.11	17.45	11.17	16.99	4.45	9.69	2.62	7.87	100
3	Religious	4.48	5.90	10.73	16.42	8.00	16.39	4.75	12.82	2.69	17.82	100
4	Private	11.1	5.21	24.31	14.24	25.00	15.28	3.12	1.74	0.00	0.00	100
5	Others	10.57	4.29	28.38	6.60	12.54	3.63	6.93	3.63	9.90	13.53	100
	Total	6.20	6.50	13.30	16.52	10.18	15.43	4.81	10.27	3.20	13.59	100

Table 9A: Duration of Stay of Inmates in Old Age Homes (in percentage)

Sl No	Management Type	Hindu	Muslim	Christian	Others	Total
1	M arried	373	13	445	16	847
2	Un Married	643	29	1335	46	2053
3	Separated	78	4	102	2	186
4	Divorced	67	9	98	3	177
5	Widow / Widower	610	32	864	13	1519
	Total	1771	87	2844	80	4782

Table 10: Religion and Marital Status of Old Age PersonsLiving In Old Age Homes

Table 10A: Cast	and Marital Status Reports of Old Age Persons Living In
	Old Age Homes

Sl No	Management Type	SC	ST	OBC	Others	Total
1	Married	44	22	170	611	847
2	Un Married	57	21	418	1557	2053
3	Separated	7	2	44	133	186
4	Divorced	4	8	38	127	177
5	Widow / Widower	65	24	380	1050	1519
	Total	177	77	1050	3478	4782

Sl No	Management Type	Father / Mother	Son / Daughter	Brother / Sister	Other Relatives	No close Relatives
1	Government	3	152	40	96	241
2	Social Organisation	43	291	118	239	408
3	Religious	57	892	387	929	1240
4	Private	4	43	11	28	219
5	Others	4	129	59	112	89
	Total		1507	615	1404	2197

Table 11: Details of Alive Relatives of Inmates in Old Age Homes

Table 11A: Details of Alive Relatives of Inmates in Old Age Homes

(in percentage)

		<u> </u>	<i>Jui contage</i>	/			
Sl No	Management Type			Brother / Sister	Other Relatives	No close Relatives	Total
1	Government	0.56	28.57	7.52	18.05	45.3	100
2	Social Organisation	3.91	26.48	10.74	21.75	37.12	100
3	Religious	1.63	25.45	11.04	26.50	35.38	100
4	Private	1.31	14.10	3.61	9.18	71.8	100
5	Others	1.02	32.82	15.01	28.5	22.65	100
	Total	1.90	25.83	10.54	24.07	37.66	100

		Govt. S	Service	Self Empl	oyed	In			Not	Unable to do	Public Service Other 28 2 13 34 4 33 34 25 10 26 1 12	
Sl No	Management Type	Pensionable Sector	Non- Pensionable	Agricultural Sector	Non- Agri cultural	Other States	Foreign Country	Other Labourers	Interested to do any job	any job due to illness		Others
1	Government	17	24	60	68	11	1	68	20	28	2	153
2	Social Organisation	42	45	103	25	11	2	166	33	134	4	312
3	Religious	128	195	440	113	13	3	447	69	334	25	1095
4	Private	6	17	17	6	0	1	87	0	26	1	127
5	Others	14	19	86	40	3	1	62	2	15	2	59
	Total	207	300	706	252	38	8	830	124	537	34	1746

Table 12: Previous Employment Status of Old Age Persons in Old Age Homes

Sl No	Management Type	Own Decision	Conflict with family Members	No one to Help	Son / Daughter in Foreign country	Others	Total
1	Government	146	36	230	2	38	452
2	Social Organisation	255	72	438	10	102	877
3	Religious	787	268	1426	20	361	2862
4	Private	38	6	224	2	18	288
5	Others	174	15	82	3	29	303
	Total		397	2400	37	548	4782

Table 13: Reason for Staying In Old Age Homes

Sl No	Management Type	Own Decision	Conflict with family Members	No one to Help	Son / Daughter in Foreign country	Others	Total
1	Government	32.31	7.96	50.88	0.44	8.41	100
2	Social Organisation	29.08	8.21	49.94	1.14	11.63	100
3	Religious	27.50	9.36	49.83	0.70	12.61	100
4	Private	13.20	2.08	77.78	0.69	6.25	100
5	Others	57.43	4.95	27.06	0.99	9.57	100
	Total		8.30	50.19	0.77	11.46	100

Table 13A: Reason for Staying in Old Age Homes (in percentage)

	Can R	ead		Visio	n			Hearing				Мо	ving		Memory Power	
Age Group	Without Specs	With Specs	Cataract	Partial Vision	Lost vision	Blind	Having Hearing power	With Hearing aid	Low Hearing Abilit y	Deaf	Can Walk	Using Walker	With Help of Others	No Ability to Walk	Yes	No
60 - 69	734	499	199	249	29	9	1224	72	388	35	1264	74	290	91	990	729
70 - 79	510	595	366	402	51	6	1039	100	770	21	1234	149	451	96	857	1073
80 - 84	116	196	141	169	17	4	260	41	332	10	289	80	224	50	224	419
85 - 89	56	82	73	93	12	5	112	17	187	5	117	57	124	23	102	219
90 And Above	20	27	44	58	19	1	36	8	123	2	40	19	87	23	36	133
Total	1436	1399	823	971	128	25	2671	238	1800	73	2944	379	1176	283	2209	2573

Table 14: Physical Status of Old Age Persons in Old Age Homes

Age Group	Diabe- tics	Hyper Tension	Rheu- matic Arthritis	Astma	Stomach trouble	Urinary problems	Liver Diseases	Skin Diseases	TB	Brain disease	Heart disease	Cancer	Psychol ogical problems	Paraly- sis	Other Diseases
60 - 69	247	358	543	231	156	51	25	92	20	42	75	31	354	57	381
70 - 79	354	532	743	311	166	64	23	103	23	38	127	29	223	53	385
80 - 84	119	179	273	83	67	40	11	24	4	8	41	8	54	25	138
85 - 89	49	86	118	46	34	17	5	20	2	11	15	3	39	7	71
90 And Above	24	29	66	22	16	6	0	10	0	3	12	3	13	9	40
Total	793	1184	1743	693	439	178	64	249	49	102	270	74	683	151	1015
Per 1000	166	248	364	145	92	37	13	52	10	21	56	15	143	22	222

Table 15: Main Diseases of Old Age Persons in Old Age Homes

Age Group	Diabe- tics	Hyper Tension	Rheu- matic Arthritis	Astma	Stomach Trouble	Urinary problem	Liver Diseases	Skin Diseases	TB	Brain disease	Heart disease	Cancer	Psychol ogical problems	Paraly- sis	Other Diseases
60 - 69	312	303	311	333	356	285	391	370	408	412	278	418	518	377	376
70 - 79	446	449	426	449	378	360	359	414	469	373	470	392	327	351	379
80 - 84	150	151	157	120	153	225	172	96	82	78	152	108	79	166	136
85 - 89	62	73	68	66	77	96	78	80	41	108	56	41	57	46	70
90 And Above	30	24	38	32	36	34	0	40	0	29	44	41	19	60	39
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000

Table 15A: Main Diseases of Old Age Persons in Old Age Homes (per thousand distribution)

System of Medicine	Diabe- tics	Hyper Tension	Rheu- matic Artritis	Astma	Stomach Trouble	Urinary Problem	Liver Diseases	Skin Diseases	TB	Brain Disease	Heart Disease	Cancer	Psychol ogical Problems	Paraly- sis	Other Diseases
Allopathic	749	1097	1393	609	388	162	40	214	46	93	252	67	620	134	855
Ayurveda	32	59	265	65	34	7	21	24	1	3	14	1	28	9	65
Homeopathy	6	16	38	10	11	4	2	8	1	3	1	1	11	1	17
Others	0	3	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	2
No Treatment	6	9	43	8	6	4	1	3	1	1	2	5	23	7	76
Total	793	1184	1743	693	439	178	64	249	49	102	270	74	683	151	1015

Table 16: Treatment Given to Old Age Persons in Old Age Homes

System of medicine	Diabe- tics	Hyper Tension	Rheu- matic Artritis	Astma	Stomach Troule	Urinary Problems	Liver Diseases	Skin Diseases	ТВ	Brain Diseases	Heart Diseases	Cancer	Psychol ogical Problems	Paraly- sis	Other Diseases
Allopathic	947	930	802	884	886	914	625	860	940	912	933	917	917	899	853
Ayurveda	41	50	152	94	78	40	328	96	20	29	52	14	41	60	65
Homeo	8	14	22	14	25	23	31	32	20	29	4	14	16	7	17
Others	0	3	2	1	0	6	0	0	0	20	4	0	1	0	2
No Treatment	4	3	22	7	11	17	16	12	20	10	7	55	25	34	63
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000

Table 16A: Treatment Given to Old Age Persons in Old Age Homes

		Treatment decided by Old Age Home Authority				
Sl No	Management Type	Own desire Satisfactory		Almost Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	
1	Government	83	230	131	8	
2	Social Organisation	155	496	225	1	
3	Religious	534	1435	836	57	
4	Private	12	137	137	2	
5	5 Others		126	92	3	
	Total	866	2424	1421	71	

Table 17: Opinion of Treatment in Old Age Homes

 Table 17A: Opinion of Treatment in Old Age Homes (in percentage)

SI			Treatment decid	ed by Old Age H	Iome Authority	
No	Management Type	Own desire	Satisfactory	Almost Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Total
1	Government	18.36	50.89	28.98	1.87	100
2	Social Organisation	17.67	56.56	25.66	0.11	100
3	Religious	18.66	50.14	29.21	1.99	100
4	Private	4.17	47.57	47.57	0.69	100
5	Others	27.06	41.58	30.36	1.10	100
	Total	18.11	50.69	29.72	1.48	100

Age Group	Television	Radio	Playing Cards	Chatting	Reading	Staying Alone
Below 60	873	333	51	917	391	728
61 - 69	933	459	57	1099	431	848
70 - 79	247	104	13	352	127	315
80 - 84	121	53	5	178	62	165
85 - 89	37	31	2	88	13	96
Total	2211	980	128	2634	1024	2152

Table 18: Entertainment facilities in Old Age Homes

Table 18A: Entertainment in Old Age Homes (per thousand distribution)

Age Group	Television	Radio	Playing Cards	Chatting	Reading	Staying Alone
Below 60	394	340	398	348	381	338
61 - 69	422	468	445	417	421	394
70 - 79	112	106	102	134	124	146
80 - 84	55	54	39	68	61	77
85 - 89	17	32	16	33	13	45
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000

Age Group	Nice	Moderate	Indifferent	No Opinion	Total
Below 60	790	837	63	29	1719
61 - 69	838	976	90	26	1930
70 - 79	319	296	20	8	643
80 - 84	155	150	11	5	321
85 - 89	83	78	5	3	169
Total	2185	2337	189	71	4782

Table 19:	Behaviour o	f Old Age Persons	With Others in	Old Age Homes
		0		0

Table 19A: Behavior of Old Age Persons With Others in Old Age Homes (per
thousand distribution)

Age Group	Nice	Moderate	Indifferent	No Opinion	Total
Below 60	361	358	334	409	360
61 - 69	384	418	476	366	404
70 - 79	146	127	106	113	134
80 - 84	71	64	58	70	67
85 - 89	38	33	26	42	35
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000

Age Group	Caring	Satisfactory	No Remarks	Bad	No Opinion
Below 60	796	794	98	8	23
61 - 69	912	876	113	7	22
70 - 79	344	259	31	5	4
80 - 84	173	126	16	3	3
85 - 89	94	69	4	1	1
Total	2319	2124	262	24	53

Table 20: Behaviour of Employees of Old Age Homes

Table 21: Opinion about Living in Old Age Homes

Age Group	Very Happy	Satisfactory	No Remarks / No Opinion	Bad
Below 60	738	823	146	12
61 - 69	807	935	172	16
70 - 79	303	284	51	5
80 - 84	157	137	25	2
85 - 89	83	76	9	1
Total	2088	2255	403	36

Age Group	Very Happy	Satisfactory	No Remarks / No Opinion	Boring
60 - 69	354	364	362	333
70 - 79	386	415	427	444
80 - 84	145	126	127	139
85 - 89	75	61	62	56
90 And Above	40	34	22	28
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000

Table 21A: Opinion about Living in Old Age Homes (per thousand
distribution)

 Table 22: Behaviour of the Close Relatives to the Aged in Old Age Homes

Age Group	Loving & Visiting Frequently	Just Enquiry	No Visit or Enquiry	No Close Relatives
60 - 69	244	494	157	824
70 - 79	238	492	360	840
80 - 84	100	184	54	305
85 - 89	48	85	48	140
90 And Above	19	42	20	88
Total	649	1297	639	2197
Per 1000	136	271	134	459

Age Group	Loving & Visiting Frequently	Just Enquiry	No Visit or Enquiry	No Close Relatives
60 - 69	376	381	246	375
70 - 79	367	379	563	382
80 - 84	154	142	84	139
85 - 89	74	66	75	64
90 And Above	29	32	32	40
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000

Table 22A: Behaviour of the Close Relatives to the Aged in Old Age Homes(per thousand distribution)

Table 23: Desire to Return Home of Inmates

Age Group	Intense Desire	If Relatives invite	No wish to return	No were to go
60 - 69	78	265	869	480
70 - 79	75	276	982	597
80 - 84	26	86	361	170
85 - 89	18	35	170	98
90 And Above	2	11	96	60
Total	199	673	2505	1405

Age Group	Intense Desire	If Relative invites	No wish to return	No were to go
60 - 69	392	394	357	341
70 - 79	377	410	390	425
80 - 84	131	128	145	121
85 - 89	90	52	69	70
90 And Above	10	16	39	43
Total	1000	1000	1000	1000

Table 23A: Desire To Return Home of Inmates (per thousand distribution)