202



# REPORT ON MIGRATION SURVEY 1992-93

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
1994

# GOVERNMENT OF KERALA

\*\*\*

REPORT ON MIGRATION SURVEY 1992-'93

\*\*

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 1994



#### PREFACE

Because of the high density of population and low employment opportunities in the state, both inter-regional and international migration took place simultaneously from Kerala during the past few decades. But we have no authentic up-to-date information regarding the number of such migrants. Since the migration to foreign countries particularly to Gulf countries considerably influence the socio-economic development of the State, the number of migrants and returnees are important for many policy decisions.

Therefore, a survey on migration was undertaken with the twin objectives of estimating the number of outmigrants to foreign countries and to other states and union territories and the number of foreign returnees. Among other parametres, the survey also envisaged to ascertain the present activity status of the foreign returnees. This survey was conducted along with the 49th round of the National Sample Survey during 1992-'93.

This report was prepared by Sri. C. Appukkuttan Pillai, Joint Director. The unstinted services rendered by the investigators, supervisory officers, Smt. T. Bhavana, Research Officer, Shri. Gopi, Research Assistant and the Staff of the Computer Division are gratefully acknowledged.

It is hoped that this report will be useful to those who are interested in the migration studies. Suggestions for improvement will be very much appreciated.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15 - 03 - 1994. **Dr. M. Kuttappan,**Director of Economics
&Statistics.



# CONTENTS

|    |             |                           | Page<br>No. |
|----|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| 1. | Chapter I   | - Introduction            | 1-4         |
| 2. | Chapter II  | - Results of the Survey   | 4-12        |
| 3. | Chapter III | - Return flow of migrants | 12-15       |
| 4. | Chapter IV  | - Summary of findings     | 15-16       |



#### CHAPTER 1 1.1 INTRODUCTION

- Kerala, with a density of population of 749 persons per sq.km. as against the all-India average of 267 persons according to 1991 census, is the second most densely populated state in India, next only to West Bengal (766 persons). The high density of population coupled with very low employment generation activities in the past forced large number of people to migrate to outside the state for employment. inter-regional and international migration took place simultaneously from Kerala during the last four decades. While inter regional migration of educated youth from Kerala to urban centres in India because the most important outlet for the growing educated labour force during the period 1950-1970, international migration of comparatively less educated and unskilled workers to Gulf countries considerable importance during the last two decades. Consequent on the hike in oil prices during 1973-'74 and afterwards there is considerable increase in the revenue of oil producing and exporting Gulf countries. The resultant heavy investment in infra-structural facilities generated great demand for skilled and unskilled workers from abroad especially from Asian countries. From India, Kerala State with high incidence of unemployment contributed the largest share of labour force to Gulf countries. Kerala is also a participant in the new phase of migration of skilled and professionally qualified personnel to the developed countries of the West which may be called as "brain drain".
  - 1.2 Though it is a fact that large number of 'Keralites' have migrated to foreign countries and to other parts of India we have no authentic

up-to-date information regarding the number of such migrants. The most reliable and authentic data were made available from the Survey on Housing and Employment conducted by the Department of Economics and Statistics in 1980. It was a census in which the details from all the households were collected by the investigators. The survey was carried out as an employment generation scheme in which details were collected by the investigators selected and trained from among the job seekers registered with the employment exchanges of the State.

1.3 According to the Housing and Employment Survey 1980, 5.1 lakhs persons from Kerala were migrated outside the state for employment and out of this 2.1 lakh persons were in foreign countries. Migrants to Gulf countries alone came to 1.86 lakhs. After 1980 there has been considerable changes affecting the number of migrants but we have no reliable data. Since migration to Gulf countries consierably influence the socio-economic development of Kerala in view of its large size and remittances, data regarding the number of migrants and number of returnees etc. are important for many policy decisions and also for academic purposes. A census of all households in the state is a costly and time consuming process and hence it is decided to estimate the number of migrants and other parametres through a sample survey along with the 49th round of National Sample Survey.

# Objectives of the survey

1.4 The main objectives of the survey are:-

- 1. to estimate the number of persons migrated from Kerala to Gulf countries, other foreign countries and to other states and union territories within India;
  - 2. to estimate the number of migrants returned from Gulf countries and other foreign countries after employment and
  - 3. to identify the reasons for return and to ascertain the present status of returnees

#### Coverage and sample design

- 1.5 The survey covered all the 14 districts of Kerala and it was carried out along with the house listing operation of 49th round of National Sample Survey. It may be remembered that National Sample Survey Organisation (NSSO), Government of India is the most important agency in the country to collect socio-economic data employing scientific sample method. N.S.S.O. generally uses a stratified two stage design with census villages and urban blocks as first stage units and households as second stage units. State Departments of Economics and Statistics also participates in the N.S.S. by collecting details from an equal number of sample households or enterprises.
- 1.6 The details for the survey were collected along with the house listing work of the 49th round of National Sample Survey (N.S.S.) from July 1992 to June 1993. The house listing was done in 204 census villages and 92 urban blocks selected for the matching state sample of

N.S.S. The details were collected in a pre-designed interview schedule by the investigators of N.S.S. in addition to their normal work under the supervision of district level officers.

#### Estimation procedure

The estimated population of Kerala as on 31st December 1992 was divided by the population of sample households to get the multiplier. Using this multiplier the total number of migrants and the returned migrants from foreign countries in the state were estimated from the number of migrants and returnees from the sampled households. A foreign returned person is defined as one who returned to Kerala after working atleast one year in foreign countries and is not intending to go back again.

#### CHAPTER II

#### RESULTS OF THE SURVEY

2.1 The estimated number of migrants from Kerala to Gulf countries, other foreign countries and within the country but outside the state is given in the table below.

Table - 1

Distribution of estimated migrants

|  |  | , '        |
|--|--|------------|
| Sl. Country/State                                  | Number   | Percentage |
| 1 2  | 3  | 4          |
| 1. Gulf countries.                                 | 641387   | 53.79      |
| 2. Other Foreign Countries                         | 27480  | 2.30       |
| Sub total (foreign migrants)                       | 668867   | 56.09      |
| 3. Other States and Union Territories within India | 523456   | 43.91      |
| Total  | 1192323  | 100.00     |
|  | the second secon |            |

2.2 The above table shows that 56.09% of the total migration was to foreign countries and 43.91% of the migration to oiler states and Union Territories of the country. Gulf countries alone account for 53.79% of the total migrants and 95.89% of the foreign migrants.

### Demographic characteristics of migrants

2.3 The study revealed that out of 42367 households covered in the survey, there were 8938 migrants. There were 206 migrants to other foreign countries. The number of migrants to other states and Union Territories within the country formed 43.91% of the total migrants. Distribution of migrants is given in table No.2.

Table - 2

Distibution of sample migrants according to destination

| Sl.                            |            | Number  |      | Percen    |        | Total |
|--------------------------------|------------|---------|------|-----------|--------|-------|
| No. Designation                | Males<br>3 | Females | 5    | Males Fei | nales7 |       |
| 01. Gulf countries             | 4558       | `250    | 4808 | 94.80     | 05.20  | 100   |
| 02. Other Foreign<br>Countries | 153        | 53      | 206  | 74.28     | 25.72  | 100   |

(Table 2 contd.)

| 1 2                                    | 3 4 5          | 6     | 7 8       |
|--|----------------|-------|-----------|
| Sub Total (Foreign<br>Migrants)        | 4711 303 5014  | 93.96 | 06.04 100 |
| 03. Other States and Union Territories | 3102 822 3924  | 79.05 | 20.95 100 |
| Total Nigrants                         | 7813 1125 8938 | 87.41 | 12.59 100 |

2.4 The above table also shows that among the total migrants 87.41% were males and 12.59% were females. In the case of Gulf migration, the proportion of female was only 5.2% as against 25.72% in the case of other foreign countries.

2.5 Sex-wise distribution of migrants according to age is given in Table 3.

Table - 3
Distribution of migrants according to age and sex

| Sl.     | Age group    | Males        |       | Females. |       | Tot  | al'    |
|---------|--------------|--------------|-------|----------|-------|------|--------|
| No.     | . 90 9       | No.          | 8.    | No.      | 8     | No.  | ý      |
| 1       | 2            | 3            | 4     | 5        | 6.    | 7    | *      |
| <u></u> |              |              |       |          |       |      |        |
| 1.      | Less than 15 | 246          | 2.75  | 227      | 2.54  | 473  | 5.29   |
| 2.      | 15-25        | 1481         | 16.57 | 365      | 4.08  | 1846 | 20.66  |
| 3.      | 25-45        | 532 <b>0</b> | 59.52 | 496      | 5.55  | 5816 | 65.11  |
| 4.      | 45-60        | 733          | 8.20  | 32       | 8.36  | 765  | 8.56   |
| 5.      | 60 and above | 33           | 0.37  | 5        | 0.06  | 38   | 0.37   |
|         | Total        | 7813         | 87.41 | 1125     | 12.59 | 8938 | 100.00 |

Table - 4

Distribution of Gulf migrants according to age and sex

| Sl. | Age group    | Mo  | Males |     | es   | Total |       |
|-----|--------------|-----|-------|-----|------|-------|-------|
| No. |              | No. | . 9   | No. |      | No.   | g,    |
| 1   | 2            | 3   | 4     | . 5 | 6    | 7     | 8     |
| 1.  | Less than 15 | 68  | 1.41  | 74  | 1.54 | 142   | 2.95  |
| 2.  | 15-25        | 595 | 12.38 | 22  | 0.46 | 617   | 12.83 |

(Table 4 contd.)

| I              | 2                              | 3                 | 4                     | 5              | 6                    | 7                 | 8                     |
|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 3.<br>4.<br>5. | 25-45<br>45-60<br>60 and above | 3456<br>429<br>10 | 71.88<br>8.92<br>0.21 | 144<br>10<br>0 | 3.00<br>0.21<br>0.00 | 3600<br>439<br>10 | 74.83<br>9.13<br>0.21 |
| -              | Total                          | 4558              | 94.80                 | 250            | 5.20                 | 4808              | 100.00                |

2.6 The age-wise distribution of Gulf migrants given in Table 4 shows that about 75% of the Gulf migrants were of age between 25 and 45 and 88 percent were in the age group 15-45. This shows that a lion's share of the migrants are youth and it confirms the notion that migration is always a youth phenomenon. The dominance of youth in migration also produces positive results both for the host country, and the sending country, since the quality of work would be better and their tenure in the host country would be longer. Consequently the remittance by the migrants to the sending country would continue for a longer period. Naturally, the economic and social condition of the families of the migrants would also rise to the higher rung of the ladder.

Table - 5

Distribution of migrants according to education

| Sl. Qualification  |        | Number |             | Po    | Percentage |       |  |
|--------------------|--------|--------|-------------|-------|------------|-------|--|
| No.                | Malews | Female | s Total     | Males | Females    | Total |  |
| 1 . 2              | 3      | 4      | 5           | 6     | 7          | 8     |  |
| I General          | ٠      |        |             | •     |            |       |  |
| 1. Illiterate      | 183    | 120    | 3 <b>03</b> | 2.05  | 1.35       | 3.39  |  |
| 2. Primary         | 1063   | 79     | 1142        | 11.89 | 0.88       | 12.78 |  |
| 3. Primary to SSLC | 3300   | 223    | 3523        | 36.92 | 2.49       | 39.44 |  |
| 4. SSLC            | 2025   | 277    | 2299        | 22.66 | 3.10       | 25.74 |  |

(Contd.)

(Table 5 contd.)

| 1  |                | <del></del>  | 3           | 4     | 5    | 6     | 7     | 8      |
|----|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------|------|-------|-------|--------|
| ·  |                | <del>.</del> | <del></del> | 1     |      |       |       |        |
| 5. | Pre-Degree     |              | 618         | 280   | 897  | 6.91  | 3.14  | 10.04  |
| 6. | Graduate       | F            | 537         | 117   | 653  | 6.01  | 1.31  | 7.31   |
|    | Post-graduate  |              | 84          | 29    | 113  | 0.94  | 0.32  | 1.26   |
|    | Others         | . ` ,        | 3           | . 0   | 3    | 0.03  | 0.00  | 0.03   |
|    | Total          |              | 7813        | 11.25 | 8938 | 87.41 | 12.59 | 100.00 |
| 11 | .Technical     |              |             |       |      |       |       |        |
| 1. | Certificate    |              | 711         | 138   | 849  | 7.96  | 1.54  | 9.50   |
|    | Diploma        |              | 204         | 81    | 285  | 2.28  | 0.91  | 3.19   |
|    | Degree & above | ٠.           | 79          | 21    | 100  | 0.88  | 0.24  | 1.12   |
|    | Others         |              | 52          | 5     | 57   | 0.58  | 0.06  | 0.64   |
|    | Total          |              | 1046        | 245   | 1291 | 11.71 | 2.74  | 14.45  |

2.7 The education status of the out-migrants will irrow light on the extent of outflow of qualified personnel from the sending country. They also provide information on the educational status of personnel going to different destinations under three different groups wiz. Gulf countries, other foreign countries and other states in India.

2.8 One of the important observations emerging from the survey is that about 56% of the out-migrants were having qualification below SSLC. It is interesting to note that 3.39% of the out migrants were illiterate. Migrants with technical qualification constituted only 14.45%.

Table - 6
Educational status of gulf migrants

| Sl. |            |     |       | Number  |       |       | Percentage |       |  |  |
|-----|------------|-----|-------|---------|-------|-------|------------|-------|--|--|
| No. |            |     | Males | Females | Total | Males | Females    | Total |  |  |
|     | <u>Z</u>   | · · | 3     | 4       | 5     | 6     | 7          | 8     |  |  |
| 1.  | General    | . : |       |         |       |       |            |       |  |  |
| 1.  | Illiterate | ·.  | 84    | 44      | 128   | 1.75  | 0.92       | 2.66  |  |  |

(Contd.)

(Table 6 contd.)

| 1  | 2              | 3    | 4   | 5    | 6     | 7     | 8      |
|----|----------------|------|-----|------|-------|-------|--------|
| 2. | Primary        | 812  | 35  | 847  | 16.89 | 0.73  | 17.62  |
|    |                | 2183 | 50  | 2233 | 45.40 | 1.04  | 46.44  |
| 4. | SSLC           | 974  | 39  | 1013 | 20.26 | 0.81  | 21.07  |
| ₹. | Pre-degree     | 254  | 48  | 302  | 5.28  | 1.00  | 6.28   |
| 6. |                | 228  | 28  | 256  | 4.74  | 0.58  | 5.32   |
| 7. | Post-Graduate  | 23   | 6   | 29   | 0.48  | 0.12  | 0.60   |
|    | Total          | 4558 | 250 | 4808 | 94.80 | 5.20  | 100.00 |
| 11 | .Technical     |      |     |      | •     | ٠.    |        |
| 1. | Certificate    | 338  | 20  | 358  | 7.03  | 0.42  | 7.45   |
|    | Diploma        | 96   | 26  | 122  | 2.00  | 0.54  | 2.54   |
|    | Degree & above | 17   | 7   | 24   | 0.35  | 0.15  | 0.50   |
|    | Others         | 22   | 0   | . 22 | 0.46  | 0.00  | 0.46   |
|    | Total          | 473  | 53  | 526  | 9.84  | 1.1,1 | 10.95  |

2.9 The above table shows that 67% of the migrants to Gulf countries had qualification below SSLC and 2.66% were illiterate. The Gulf countries absorb the highest proportion of out-migrants with education level up to SSLC. This shows that the proportion of the unskilled labour is the highest in the case of migration to Gulf countries.

# Distribution of migrants by aim of migration

- 2.10 The high pressure on land coupled with scarce employment opportunities prevailing in the state creates a natural tendency among the youth to seek job elsewhere.
- 2.11 The channel for getting a viza to go abroad is usually through the relatives, friends and some other recruiting agencies and even at a high cost such opportunities will be seized by the aspirants. The table No.7

gives the aim of the migration. It could be seen from the table that 87.5% of the migrant households reported that obtaining job was the aim of migration.

Table - 7 Aim of migration

| Se. | Aim       | Males                      | \$ Females            | \$           | Total        | 8              | _  |
|-----|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----|
| 1   | 2         | 3                          | 4,000                 | 6            | 7            | 8              |    |
| 1.  | For emplo | yment 7325<br>purposes 488 | 81.93 490<br>5.46 635 | 5.49<br>7.10 | 7815<br>1123 | 87.44<br>12.56 | ١, |
|     | Total     | 7813                       | 87.41 1125            | 12.59        | 8938         | 100.00         |    |

Table - 8
Distribution of migrants according to living condition

| Sl. |                         | Living condition |             |       |                   |                  |  |
|-----|-------------------------|------------------|-------------|-------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| No  | . Country/State         | Total            | With family |       | Without<br>Kamily | 9                |  |
| 1   | 2                       | 3                | 4           | 5     | 6                 | 7                |  |
|     |                         |                  |             |       | 2.1               | · <del>···</del> |  |
| 1.  | Europe & America        | 122              | 70          | 57.38 | 52                | 42.62            |  |
| 2.  | Gulf countries          | 4808             | 419         | 8.71  | 4389              | 91.29            |  |
| 3.  | Other foreign countries | 84               | .16.        | 19.05 | 68                | 80.95            |  |
| 4.  | States/UTS              | 3924             | 997         | 25.41 | 2927              | 74.59            |  |
|     | Total                   | 8938             | 1502        | 17.00 | 7436              | 83.00            |  |

2.12 The above table shows that 17% of the total migrants live with their family while 83% live without family. It is interesting to note that 57.38% of the migrants in Europe & USA live with family while only 8.71% live with family in the Gulf countries.

#### Duration of stay

2.13 The acute unemployment and high dependency ratio in the home state coupled with aspiration for a better standard of living tempt the migrants to stay as long as possible in the host countries. The longer period of employment with renewal of the contracts would enable migrants to save a substantial amount, so that on termination of the contract and the consequent return to home country will not pose a serious problem for the future. As more than half of the migrants are in the youth age they would stay in the countries as long as possible. The following table shows the distribution of migrants according to the duration of stay.

Table - 9
Distribution of migrants according to the duration of stay

| Sl. Period of<br>No. stay (Years) | Males | Females   | Total | 8      | Gulf<br>countries |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--------|-------------------|
| 1 2                               | 3     | 4         | 5     | . 6    | 7                 |
| 1. Below 1                        | 828   | 103       | 931   | 10.42  | 11.48             |
| 2. 1 - 2                          | 1474  | 250       | 1724  | 19.29  | 20.80             |
| 3. 2 - 3                          | 1130  | 197       | 1327  | 14.85  | 15.18             |
| 1. 3 - 4                          | 774   | 132       | 906   | 10.14  | 9.07              |
| 5. 4 - 5                          | 655   | 95        | 750   | 8.39   | 8.57              |
| 6. 5 - 6                          | 424   | 73        | 497   | 5.56   | 5.34              |
| 7. 6 - 7                          | 445   | 58        | 503   | 5,63   | 6.14              |
| 3. 7 - 8                          | 186   | 36        | 222   | 2.48   | 2.39              |
| 3. 8 - 9                          | 375   | <i>36</i> | 411   | 4.60   | 4.89              |
| 10.9 - 10                         | 121   | 7         | 128   | 1.43   | 1.39              |
| 11. 10 and above                  | 1401  | 138       | 1539  | 17.21  | 14.75             |
| Total                             | 7813  | 1125      | 8938  | 100.00 | 100.00            |

2.14 The above table shows that 10.4% of the total migrants stayed below one year as against 11.5% in the case of Gulf migrants. Also about 52.7% of the total migrants stayed from 1 to 5 years, about 53.6% of the Gulf migrants had the same duration in their places of work. While about 17% of the total migrants stayed for more than 10 years only 14.8% of the Gulf migrants stayed there for more than 10 years. This indicates that the period of stay is more in countries outside the Gulf region.

#### CHAPTER III

#### RETURN FLOW OF MIGRANTS

- 3.1 Majority of the migrants to the Gulf countries belong to the unskilled and semi-skilled categories of labourers. They were working in the construction projects and other operational fields of the plants etc. Since many of the construction projects have been completed and prospects for new construction projects is not bright there is not much scope for further employment opportunities. Those who were already working on the projects had to return due to the termination of the contracts. The magnitude of the return flow of migrants began from 1980 onwards. The pace of return has increased since the wage rates of expatriate workers have been cut down drastically and cheap labour is available from Bangladesh, Pakistan, Philippines, Srilanka etc.
- 3.2 The situation was worsened by the out-break of the Gulf war and the return of the migrats reached the climax during 1990-191. It was

reported that about 80,000 Keralites returned from Kuwait due to the war. However, after the cessation of war onward migration has started but at a slow pace.

## Estimated number of returned migrants

- 3.3 According to the present survey the estimated number of returnees was 1,24,329 as on December 1992. The reason for the exodus was due to completion of construction projects, cheap labour available from Bangladesh, Pakistan, Philippines etc. and the curtailment of wages. But the Kuwait-Iraq war had the worst effect on the Keralites who had to leave Kuwait and Iraq abandoning their job and belongings.
- 3.4 The war had extensively damaged the structure, and this necessitates reconstruction. It is heartening to note that since normalcy has been established in the war affected countries many of the returnees re-migrated to Kuwait.

Table - 10
Distribution of neturnees from abroad

| Sl. Country                                     | Number<br>migrant |   | Percentage    |
|---|-------------------|---|---------------|
| 1 2   | 3                 |   | 4             |
| 1. Gulf countries<br>2. Other foreign countries | . 88<br>5         | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 94.42<br>5.58 |
| Total   | 93                | 2                                       | 100.00        |

<sup>3.5</sup> From this study, it has been revealed that there are 932 returnees as on the date of enumeration in the sample households and of which 880 were from Gulf countries.

3.6 The following table presents the distribution of returnees according to the reason for return. It could be seen that 41.85% of the returnees reported loss of employment due to termination and cancellation of the contracts as the reason for return. As mentioned earlier the completion of many of the construction projects and the cheap labour available from other countries were the reasons for not getting the contracts extended. About 12% of the returnees revealed that they had to return because of the health problems and illness. While 13% reported family problems as the reason for their return, 16.31% of the returnees reported reduction of wages and consequent decrease in income as the reason. Enough savings was reported as the reason by 3.54% of the returnees. It is to be noted that a returnee was defined as a migrant who stayed and worked in a foreign country for atleast one year and returned with no intention to go back.

Table - 11
Distribution of returnees according to reason for return

| Sl. Reason<br>No.     | No. of<br>migrants | Percentage |  |
|-----------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| 1 2                   | 3                  | 4          |  |
| 1. Loss of employment | 390                | 41.85      |  |
| 2. Illness            | 113                | 12.12      |  |
| 3. Family problem     | 118                | 12.66      |  |
| 4. Reduced income     | 152                | 16.31      |  |
| 5. Saved enough       | <i>33</i>          | 3.54       |  |
| 6. Gulf war           | 85                 | 9.12       |  |
| 1. Others             | 41                 | 4.40       |  |
| Total                 | 932                | 100.00     |  |

# Present activity status of returnees

3.7 The rehabilitation of the returnees particularly from Gulf countries poses a serious problem to the Government. It is a common

knowledge that savings of these migrants while abroad is being utilised for the purchase of land, construction of buildings, purchase of vehicles and durable consumer items. Therefore, majority had no substantial savings to live independently after return to home land.

3.8 The study revealed that 59.4% of the returnees from abroad are currently employed. This includes re-employment and self-employment. Hardly 20% of the total returnees remain unemployed.

Table - 12
Returnees according to their current activity status

| l.                 | No. of returnees |                  | Percentage |                  |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| lo. Activity       | Gulf             | Total<br>foreign | Gulf       | Total<br>foreign |
| 1 2                | 3                | 4                | 5          | 8                |
| . Employees        | 41               | 46               | 4.66       | 4.94             |
| . Self employed    | 281              | 300              | 31.93      | 32.18            |
| . Employer         | 205              | 208              | 23.30      | 22.32            |
| . Unemployed       | 181              | 185              | 20.57      | 19.85            |
| . Household worker | 153              | 167              | 17.39      | 17.92            |
| . Others           | . 19             | 26               | 2.16       | 2.79             |
| Total              | , 880            | 932              | 100.00     | 100.00           |

#### CHAPTER IV SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

- 4.1 The estimated number of emigrants from Kerala to outside the State is 11.92 lakhs, out of which 6.69 lakhs are forein migrants. Gulf migrants alone come to 6.41 lakhs which is 53.79% of the total migrants.
- 4.2 About one third of the Gulf migrants have qualification Secondary School Leaving Certificate and above and 21% are technically qualified.

- 4.3 92% of the emigrants in Gulf countries live without family while it is only 42.62% in the case of migrants in other foreign countries.
- 4.4 The survey revealed that 124329 migrants returned till December 1992 and out of this 94.428 were from Gulf countries.
- 4.5 41.85% of the returnees reported loss of employment as the reason for their return.
- 4.6 23.30% of the returnees from Gulf countries started some enterprises while 31.93% are self employed. Only 20% remain unemployed.

Typed by K. Raveendran, U.D.T.

Printed at D.E.S. Offset Press, Tum.



